

УДК 519.218.2

On the Limit Structure of Continuous-time Markov Branching Process

Azam A. Imomov*

State Testing Center CMRU
Institute of Mathematics, National University of Uzbekistan
Durmon yuli, 29, Tashkent, 100125
Uzbekistan

Received 23.08.2016, received in revised form 10.09.2016, accepted 01.11.2016

We study the limiting probability function of continuous-time Markov Branching Processes conditioned to be never extinct. Hereupon we obtain a new stochastic population process called the Markov Q-Process. The principal aim is to investigate structural and asymptotic properties of the Markov Q-Process, also we study transition functions of this process and their convergence to stationary measures.

Keywords: Markov Branching Process, Markov Q-process, transition function; invariant measures.

DOI: 10.17516/1997-1397-2017-10-1-117-127.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

We consider a population of monotypic individuals that are capable of perishing and transformation into a random number of individuals of the same type. These individuals may be of biological kind, molecules in chemical reactions etc. Suppose that the population size changes according to a random reproduction law as follows. Each individual existing at the epoch $t \in \mathcal{T} = [0; +\infty)$, independently of its history and of each other for a small time interval $(t; t + \varepsilon)$ transforms into $j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \{1\}$ individuals with probability $a_j \varepsilon + o(\varepsilon)$ and, with probability $1 + a_1 \varepsilon + o(\varepsilon)$ each individual survives or makes evenly one descendant (as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$). Where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. The numbers $\{a_j\}$ are intensities of individuals' transformation that $a_j \geq 0$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \{1\}$ and $0 < a_0 < -a_1 = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0 \setminus \{1\}} a_j < \infty$. Newly appeared individuals undergo transformations under the same way as above. Letting $Z(t)$ be the population size at the moment t , we have a homogeneous continuous-time Markov Branching Process (MBP), which was first considered by Kolmogorov and Dmitriev [13]. The process $Z(t)$ is a Markov chain with the state space on \mathbb{N}_0 . Its transition functions

$$P_{ij}(t) = \mathbb{P}_i \{Z(t) = j\} := \mathbb{P} \{Z(t + \tau) = j | Z(\tau) = i\},$$

satisfy the branching property

$$P_{ij}(t) = \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_i = j} P_{1j_1}(t) \cdot P_{1j_2}(t) \cdots P_{1j_i}(t). \quad (1.1)$$

The probabilities $P_{1j}(t)$ in (1.1) are calculated using the local densities $\{a_j\}$ by the relation

$$P_{1j}(\varepsilon) = \delta_{1j} + a_j \varepsilon + o(\varepsilon), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0, \quad (1.2)$$

*imomov_azam@mail.ru

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker delta function. A probability Generating Functions (GF) version of the relation (1.2) is

$$F(\varepsilon; s) = s + f(s) \cdot \varepsilon + o(\varepsilon), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0,$$

for all $0 \leq s < 1$, where

$$F(t; s) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} P_{1j}(t) s^j \quad \text{and} \quad f(s) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} a_j s^j.$$

Owing to Markovian property the GF

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} P_{ij}(t) s^j = [F(t; s)]^i, \quad \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1.3)$$

Assuming $a := f'(1)$ is finite and using equation (1.4) we have $\mathbb{E}_i Z(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} j P_{ij}(t) = i e^{at}$. The last formula shows that long-term properties of MBP seem to be variously depending on the parameter a . Hence, the MBP is classified as critical if $a = 0$ and sub-critical or super-critical if $a < 0$ or $a > 0$ respectively. Monographs [2, 5, 19] are general references for mentioned and other classical facts on theory of MBP.

Throughout this paper we write $\mathbb{P}\{*\}$ and $\mathbb{E}[*]$ instead of $\mathbb{P}_1\{*\}$ and $\mathbb{E}_1[*]$ respectively.

Let the random variable $\mathcal{H} := \inf\{t \in \mathcal{T} : Z(t) = 0\}$ be a hitting time of the zero state of MBP, i.e. the time of extinction of the process $Z(t)$. By the extinction theorem $\mathbb{P}_i\{\mathcal{H} < \infty\} = q^i$, where $q = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P_{10}(t)$ is the extinction probability of MBP, which is the least non-negative root of $f(s) = 0$. Moreover $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t; s) = q$ uniformly for $0 \leq s \leq r < 1$. Let us consider the conditioned distribution function $\mathbb{P}_i^{\mathcal{H}(t)}\{*\} := \mathbb{P}_i\{*\mid t < \mathcal{H} < \infty\}$. It is known that if $a \leq 0$ then $q = 1$. Therefore in this case $\mathbb{P}_i^{\mathcal{H}(t)}\{*\} = \mathbb{P}_i\{*\mid \mathcal{H} > t\}$ and $\mathbb{P}\{t < \mathcal{H} < \infty\} \equiv \mathbb{P}\{Z(t) > 0\}$. On the other hand in this case $0 \leq P_{1j}(t) \leq \mathbb{P}\{\mathcal{H} > t\} \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. But the ratio $P_{1j}(t)/\mathbb{P}\{\mathcal{H} > t\}$ has a limiting finite law. So long-term properties of non-supercritical MBP are traditionally investigated on non-zero trajectories, that is under the condition of event $\{\mathcal{H} > t\}$. Sevastyanov [18] proved that in the sub-critical case there is a limiting distribution law $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{H}(t)}\{Z(t) = j\}$ if and only if $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} a_j j \ln j < \infty$. In the critical situation he also proved that if $2b := f''(1) < \infty$, then $Z(t)/bt$ has a limiting exponential law. In this case Chistyakov [3] proved that if $f^{(4)}(1) < \infty$ and j/bt is bounded, then $t \cdot \mathbb{P}^{\mathcal{H}(t)}\{Z(t) = j\} = 1/b + \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{\ln t/t}\right)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. The author [6] restated this result without error term being on the condition of $b < \infty$ only.

More interesting phenomenon arises if we observe the limit of conditioned distribution $\mathbb{P}_i^{\mathcal{H}(t+\tau)}\{*\}$ letting $\tau \rightarrow \infty$. In the discrete-time situation this limit represents a distribution measure, which defines a homogeneous Markov chain called the Q-process (see [2, pp. 56–60]) The Q-process was considered first by Lamperti and Ney [14]. Some properties of it were discussed by Pakes [15–17], Imomov [7, 9–11], Formanov and Imomov [4]. A considerable part of the paper [12] is devoted to the discussion of this process from the viewpoint of branching transformation called the Lamperti-Ney transformation. A closer look shows that in the MBP case the limit $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_i^{\mathcal{H}(t+\tau)}\{Z(t) = j\}$ is an honest probability measure $\mathbb{Q}(t) = \{\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)\}$ which defines a homogeneous continuous-time Markov chain with state space on the set \mathbb{N} called the Markov Q-Process (see [8]). Let $W(t)$ be the state size at the moment $t \in \mathcal{T}$ in the Markov Q-Process. Then $W(0) \stackrel{d}{=} Z(0)$ and

$$\mathbb{P}_i\{W(t) = j\} = \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t).$$

In the mentioned above paper [8] some asymptotic properties of distribution of $W(t)$ were established. Namely, it was proved that if the corresponding MBP is critical, then $W(t)/\mathbb{E}W(t)$ has a limiting Erlang law. In this case there is an invariant measure if the second moment of the GF $f(s)$ is finite. In the non-critical situation under some moment condition there exists an invariant distribution for the process $W(t)$.

In Sec. 2 we define the Markov Q-Process and discuss properties concerning its construction and its transition function $\mathbb{Q}(t)$. In the Sec. 3 an ergodic property of $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ is established.

2. The Markov Q-process

In this section we are interested in the limiting interpretation of the conditioned transition function $\mathbb{P}_i^{\mathcal{H}(t+\tau)}\{Z(t) = j\}$ letting $\tau \rightarrow \infty$ and for all fixed $t \in \mathcal{T}$. First, by the law of total probability we write

$$\mathbb{P}_i\{t < \mathcal{H} < \infty, Z(t) = j\} = \mathbb{P}\{t < \mathcal{H} < \infty | Z(t) = j\} \cdot P_{ij}(t).$$

Since the probability of extinction of j particles is q^j , it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}_i\{t < \mathcal{H} < \infty, Z(t) = j\} = P_{ij}(t) \cdot q^j. \quad (2.1)$$

Using formula (3.1), from the last relation we obtain that

$$\mathbb{P}_i\{t < \mathcal{H} < \infty\} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_i\{Z(t) = j, t < \mathcal{H} < \infty\} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} P_{ij}(t) q^j. \quad (2.2)$$

The relation (2.1) implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_i\{Z(t) = j, t + \tau < \mathcal{H} < \infty\} &= P_{ij}(t) \cdot \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{P}_j\{\tau < \mathcal{H} < \infty, Z(\tau) = k\} = \\ &= P_{ij}(t) \cdot \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} P_{jk}(\tau) q^k. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, considering the identity (2.2) we have

$$\mathbb{P}_i^{\mathcal{H}(t+\tau)}\{Z(t) = j\} = P_{ij}(t) \cdot \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{P_{jk}(\tau)}{P_{11}(\tau)} q^k}{\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{P_{ij}(t+\tau)}{P_{11}(t+\tau)} q^j} \cdot \frac{P_{11}(\tau)}{P_{11}(t+\tau)}.$$

Using the ratio limit property [6, Lemma 7], after short calculation it follows that

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}_i^{\mathcal{H}(t+\tau)}\{Z(t) = j\} = \frac{j q^{j-i}}{i \beta^t} P_{ij}(t) =: \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t),$$

where $\beta = \exp\{f'(q)\}$. It is easily seen that $0 < \beta \leq 1$. Namely, $\beta = 1$ if $a = 0$, and $\beta < 1$ otherwise. Since $F'(t; q) = \beta^t$

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{j q^{j-i}}{i \beta^t} P_{ij}(t) = \frac{F'_i(t; q)}{i q^{i-1} \beta^t} = 1,$$

thus, we have an honest probability measure $\mathbb{Q}(t) = \{\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)\}$. This measure defines a new stochastic process $W(t)$, $t \in \mathcal{T}$, called a Markov Q-Process (MQP) is a homogeneous continuous-time Markov chain with the state space $\mathcal{E} \subset \mathbb{N}$ (see [8]). In view of Markovian nature of this process, the transition functions $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)$ satisfy the Kolmogorov-Chapman equations:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t + \varepsilon) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{Q}_{ik}(\varepsilon) \mathcal{Q}_{kj}(t). \quad (2.3)$$

Thus, the random function $W(t)$ denotes the state size at the moment $t \in \mathcal{T}$ in MQP, so

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t) = \mathbb{P}_i \{W(t) = j\} = \frac{jQ^{j-i}}{i\beta^t} P_{ij}(t). \quad (2.4)$$

Considered together, the equalities (1.2) and (2.4) entail the following important representation for the transition functions $\mathcal{Q}_{1j}(\varepsilon)$:

$$\mathcal{Q}_{1j}(\varepsilon) = \delta_{1j} + p_j \varepsilon + o(\varepsilon), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0, \quad (2.5)$$

with the probability densities

$$p_0 = 0, \quad p_1 = a_1 - \ln \beta, \quad \text{and} \quad p_j = jq^{j-1}a_j \geq 0 \quad \text{for } j \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{1\},$$

where $\{a_j\}$ are the evolution intensities of MBP $Z(t)$. It follows from (2.5) that the GF of intensities $\{p_j\}$ has the form

$$g(s) := \sum_{j \in \mathcal{E}} p_j s^j = s[f'(qs) - f'(q)]. \quad (2.6)$$

We see that $g(1) = 0$, so the infinitesimal GF $g(s)$ completely defines the process $W(t)$ and

$$0 < -p_1 = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{1\}} p_j < \infty.$$

In the following theorem we discuss basic properties of the transition matrix $\mathbb{Q}(t) = \{\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)\}$. Herewith we will follow methods and facts from the monograph by Anderson [1].

Theorem 1. *The transition matrix $\mathbb{Q}(t)$ of the MQP is standard. Its components $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)$ are positive and uniformly continuous functions of $t \in \mathcal{T}$ for all $i, j \in \mathcal{E}$.*

Proof. According to the branching property (1.1) for the chain $Z(t)$, we see

$$P_{ij}(\varepsilon) = \delta_{ij} + ia_{j-i+1}\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0.$$

Hence, seeing representation (2.4)

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{Q}_{ii}(\varepsilon) = 1 + (ia_1 - \ln \beta)\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon), \\ \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(\varepsilon) = jq^{j-i}a_{j-i+1}\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon), \end{cases} \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0, \quad (2.7)$$

for all $i, j \in \mathcal{E}$. It follows from (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in \mathcal{E}} |\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(\varepsilon) - \delta_{ij}| &= \sum_{j \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{i\}} \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(\varepsilon) + |\mathcal{Q}_{ii}(\varepsilon) - 1| = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{i\}} \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(\varepsilon) + 1 - \mathcal{Q}_{ii}(\varepsilon) \leq (2..1) \\ &\leq 2[1 - \mathcal{Q}_{ii}(\varepsilon)] \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

So the probability measure $\mathbb{Q}(t) = \{\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)\}$ is standard.

Positiveness of the functions $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)$ is obvious owing to (2.7). Supposing $\varepsilon > 0$, it follows from equation (2.3) that

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t + \varepsilon) - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{Q}_{ik}(\varepsilon) \mathcal{Q}_{kj}(t) - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{i\}} \mathcal{Q}_{ik}(\varepsilon) \mathcal{Q}_{kj}(t) - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t) \cdot [1 - \mathcal{Q}_{ii}(\varepsilon)].$$

The last relation gives

$$\begin{aligned} -[1 - \mathcal{Q}_{ii}(\varepsilon)] &\leq -\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t) \cdot [1 - \mathcal{Q}_{ii}(\varepsilon)] \leq \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t + \varepsilon) - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t) \leq \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{i\}} \mathcal{Q}_{ik}(t) \mathcal{Q}_{kj}(\varepsilon) \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E} \setminus \{i\}} \mathcal{Q}_{kj}(\varepsilon) = 1 - \mathcal{Q}_{ii}(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

so $|\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t + \varepsilon) - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)| \leq 1 - \mathcal{Q}_{ii}(\varepsilon)$. Similarly

$$|\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t - \varepsilon) - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)| = |\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t) - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t - \varepsilon)| \leq 1 - \mathcal{Q}_{ii}(t - (t - \varepsilon)) = 1 - \mathcal{Q}_{ii}(\varepsilon).$$

Therefore we obtain $|\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t + \varepsilon) - \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)| \leq 1 - \mathcal{Q}_{ii}(|\varepsilon|)$ for any $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and for all $i, j \in \mathcal{E}$. The obtained relation implies that $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)$ is a uniformly continuous function of $t \in \mathcal{T}$ because $\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \mathcal{Q}_{ii}(\varepsilon) = 1$ for all $i \in \mathcal{E}$. \square

Considering the property (1.3) it is easily seen that a GF version of (2.4) is

$$G_i(t; s) := \mathbb{E}_i s^{W(t)} = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t) s^j = \frac{qs}{i\beta^t} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{F(t; x)}{q} \right)^i \right]_{x=qs},$$

or, more obviously, that

$$G_i(t; s) = \left[\frac{F(t; qs)}{q} \right]^{i-1} G(t; s), \quad (2.8)$$

where

$$G(t; s) := G_1(t; s) = \frac{s}{\beta^t} \frac{\partial F(t; x)}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=qs}.$$

It is known that $F(t; q) = q$ and $F'(t; q) = \beta^t$ (see [19, pp. 52–53]). Therefore seeing (2.8) we obtain once again that $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{E}} \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t) = G_i(t; 1) = 1$.

It can be easily seen that a GF version of the relation (2.5) is

$$G(\varepsilon; s) = s + g(s) \cdot \varepsilon + o(\varepsilon), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0 \text{ and for all } 0 \leq s < 1. \quad (2.9)$$

According to formulas (1.3) and (2.8), it follows that the GF $G(t; s)$ satisfies the following functional equation:

$$G(t + \tau; s) = \frac{G(t; \widehat{F}(\tau; s))}{G(0; \widehat{F}(\tau; s))} G(\tau; s), \quad (2.10)$$

where $\widehat{F}(t; s) = F(t; qs)/q$ is the probability GF of sub-critical MBP. Using (2.9) and (2.10) for the difference $\Delta_\varepsilon G(t; s) = G(t - \varepsilon; s) - G(t + \varepsilon; s)$ we get

$$\Delta_\varepsilon G(t; s) = [\text{some function}] \cdot \varepsilon + o(\varepsilon), \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \downarrow 0,$$

for any $t \in \mathcal{T}$ and all $0 \leq s < 1$, which implies that $G(t; s)$ is differentiable.

3. Classification and ergodic behavior of the transition functions $\{\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)\}$

Note that evolution of MQP is ruled, in essence, by the structural parameter β . Afterwards we will see that there are two types of processes depending on a value of this parameter. It has

been shown in [8] that

$$G(t; s) = s \exp \left\{ \int_0^t h \left(\widehat{F}(\tau; s) \right) d\tau \right\},$$

where $h(s) = g(s)/s$. Putting together this formula and (2.8) we write

$$G_i(t; s) = s \left[\widehat{F}(t; s) \right]^{i-1} \exp \left\{ \int_0^t h \left(\widehat{F}(\tau; s) \right) d\tau \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Let $\alpha := g'(1)$ be finite. Differentiating at the point $s = 1$, from (3.1) we get

$$\mathbb{E}_i W(t) = (i-1) \beta^t + \mathbb{E} W(t)$$

and

$$\mathbb{E} W(t) = \begin{cases} 1 + \gamma(1 - \beta^t), & \text{when } \beta < 1, \\ \alpha t + 1, & \text{when } \beta = 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover we obtain the variance structure

$$\mathbb{D}_i W(t) = \begin{cases} [\gamma + (i-1)(1+\gamma)\beta^t](1-\beta^t), & \text{when } \beta < 1, \\ \alpha it, & \text{when } \beta = 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Where $\gamma = \alpha/|\ln \beta|$ and $\mathbb{D}_i W(t) = \mathbb{D}[W(t) | W(0) = i]$ in (3.3).

Formula (3.2) implies that when $\beta = 1$

$$\mathbb{E}_i W(t) \sim \alpha t, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

and if $0 < \beta < 1$

$$\mathbb{E}_i W(t) \rightarrow 1 + \gamma, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

So in the case of $\beta = 1$ the MQP has the transience property.

Definition 1. We classify the MQP as restrictive if $\beta < 1$ and explosive if $\beta = 1$.

Theorem 2. The MQP is

- (i) positive if it is restrictive and $\alpha := g'(1)$ is finite;
- (ii) null if it is explosive.

Proof. To prove assertion (i) from (2.11) we get

$$\ln \mathcal{Q}_{11}(t) = \int_0^t h \left(\widehat{F}(\tau; 0) \right) d\tau = \int_0^{\widehat{F}(t; 0)} \frac{h(x)}{\widehat{f}(x)} dx \rightarrow \int_0^1 \frac{h(x)}{\widehat{f}(x)} dx,$$

since $\widehat{F}(t; 0) \uparrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where $\widehat{f}(s) = f(qs)/q$ is an infinitesimal GF of a subcritical process. Herein we used the fact that $\lim_{s \downarrow 0} [G(t; s)/s] = \mathcal{Q}_{11}(t)$. The condition $\alpha < \infty$ implies that the integral in the right hand side converges. Hence $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Q}_{11}(t) > 0$. For part (ii) we recall that in this case $q = 1$ and $h(s) = f'(s)$ if $\beta = 1$. Similarly

$$\ln \mathcal{Q}_{11}(t) = \int_0^t h(F(\tau; 0)) d\tau = \int_0^{F(t; 0)} \frac{h(x)}{f(x)} dx \rightarrow \int_0^1 \frac{f'(x)}{f(x)} dx = -\infty.$$

So that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{Q}_{11}(t) = 0$. □

Now let us recall the following statement.

Lemma 1 ([6]). *The following assertions are valid.*

- Let $a \neq 0$. Then

$$\frac{\partial F(t; s)}{\partial s} = \frac{|\ln \beta|}{f(s)} \mathcal{A}(s) \cdot \beta^t (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(s) = (q - s) \exp \left\{ \int_s^q \left[\frac{1}{u - q} + \frac{|\ln \beta|}{f(u)} \right] du \right\}. \quad (3.5)$$

- Let $a = 0$. If the second moment $f''(1) =: 2b$ is finite, then

$$\frac{\partial F(t; s)}{\partial s} = \frac{b(1 - s)^2}{f(s)[bt(1 - s) + 1]^2} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.6)$$

Putting together (3.1) and (3.4)–(3.6) and considering that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \widehat{F}(t; s) = 1$ uniformly for all $0 \leq s \leq r < 1$, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3. *Let $\alpha := g'(1)$ is finite.*

1. *If MQP is restrictive, then*

$$G_i(t; s) = s \frac{|\ln \beta|}{f(qs)} \mathcal{A}(qs) (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (3.7)$$

where the function $\mathcal{A}(s)$ has the form (3.5).

2. *If MQP is explosive, then*

$$G_i(t; s) = s \frac{2\alpha}{f(s)} \left[\frac{(1 - s)}{(1 - s)\alpha t + 2} \right]^2 (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.8)$$

Since $\mathcal{Q}_{11}(t) = \lim_{s \downarrow 0} [G(t; s)/s]$, the relations (3.7) and (3.8) give the following local limit theorem.

Theorem 4. *Let $\alpha := g'(1)$ is finite.*

1. *In the restrictive case*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{11}(t) = \frac{|\ln \beta|}{a_0} \mathcal{A}(0) (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty,$$

if only the following condition is satisfied:

$$\int_0^q \frac{|\ln \beta| \cdot u - f(q - u)}{uf(q - u)} du = \ln \frac{q}{\mathcal{A}(0)} < \infty. \quad [\mathcal{A}]$$

2. *If MQP is explosive, then*

$$t^2 \mathcal{Q}_{11}(t) = \frac{2}{a_0 \alpha} \left(1 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \right), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Further on we establish limit properties of $\{\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)\}$ for all $i, j \in \mathcal{E}$. For the general MQP the following ratio limit property holds.

Theorem 5. *The limits*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)}{\mathcal{Q}_{11}(t)} = \omega_j \quad (3.9)$$

exist for all $i, j \in \mathcal{E}$, and these determined by the GF

$$\mathcal{W}(s) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{E}} \omega_j s^j = s \exp \left\{ \int_0^s \frac{|h(x)|}{\widehat{f}(x)} dx \right\}, \quad (3.10)$$

where $h(s) = g(s)/s$ and $\widehat{f}(s) = f(qs)/q$. The limiting GF $\mathcal{W}(s)$ converges for all $0 \leq s < 1$.

Proof. Let us consider the GF

$$\mathcal{W}_i(t; s) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{E}} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)}{\mathcal{Q}_{11}(t)} s^j = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Q}_{11}(t)} G_i(t; s) = \left[\widehat{F}(t; s) \right]^{i-1} \mathcal{W}(t; s), \quad (3.11)$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}(t; s) = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{E}} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{1j}(t)}{\mathcal{Q}_{11}(t)} s^j.$$

It follows from (3.11) that it suffices to consider the case $i = 1$ because $\widehat{F}(t; s) \uparrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly for all $0 \leq s \leq r < 1$. So write

$$\mathcal{W}(t; s) = s \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \left[h(\widehat{F}(u; s)) - h(\widehat{F}(u; 0)) \right] du \right\}.$$

One can choose $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ for any $0 \leq s < 1$ so that $s = \widehat{F}(\tau; 0)$. On the other hand we know that $\widehat{F}(t; \widehat{F}(\tau; 0)) = \widehat{F}(t + \tau; 0)$ ([19, p. 24]). Therefore we obtain equalities

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}(t; s) &= s \exp \left\{ \int_{\tau}^{t+\tau} h(\widehat{F}(u; 0)) du - \int_0^t h(\widehat{F}(u; 0)) du \right\} = \\ &= s \exp \left\{ \int_0^{\tau} \left[h(\widehat{F}(t; \widehat{F}(u; 0))) - h(\widehat{F}(u; 0)) \right] du \right\} = s \exp \left\{ \int_0^s \frac{h(\widehat{F}(t; x)) - h(x)}{\widehat{f}(x)} dx \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\widehat{f}(s) := f(qs)/q$. In the last step we have used the Kolmogorov backward equation

$$\frac{\partial F(t; s)}{\partial t} = f(F(t; s)), \quad \text{for all } 0 < s < 1,$$

(see [19, pp. 27–30]). To get to (3.10) it suffices to take limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in the obtained relation for $\mathcal{W}(t; s)$ being that $\widehat{F}(t; s) \rightarrow 1$ and $h(1) = 0$. The assertion (3.9) follows now from the continuity theorem for a GF. Lastly it is easy to see that $\mathcal{W}(s) < \infty$ for all $0 \leq s < 1$. \square

Aggregating Theorems 4 and 5 yields the following

Theorem 6. *Let $\alpha := g'(1)$ is finite.*

1. *If in the restrictive case the condition $[\mathcal{A}]$ is satisfied, then*

$$\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t) = \omega_j \frac{|\ln \beta|}{a_0} \mathcal{A}(0) (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

2. If MQP is explosive, then

$$t^2 \mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t) = \omega_j \frac{2}{a_0 \alpha} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) \right), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now using the Kolmogorov-Chapman equation (2.3) we obtain

$$\frac{\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t+\tau)}{\mathcal{Q}_{11}(t+\tau)} \cdot \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{11}(t+\tau)}{\mathcal{Q}_{11}(t)} = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} \frac{\mathcal{Q}_{ik}(t)}{\mathcal{Q}_{11}(t)} \mathcal{Q}_{kj}(\tau).$$

On the other hand setting $s = 0$ in (2.10) we see that $\mathcal{Q}_{11}(t+\tau)/\mathcal{Q}_{11}(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hence we get the following invariance equation for $\{\omega_j\}$:

$$\omega_j = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{E}} \omega_k \mathcal{Q}_{kj}(t), \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathcal{T}. \quad (3.12)$$

The GF version of (3.12) is

$$\mathcal{W}\left(\widehat{F}(t; s)\right) = \frac{\widehat{F}(t; s)}{G(t; s)} \mathcal{W}(s), \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s < 1,$$

the functional equation of generalized Schroeder form. So the set $\{\omega_j\}$ is the ergodic invariant measure for MQP.

We conclude the paper stating the following limit theorem.

Theorem 7. *Let $\alpha := g'(1)$ is finite.*

1. *If MQP is restrictive, then the variable $W(t)$ tends in mean square and with probability one to the random variable \mathbf{w} having the finite mean and variance:*

$$\mathbb{E}\mathbf{w} = 1 + \gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{D}\mathbf{w} = \gamma.$$

2. *If MQP is explosive, then for any $x > 0$*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P} \left\{ \frac{W(t)}{\mathbb{E}W(t)} \leq x \right\} = 1 - e^{-2x} - 2xe^{-2x}.$$

For proof see [8].

4. Conclusion remarks

The paper is devoted to the study of a population process which is defined as the long-living continuous-time Markov branching process. This is a homogeneous Markov chain called Markov Q-process (MQP). In the discrete-time situation the same process was defined in [2]. We see that the structural parameter $\beta = f'(q)$ plays the regulating role. In fact the long-time behavior of MQP depends on this parameter and unlike the branching process it is classified only in two types. In the study of transition functions $\mathcal{Q}_{ij}(t)$ we essentially use asymptotic properties of the first derivative of the probability GF of Markov Branching process. As infinitesimal GF $\widehat{f}(s) = f(qs)/q$ generates a sub-critical branching process, referring to [19, pp. 54–57] we see that the condition [A] is equivalent to the convergence of the series $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \widehat{a}_j j \ln j$, where $\widehat{a}_j = a_j q^{j-1}$.

Therefore by definition of Q-process the first assertions of Theorems 4 and 6 hold under the

condition $\sum_{j \in \mathcal{E}} p_j \ln j < \infty$. The Ratio limit property (Theorem 5) for transition functions states the existence of invariant measure for MQP without any moment assumptions. Theorem 7 shows the limit properties of states of process. The considered model will be extended to the age-dependent Bellman-Harris process case in our future studies.

References

- [1] W.Anderson, Continuous-Time Markov Chains: An Applications-Oriented Approach, Springer, New York, 1991.
- [2] K.B.Athreya, P.E.Ney, Branching processes, Springer, New York, 1972.
- [3] V.P.Chistyakov, Local limit theorems in theory of branching random process, *Theory of Probability and its Applications*, **2**(1957), no. 3, 345–363.
- [4] Sh.K.Formanov, A.A.Imomov, On asymptotic properties of Q-processes, *Uzbek. Matem. Zh.*, **3**(2011), 175–183 (in Russian).
- [5] T.E.Harris, Theory of Branching Processes, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften 119, Springer, Berlin, 1963.
- [6] A.A.Imomov, Limit properties of transition function of continuous-time Markov Branching Processes, *International Journal of Stochastic Analysis*, **2014**(2014).
- [7] A.A.Imomov, Limit Theorem for the Joint Distribution in the Q-processes, *Journal of Siberian Federal University. Mathematics and Physics*, **7(3)**(2014), 289–296.
- [8] A.A.Imomov, On Markov analogue of Q-processes with continuous time, *Theory of Probability and Mathematical Statistics*, **84**(2012), 57–64.
- [9] A.A.Imomov, Q-processes as the Galton-Watson Branching Processes with Immigration, Trudy IX FAMET Konf., Krasnoyarsk, Russia, 2010, 148–152 (in Russian).
- [10] A.A.Imomov, Some asymptotical behaviors of Galton-Watson branching processes under condition of non-extinctivity of it remote future, Abst. of Com. of 8th Vilnius Conf. "Probab. Theory and Math. Statistics", Vilnius, Lithuania, 2002.
- [11] A.A.Imomov, On a form of condition of non-extinction of branching processes, *Uzbek. Matem. Zh.*, **2**(2001), 46–51 (in Russian).
- [12] F.C.Klebaner, U.Rösler, S.Sagitov, Transformations of Galton-Watson processes and linear fractional reproduction, *Advances in Applied Probability*, **39**(2007), 1036–1053.
- [13] A.N.Kolmogorov, N.A.Dmitriev, Branching stochastic process, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **56**(1947), 7–10 (in Russian).
- [14] J.Lamperti, P.E.Ney, Conditioned branching processes and their limiting diffusions, *Theory of Probability and its Applications*, **13**(1968), 126–137.
- [15] A.G.Pakes, Critical Markov branching process limit theorems allowing infinite variance, *Advances in Applied Probability*, **42**(2010), 460–488.

- [16] A.G.Pakes, Revisiting conditional limit theorems for the mortal simple branching process, *Bernoulli*, **5**(1999), no. 6, 969–998.
- [17] A.G.Pakes, Some limit theorems for the total progeny of a branching process, *Advances in Applied Probability*, **3**(1971), 176–192.
- [18] B.A.Sevastyanov, The theory of branching random processes, *Uspekhi Matem. Nauk*, **6(46)**(1951), 47–99 (in Russian).
- [19] B.A.Sevastyanov, Branching processes, Nauka, Moscow, 1971 (in Russian).

О предельной структуре марковского ветвящегося процесса с непрерывным временем

Азам А. Имомов

Институт математики
Национальный университет Узбекистана
Дурмон йули, 29, Ташкент
Узбекистан

В статье мы исследуем предельные свойства марковского ветвящегося процесса при условии не вырождения в далеком будущем. Предельная вероятностная мера определяет случайный процесс, называемый марковский Q -процесс. Исследуем структурные и асимптотические свойства марковского Q -процесса. Изучаем асимптотические свойства переходных вероятностей и их сходимость к инвариантным мерам.

Ключевые слова: марковский ветвящийся процесс, марковский Q -процесс, переходные вероятности, инвариантные меры.