

EDN: WNGDYA
УДК 515

Coincidence and Common Fixed Point Theorems for Hybrid Mappings Via C-class Function

Taieb Hamaizia*

Laboratory of Dynamical Systems and Control
Department of Mathematics and Informatics
Larbi Ben M'Hidi University
Algeria

Stojan Radenović†

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering
University of Belgrade
Beograd, Serbia

Arsalan Hojjat Ansari‡

Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics
Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University
Ga-Rankuwa, Pretoria, Medunsa-0204, South Africa

Received 10.08.2023, received in revised form 27.09.2023, accepted 04.02.2024

Abstract. In this paper, we prove common fixed point theorems for two pairs of hybrid mappings in metric spaces using the concept of C -class function and T -weak commutativity. Our Theorems generalize some well-know results.

Keywords: metric space, hybrid Mappings, C-class function.

Citation: T. Hamaizia, S. Radenović, A.H. Ansari, Coincidence and Common Fixed Point Theorems for Hybrid Mappings Via C-class Function, J. Sib. Fed. Univ. Math. Phys., 2024, 17(2), 282–290. EDN: WNGDYA.



1. Introduction and preliminaries

Recently, many authors have provided new fixed point results for multivalued mappings in the literature by taking into account different conditions on metric spaces (see [4, 7, 8, 11, 17]).

In the present article, we prove a coincidence and common fixed points of multivalued maps via C-class functions with a self map are taken into account with ageneralized form of contraction condition.

Let (X, d) be a metric space. For $x \in X$ and $A \subset X$, we denote

$$D(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, y), y \in A\}.$$

Let $CB(X)$ be the set of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of X .

*tayeb042000@yahoo.fr

†radens@beotel.net

‡analsisamirmath2@gmail.com

© Siberian Federal University. All rights reserved

Let H be the Hausdorff-Pompeiu metric with respect to d defined by

$$H(A, B) = \max \left\{ \sup_{a \in A} D(a, B), \sup_{b \in B} D(A, b) \right\},$$

for every $A, B \in CB(X)$.

It is well known that $(CB(X), H)$ is a metric space and if (X, d) is complete, then $(CB(X), H)$ is also complete

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a single-valued mapping and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multi-valued mapping.

(i) A point $x \in X$ is a fixed point of f (resp. T) if $fx = x$ (resp. $x \in Tx$).

(ii) A point $x \in X$ is a coincidence point of f and T if $fx \in Tx$.

(iii) A point $x \in X$ is a common fixed point of f and T if $x = fx \in Tx$.

Lemma 1 ([12]). *If $A, B \in CB(X)$ and $k > 1$, then for each $a \in A$, there exists $b \in B$ such that*

$$d(a, b) \leq kH(A, B). \quad (1)$$

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a single-valued mapping and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multi-valued mapping

Definition 1 ([12]). *1) A point $x \in X$ is said to be a coincidence point of f and T if $fx \in Tx$. We denote by $C(f, T)$ the set of all coincidence points of f and T .*

2) A point $x \in X$ is a fixed point of T if $x \in Tx$.

Definition 2 ([5]). *f and T are said to be commuting in X if for all $x \in X$,*

$$fTx \in Tfx.$$

Definition 3 ([15]). *f and T are said to be weakly commuting on X if for all $x \in X$, $fTx \in CB(X)$ and*

$$H(fTx, Tfx) \leq D(fx, Tx).$$

Definition 4 ([13]). *f and T are said to be R -weakly commuting at $x \in X$, if*

$$fTx \in CB(X)$$

and there exists an $R > 0$ such that

$$H(fTx, Tfx) \leq RD(fx, Tx). \quad (2)$$

Remark 1.1 ([6]). *Commuting implies weakly commuting, but the converse is not true in general.*

We defined that f and T are said to be pointwise R -weakly commuting on X if for all $x \in X$, $fTx \in CB(X)$ and (2) holds for some $R > 0$.

Definition 5 ([16]). *1) f and T are said to be (IT)-commuting at $x \in X$ if*

$$fTx \subset Tfx.$$

2) A pointwise R -weakly commuting hybrid pair is not weakly compatible in general.

3) IT-commutativity of f and T at a coincidence point is more general than their weak compatibility at the same point.

4) A pointwise R -weak commutativity at a coincidence point is equivalent to (IT) commutativity at this point.

Definition 6 ([9]). 1) f is T -weakly commuting at $x \in X$ if $ffx \in Tfx$.

2) For a hybrid pair (f, T) , (IT) commuting at coincidence points implies that f is T -weakly commuting at these points.

Lemma 2. a) If f is T -weakly commuting at $x \in X$, then $fx \in C(f, T)$.

b) If f is T -weakly commuting at $x \in X$ and $fx = ffx$, then fx is a common fixed point of f and T .

In 2014, A. H. Ansari [2] introduced the concept of a C -class functions which covers a large class of contractive conditions.

Definition 7 ([2]). A continuous function $F : [0, +\infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called C -class function if for any $s, t \in [0, +\infty)^2$; the following conditions hold

c1 $F(s, t) \leq s$,

c2 $F(s, t) = s$ implies that either $s = 0$ or $t = 0$.

An extra condition on F that $F(0, 0) = 0$ could be imposed in some cases if required. The letter C will denote the class of all C -functions.

Example 1. The following examples shows that the class C is nonempty:

1. $F(s, t) = s - t$.

2. $F(s, t) = ms$, for some $m \in (0, 1)$.

3. $F(s, t) = \frac{s}{(1+t)^r}$, for some $r \in (0, 1)$.

4. $F(s, t) = \frac{\log(t+a^s)}{(1+t)}$, for some $a > 1$.

Let Φ denote the class of the functions $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ which satisfy the following conditions:

a) φ is continuous ;

b) $\varphi(t) > 0$, $t > 0$ and $\varphi(0) \geq 0$.

Definition 8 ([10]). A function $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is called an altering distance function if the following properties are satisfied:

i) ψ is non-decreasing and continuous;

ii) $\psi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Let us suppose that Ψ denote the class of the altering distance functions.

Definition 9. A tripled (ψ, φ, F) where $\psi \in \Psi$; $\varphi \in \Phi_u$ and $F \in C$ is said to be a monotone if for any $x, y \in [0, +\infty)$;

$$x \leq y \text{ implies } F(\psi(x), \varphi(x)) \leq F(\psi(y), \varphi(y)).$$

Example 2. Let $F(s, t) = s - t$, $\varphi(x) = \sqrt{x}$

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{x} & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq 1 \\ x^2 & \text{if } x > 1 \end{cases},$$

then (ψ, φ, F) is monotone.

Lemma 3 ([14]). Let (X, d) be a metric space and let $\{y_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0$ is nonincreasing and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0.$$

If $\{y_{2n}\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence, then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and sequences $\{m_k\}$ and $\{n_k\}$ of positive integers such that the following sequences tend to ε when $k \rightarrow +\infty$

$$d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k}), d(x_{2n_k+1}, x_{2m_k}), d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k-1}), d(x_{2n_k+1}, x_{2m_k-1}), d(x_{2n_k+1}, x_{2m_k+1}), \dots \quad (3)$$

2. Main results

In the following theorem we obtain the coincidence and common fixed point for a hybrid pair of mappings via C -class function

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, d) be a metric space, $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ and $K, G : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ satisfying*

$$K(X) \subset T(X) \quad \text{and} \quad G(X) \subset S(X) \quad (4)$$

$$\psi(rH(Kx, Gy)) \leq F(\psi(M(x, y)), \varphi(M(x, y))) \quad (5)$$

where $r \geq 1$, $F : [0, +\infty)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is C -class function, $\psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is an altering distance function, $\varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ is an ultra altering distance function and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(Sx, Ty), D(Sx, Kx), D(Ty, Gy), \frac{D(Sx, Gy) + D(Kx, Ty)}{2} \right\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $D(Sx, Gy) + D(Kx, Ty) \neq 0$ and $H(Kx, Gy) = 0$ whenever $D(Sx, Gy) + D(Kx, Ty) = 0$. Suppose that one of $S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is complete. Then

a) there exists $p, q \in X$ such that $Sp \in Kp$ and $Tq \in Gq$.

Further, if S is K -weakly commuting and T is G -weakly commuting at their coincidence points, therefore

b) There exists $z \in X$ such that $Sz \in Kz$ and $Tz \in Gz$.

c) In the case (b), if $Sz = Tz$, then $Sz = Tz \in Kz \cap Gz$.

d) In the case (c), if $Sz = Tz = z$, then z is a common fixed point of S, T, K and G .

Proof. First, assume that there exists $p, q \in X$ such that

$$D(Sp, Gq) + D(Kp, Tq) = 0.$$

So, $D(Sp, Gq) = 0$ and $D(Kp, Tq) = 0$ which implies that $Sp \in Gq$ and $Tq \in Kp$. Since $H(Kp, Gq) = 0$, it follows that

$$D(Sp, Kp) \leq H(Kp, Gq) = 0.$$

Hence $Sp \in Kp$.

In a similar manner, we get $Tq \in Gq$.

Now, assume that

$$D(Sx, Gy) + D(Kx, Ty) \neq 0 \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X.$$

Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point. By (4) and (1), we define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$y_{2n} = Sx_{2n} \in Gx_{2n-1}, \quad y_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+1} \in Kx_{2n}$$

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) &\leq kH(Kx_{2n}, Gx_{2n-1}), \\ d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}) &\leq kH(Kx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1}), \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Using (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(rH(Kx_{2n}, Gx_{2n-1})) &\leq F(\psi(M(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1})), \varphi(M(x_{2n}, x_{2n-1}))) \leq \\
&\leq F(\psi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), D(y_{2n}, Kx_{2n}), D(y_{2n-1}, Gx_{2n-1}) \\
&\quad \frac{D(y_{2n}, Gx_{2n-1}) + D(Kx_{2n}, y_{2n-1})}{2}\}), \\
&\quad \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), D(y_{2n}, Kx_{2n}), D(y_{2n-1}, Gx_{2n-1}) \\
&\quad \frac{D(y_{2n}, Gx_{2n-1}) + D(Kx_{2n}, y_{2n-1})}{2}\})) \leq \\
&\leq F(\psi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), D(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), D(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\
&\quad \frac{0 + D(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1})}{2}\}), \\
&\quad \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), D(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), D(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}) \\
&\quad \frac{0 + D(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1})}{2}\})) \leq \\
&\leq F(\psi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), \frac{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1})}{2}\}), \\
&\quad \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}), \frac{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1})}{2}\})) \leq \\
&\leq F(\psi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \\
&\quad \frac{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})}{2}\}), \\
&\quad \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \\
&\quad \frac{d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})}{2}\})) \leq \\
&\leq F(\psi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\}), \\
&\quad \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\})). \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}) \leq rH(Kx_{2n}, Gx_{2n-1}).$$

By the increasing of ψ , we get

$$\psi(d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})) \leq \psi(rH(Kx_{2n}, Gx_{2n-1})). \tag{7}$$

Applying (7) in (6) and the nondecreasing property of ψ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})) &\leq F(\psi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\}), \\
&\quad \varphi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\})) \leq \\
&\leq \psi(\max\{d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}), d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1})\}) \leq \\
&\leq \psi(d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})).
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we can show that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) &\leq F(\psi(\max\{d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_n, y_{n+1})\}), \varphi(\max\{d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_n, y_{n+1})\})) \leq \\
&\leq \psi(\max\{d(y_n, y_{n-1}), d(y_n, y_{n+1})\}) \leq \\
&\leq \psi(d(y_n, y_{n-1})). \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

Then the sequence $[d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \downarrow 0]$ is bounded below and non-increasing, hence there exist $r \geq 0$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0.$$

By taking $n \rightarrow +\infty$ in (8) and using continuity of φ and ψ , we deduce that

$$\psi(r) \leq F(\psi(r), \varphi(r)) \leq \psi(r).$$

So, $\varphi(r) = 0$ or $\psi(r) = 0$. It follows that $r = 0$.

Now, we prove that the sequence $\{y_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy in the metric space (X, d) . Suppose that the sequence $\{y_{2n}\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence in (X, d) , then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and two sequences $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ as in Lemma 1.10 such that all sequences in (3) are tend to $\varepsilon > 0$, when $k \rightarrow +\infty$. Now, for $x = x_{2n(k)}$ and $y = x_{2m(k)+1}$ in equation (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(rH(Kx_{2n(k)}, Gx_{2m(k)+1})) &\leq F(\psi(M(x_{2n(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})), \varphi M(x_{2n(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})) \leq \\ &\leq F(\psi(\max\{d(Sx_{2n(k)}, Tx_{2m(k)+1}), D(Sx_{2n(k)}, Kx_{2n(k)}), \\ &\quad D(Tx_{2m(k)+1}, Gx_{2m(k)+1}), \\ &\quad \frac{D(Sx_{2n(k)}, Gx_{2m(k)+1}) + D(Kx_{2n(k)}, Tx_{2m(k)+1})}{2}\}) \\ &\quad \varphi(\max\{d(Sx_{2n(k)}, Tx_{2m(k)+1}), D(Sx_{2n(k)}, Kx_{2n(k)}), \\ &\quad D(Tx_{2m(k)+1}, Gx_{2m(k)+1}), \\ &\quad \frac{D(Sx_{2n(k)}, Gx_{2m(k)+1}) + D(Kx_{2n(k)}, Tx_{2m(k)+1})}{2}\})) \leq \\ &\leq F(\psi(\max\{d(y_{2n(k)-1}, y_{2m(k)}), D(y_{2n(k)-1}, y_{2n(k)}), \\ &\quad D(y_{2m(k)}, y_{2m(k)+1}), \\ &\quad \frac{D(y_{2n(k)-1}, y_{2m(k)+1}) + D(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})}{2}\})) \\ &\quad \varphi(\{\max\{d(y_{2n(k)-1}, y_{2m(k)}), D(y_{2n(k)-1}, y_{2n(k)}), \\ &\quad D(y_{2m(k)}, y_{2m(k)+1}), \\ &\quad \frac{D(y_{2n(k)-1}, y_{2m(k)+1}) + D(y_{2n(k)}, y_{2m(k)})}{2}\})) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Therefore, taking $k \rightarrow +\infty$ in inequality (9) and using the properties of F we get

$$\psi(\varepsilon) \leq F(\psi(\varepsilon), \varphi(\varepsilon)) \leq \psi(\varepsilon).$$

So, $\psi(\varepsilon) = 0$ or $\varphi(\varepsilon) = 0$, hence we get $\varepsilon = 0$ which contradiction with $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus $\{y_{2n}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d) , hence by (3) we deduce that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence in X . As $S(X)$ is complete, it converges to $z \in S(X)$ and so there exists $p \in X$ such that $z = Sp$.

Using (5)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(H(Kp, Gx_{2n-1})) &\leq F(\psi(\max\{d(Sp, Tx_{2n-1}), D(Sp, Kp), D(Tx_{2n-1}, Gx_{2n-1}), \\ &\quad \frac{D(Sp, Gx_{2n-1}) + D(Kp, Tx_{2n-1})}{2}\}), \\ &\quad \varphi(\max\{d(Sp, Tx_{2n-1}), D(Sp, Kp), D(Tx_{2n-1}, Gx_{2n-1}), \\ &\quad \frac{D(Sp, Gx_{2n-1}) + D(Kp, Tx_{2n-1})}{2}\})). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(D(Kp, y_{2n})) &\leq F\left(\psi\left(\max\left\{d(Sp, y_{2n-1}), D(Sp, Kp), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \frac{d(Sp, y_{2n}) + D(Kp, y_{2n-1})}{2}\right\}\right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \varphi\left(\max\left\{d(Sp, y_{2n-1}), D(Sp, Kp), d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}), \right. \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left. \frac{d(Sp, y_{2n}) + D(Kp, y_{2n-1})}{2}\right\}\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

Letting n tend to infinity, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(D(Kp, Sp)) &\leq F\left(\psi\left(\max\left\{0, D(Sp, Kp), 0, \frac{0 + D(Kp, Sp)}{2}\right\}\right)\right) \\ &\quad \varphi\left(\max\left\{0, D(Sp, Kp), 0, \frac{0 + D(Kp, Sp)}{2}\right\}\right) \leq \\ &\leq F(\psi(D(Sp, Kp)); \varphi(D(Sp, Kp)) \leq \psi D(Sp, Kp). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we hold $\psi(D(Sp, Kp)) = 0$ or $\varphi(D(Sp, Kp)) = 0$, then $D(Sp, Kp) = 0$, with imple $Sp \in Kp$.

Similarly, as $K(X) \subset T(X)$, there exists $q \in X$ such that $z = Sp = Tq$. Applying (5) and letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$, bu the same calculate, we can find $Tq \in Gq$.

Since S is F -weakly commuting at $p \in C(S, T)$ and T is G -weakly commuting at $q \in C(G, T)$ it follows that $z = Sp \in C(K, T)$ and $z = Tq \in C(G, T)$. Hence, $Sz \in Kz$ and $Tz \in Gz$. If $Sz = Tz$, then $Sz = Tz \in Kz \cap Gz$ and if $Sz = Tz = z$, then z is a common fixed point of S, T, K and G .

Corollary 1. *Let (X, d) be a metric space, $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ and $K, G : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} K(X) \subset T(X) \quad \text{and} \quad G(X) \subset S(X) \\ rH(Kx, Gy) \leq M(x, y) \beta(M(x, y)) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M(x, y) = \max\left\{d(Sx, Ty), D(Sx, Kx), D(Ty, Gy), \frac{D(Sx, Gy) + D(Kx, Ty)}{2}\right\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $D(Sx, Gy) + D(Kx, Ty) \neq 0$ and $H(Kx, Gy) = 0$ whenever $D(Sx, Gy) + D(Kx, Ty) = 0$. Suppose that one of $S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is complete. Then

a) there exists $p, q \in X$ such that $Sp \in Kp$ and $Tq \in Gq$.

Further, if S is K -weakly commuting and T is G -weakly commuting at their coincidence points, therefore

b) There exists $z \in X$ such that $Sz \in Kz$ and $Tz \in Gz$.

c) In the case (b), if $Sz = Tz$, then $Sz = Tz \in Kz \cap Gz$.

d) In the case (c), if $Sz = Tz = z$, then z is a common fixed point of S, T, K and G .

Proof. Set $\psi(t) = t$, $F(s, t) = s\beta(s)$ in Theorem (2.1), $\beta : [0, 1) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. □

Corollary 2. *Let (X, d) be a metric space, $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ and $K, G : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ satisfying*

$$\begin{aligned} K(X) \subset T(X) \quad \text{and} \quad G(X) \subset S(X) \\ rH(Kx, Gy) \leq mM(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

where $r \geq 1$ and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(Sx, Ty), D(Sx, Kx), D(Ty, Gy), \frac{D(Sx, Gy) + D(Kx, Ty)}{2} \right\}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, $D(Sx, Gy) + D(Kx, Ty) \neq 0$ and $H(Kx, Gy) = 0$ whenever $D(Sx, Gy) + D(Kx, Ty) = 0$. Suppose that one of $S(X)$ or $T(X)$ is complete. Then

a) there exists $p, q \in X$ such that $Sp \in Kp$ and $Tq \in Gq$.

Further, if S is K -weakly commuting and T is G -weakly commuting at their coincidence points, therefore

b) There exists $z \in X$ such that $Sz \in Kz$ and $Tz \in Gz$.

c) In the case (b), if $Sz = Tz$, then $Sz = Tz \in Kz \cap Gz$.

d) In the case (c), if $Sz = Tz = z$, then z is a common fixed point of S, T, K and G .

Proof. Set $\psi(t) = t$, $F(s, t) = ms$ in Theorem (2.1), $m \in (0, 1)$. □

Now we present some examples to support our Theorem

Example 3. Define $\psi, \varphi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by $\psi(t) = \frac{t}{15}$; $\varphi(t) = 2t$ and $F(s, t) = ks$ for $k \in (0, 1)$.

Let $X = [0, 1]$ be endowed with the Euclidean metric d . Let $Gx = [0, x^2]$, for all $x, y \in X$, we have

$$d(x, y) = |x - y|, D(x, Gx) = \inf \{d(x, b), b \in [0, x^2]\}, D(y, Gy) = \inf \{d(y, c), c \in [0, y^2]\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} H(Gx, Gy) &= H([0, x^2], [0, y^2]) = \\ &= |x^2 - y^2| = (x + y)|x - y| \leq kd(x, y), \quad k \in (0, 1) \quad \text{with } x, y \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, these mappings are satisfy all conditions of theorem, then they have a fixed point in X .

References

- [1] R.P.Agarwal, M.Meehan, D.O'Regan, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [2] A.H.Ansari, Note on φ - ψ -contractive type mappings and related fixed point, The 2nd regional conference on mathematics and applications, Payame Noor University, 2014, 377–380.
- [3] S.Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur applications aux equations integrals. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, **3**(1922), 133–181.
- [4] P.Debnath, N.Konwar, S.Radenovic, Metric Fixed Point Theory: Applications in Science, Engineering and Behavioural Science, Springer Nature, Singapore, 2021.
- [5] M.Imdad, T.I.Khan, Results on Nonlinear Hybrid Contractions Satisfying a Rational Inequality, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics*, **26**(2002), 421–432.
- [6] G.Jungck, B.E.Rhoades, Fixed points for set-valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **29**(1998), no. 3, 227–238.
- [7] T.Hamaizia, A.H.Ansari, Related fixed point on two metric spaces via C-class functions, *Palestine Journal of Mathematics*, **10**(2021), no. 2, 537–546.

- [8] T.Hamaizia, P.P.Murthy, Z-contraction condition involving simulation function in b-metric space underfixed points considerations, *Mathematica Moravica*, **25**(2021), no. 2, 43–52.
- [9] T.Kamran, Coincidence and fixed points for hybrid strict contractions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **299**(2004), no. 1, 235–241.
- [10] M.S.Khan, M.Swaleh, S.Sessa, Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, **30**(1984), 1–9.
- [11] S.Merdaci, T.Hamaizia, A.Aliouche, Some generalization of non-unique fixed point theorems for multi-valued mappings in b-metric spaces, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, **83**(2021), no. 4.
- [12] S.B.Nadler, Multi-valued contractions mappings, *Pacific J. Math.*, **30**(1969), no. 3, 475–488.
- [13] R.P.Pant, Common fixed points of noncommuting mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **188**(1994), 436–440.
- [14] S.Radenović, Z.Kadelburg, D.Jandrlić, and A.Jandrlić, Some results on weakly contractive maps, *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.*, **32**(2012), no. 3, 625–645.
- [15] S.Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point considerations, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd)*, **32**(1982), 149–153.
- [16] S.L.Singh, S.N.Mishra, Coincidence and fixed points of non-self hybrid contractions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **256**(2001), no. 2, 486–497.
- [17] V.Todorčević, *Harmonic Quasiconformal Mappings and Hyperbolic Type Metrics*, Springer Nature Switzerland, 2019. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-22591-9

Теоремы о совпадении и общих неподвижных точках для гибридных отображений с помощью функции C -класса

Тайеб Хамайзия

Лаборатория динамических систем и управления
Кафедра математики и информатики
Университет Ларби Бен Мхиди
Алжир

Стоян Раденович

Факультет машиностроения
Белградский университет
Белград, Сербия

Арсалан Ходжат Ансари

Кафедра математики и прикладной математики
Университет медицинских наук Сефако Макгато
Га-Ранкува, Претория, Медунса-0204, Южная Африка

Аннотация. В данной статье мы доказываем общие теоремы о неподвижной точке для двух пар гибридных отображений в метрических пространствах, используя концепцию функции C -класса и T -слабую коммутативность. Наши теоремы обобщают некоторые хорошо известные результаты.

Ключевые слова: метрическое пространство, гибридные отображения, функция C -класса.