Integral Representation and the Computation of Multiple Combinatorial Sums from Hall’s Commutator Theory
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Abstract. In this paper we prove a series of combinatorial identities arising from computing the exponents of the commutators in P. Hall’s collection formula. We also compute a sum in closed form that arises from using the collection formula in Chevalley groups for solving B. A. F. Wehrfritz problem on the regularity of their Sylow subgroups.
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1. Introduction and main results

In the paper [1], P. Hall proved the collection formula for the expression $(xy)^n$ and showed that the exponents of the commutators in that formula are expressed as linear combinations of binomial coefficients with integral non-negative coefficients. In connection with different applications of the collection formula, the exponents of some commutators were found in a form of combinatorial sums in [2, 3, 4, 5]. In the paper [6], a parametrization of the uncollected part of Hall’s collection formula was proposed. The parametrization can be used to express the exponents of the commutators in the form of multiple combinatorial sums. For this reason, the problem of computing obtained sums in closed form and also modulo prime $n$ arises.

In this paper we prove a series of identities that arise in our research, using the method of coefficients [7, 8, 9], which is directly connected with the theory of residues in multidimensional complex analysis. We also compute one combinatorial sum that regularly arises in computing commutators in Chevalley groups.
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It was shown in [6] that the exponent of the commutator \([[[y,u],z], [y,v]]\), \(1 \leq v < u \leq n - 1\), in the collection formula is equal to

\[
\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{v} \sum_{i=v-k}^{n-m-k} \left( \binom{n-m-i-1}{k-1} \binom{i}{u-k+1} \binom{i}{v-k} + \sum_{m=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=m+1}^{n-1} \left( \binom{m}{v} \binom{k}{u} \right) \right). \tag{1}
\]

The first multiple sum was transformed in [10] as follows:

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{v} \sum_{i=v-k}^{n-k-1} \left( \binom{n-i-1}{k} \binom{i}{u-k+1} \binom{i}{v-k} \right). \tag{2}
\]

Since the product \(\binom{n-i-1}{k} \binom{i}{u-k+1} \binom{i}{v-k}\) is equal to zero if \(n-i-1 < k\), or \(i < u-k+1\), or \(i < v-k\), we finally rewrite sum (1) in the following way:

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{v} \sum_{i=v-k}^{n-k-1} \left( \binom{n-i-1}{k} \binom{i}{u-k+1} \binom{i}{v-k} + \sum_{m=1}^{n-2} \sum_{k=m+1}^{n-1} \left( \binom{m}{v} \binom{k}{u} \right) \right). \tag{3}
\]

In [10], a combinatorial identity was proved that transforms the first multiple sum in (3) into linear combination of binomial coefficients of the form \(\binom{n}{m}\) with integral non-negative coefficients. This representation is useful for computing the sum modulo prime \(n\). In the following theorem, we give a new, simpler proof of the identity (4).

**Theorem 1.** Suppose

\[
\Omega_1 = \{n, u, v \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid n \leq u + 1\},
\]
\[
\Omega_2 = \{n, u, v \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid v \leq u + 1\},
\]
\[
\Omega_3 = \{n, u, v \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid k \leq u + 1\}.
\]

If the parameters \(n, u, v, k\) belong to \(\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2 \cup \Omega_3\), then the following combinatorial identity holds:

\[
\sum_{i=\max(0,v-k,u-k+1)}^{n-k-1} \left( \binom{n-i-1}{k} \binom{i}{u-k+1} \binom{i}{v-k} \right) = \sum_{i=\max(0,v-u-1)}^{\min(v-k,n-u-2)} \left( \binom{v-k}{i} \binom{n}{i+u+2} \binom{u-k+i+1}{v-k} \right). \tag{4}
\]

Denote by \(S\) and \(T\), respectively, the left-hand and right-hand sides of identity (4). Here, the combinatorial sums \(S = S(z)\) and \(T = T(z)\) are integer-valued functions of the integral parameters \(z = (n, k, u, v)\). In addition to computing the sum \(S\), we faced a difficult and, possibly, new problem in combinatorial practice: to find all the values of the parameters \(n, k, u, v\) for which the identity \(S(z) = T(z)\) holds. In other words, the problem is to find all the solutions of the equation \(S(z) - T(z) = 0\). Theorem 1 partially solves this problem, since sufficient conditions on the parameters \(n, k, u, v\), are found for which the identity (4) holds. Let us note that Theorem 1 was proved thanks, in large part, to the fact that the terms of the combinatorial sums \(S(z)\) and \(T(z)\) are non-negative.

Further on, in connection with computing the exponent of the commutator \([[y,i+1,x],[y,i,x],r,x]]\) in another collection formula, the following identity was proved in
Theorem 2. Suppose \(r,m,n,i \in \mathbb{N}_0\), \(r \geq 1\) and \(s_1(m,r)\) are the Stirling numbers of the first kind. Then we have the following \(r\)-multiple formula of summation:

\[
\sum_{s_{r-1}=1}^{n} \sum_{s_{r-2}=1}^{s_{r-1}} \cdots \sum_{s_1=1}^{s_2} \frac{(i+1)^{s_1}}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \sum_{s_0=0}^{n-s_1-1} s_1(m,j) \left( \frac{r+n-i-s-2}{r-1} \right) \left( \frac{i+s+1}{s} \right).
\]

In conclusion, for the root system of type \(A_n\), we compute the following sum:

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} r_{ji} K_{-\alpha_i,-\beta} K_{\alpha_i,0},
\]

where \(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\) are all simple roots of the root system \(\Phi\), \(\alpha, \beta \in \Phi^+, (r_{ji})\) is the Cartan matrix. The numbers \(K_{r,s}\) \((r,s \in \Phi^+\) or \(r,s \in \Phi^-\)) are defined by the following equality with the structure constants of the Lie algebra of type \(\Phi\):

\[
K_{r,s} = \sum_{(q_1, \ldots, q_t)} N_{s,q_1} N_{s+q_1,q_2} \cdots N_{s+q_{t-1}+q_t},
\]

where the summation is taken over all combinations of not necessarily different simple roots \(q_1, \ldots, q_t\) such that \(r = s + q_1 + \ldots + q_t\), and for any integer \(i, 1 \leq i \leq t-1\), the sum \(s + q_1 + \ldots + q_i\) is a root. If such sequences do not exist, then we put \(K_{r,s} = 0\) by definition.

The sum (5) regularly arises in computing commutators in Chevalley groups. The following theorem holds.

Theorem 3. Suppose the natural numbers: \(p, q, k, m, n\) satisfy the inequalities: \(1 \leq p < q \leq n+1\), \(1 \leq k < m \leq n+1\). Then we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+j} r_{ij} \left( \frac{m-k-1}{m-j-1} \right) \left( \frac{q-p-1}{q-i-1} \right) = \left( \frac{q+m-p-k}{m-p} \right),
\]

where \((r_{ij})\) is the following square \(n \times n\) matrix:

\[
(r_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix}
2 & -1 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & \ldots & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 2 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & -1 & 2
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

2. Proof of Theorem 1

To prove Theorem 1 we need several lemmas. Let

\[
\Phi(w) = \Phi(w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4) = \sum_{n,u,v,k \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in \mathbb{N}} S(n, u, v, k) w_1^n w_2^u w_3^v w_4^k
\]

be the generating function for the multiple sequence \(S\).
Lemma 1. The function $\Phi(w)$, $w \in \mathbb{C}^4$, is rational and holomorphic in a neighborhood of zero and has the following form:

$$
\Phi(w) = \frac{w_1^2 w_4}{(1-w_1)(1-w_1(1+w_2)(1+w_3))(1-w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4)}.
$$

(8)

where

$$
|w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4/(1-w_1)| < 1, \quad |w_1(1+w_2)(1+w_3)| < 1.
$$

Proof. We have

$$
\Phi(w) = \sum_{n,u,v \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in \mathbb{N}} S(n,u,v,k) w_1^n w_2^u w_3^v w_4^k =
$$

$$
= \sum_{n,u,v \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in \mathbb{N}} w_1^n w_2^u w_3^v w_4^k \left( \sum_{i=\max(0,-v-k,u-1)}^{n-k-1} \binom{n-i-1}{i} \binom{i}{u-k} \binom{i}{v-k} \right)
$$

$$
= \sum_{n,u,v \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in \mathbb{N}} w_1^n w_2^u w_3^v w_4^k \left( \sum_{i=\max(0,-v-k,u-1)}^{n-k-1} \text{res}_{x=i} \left( \frac{(1-x)^{-k-1}}{x^{n-i-k}} \right) \cdot \text{res}_{y=i} \left( \frac{(1+y)^i}{y^{u-k+2}} \right) \cdot \text{res}_{z=i} \left( \frac{(1+z)^i}{z^{v-k+1}} \right) \right)
$$

we extend the summation over $i$ to infinity, adding zero terms, $|x| < 1$,

$$
= \sum_{n,u,v \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in \mathbb{N}} w_1^n w_2^u w_3^v w_4^k \text{res}_{x,y,z} \left\{ \frac{(1-x)^{-k-1}}{x^{n-k} y^{u-k+2} z^{v-k+1}} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (x(1+y)(1+z))^i \right\}
$$

summing over $i$, $|x(1+y)(1+z)| < 1$,

$$
= \sum_{n,u,v \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in \mathbb{N}} w_1^n w_2^u w_3^v w_4^k \text{res}_{x,y,z} \left\{ \frac{(1-x)^{-k-1}}{x^{n-k} y^{u-k+2} z^{v-k+1}} (1-x(1+y)(1+z)) \right\}
$$

$$
= \sum_{n,u,v \in \mathbb{N}_0} w_1^n w_2^u w_3^v w_4^k \text{res}_{x,y,z} \left\{ \frac{(1-x)^{-2k+1}}{x^{n-k} y^{u-k+2} z^{v-k+1}} \right\}
$$

summing over $k$, $|xyz w_4/(1-x)| < 1$,

$$
= \sum_{n,u,v \in \mathbb{N}_0} w_1^n w_2^u w_3^v w_4^k \text{res}_{x,y,z} \left\{ \frac{(1-x)^{-1} w_4}{x^{n-1} y^{u+1} z^{v}(1-x(1+y)(1+z))(1-x-xyz w_4)} \right\}
$$

summing over $n, u, v$ by the substitution rule [7, p. 13] and the change: $x = w_1, y = w_2, z = w_3$,

$$
= \frac{w_1^2 w_3 w_4}{(1-w_1)(1-w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4)(1-w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4)}.
$$

The lemma is proved.

Let

$$
\Psi(w) = \Psi(w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4) = \sum_{n,u,v,k \in \mathbb{N}_0} T(n,u,v,k) w_1^n w_2^u w_3^v w_4^k,
$$

be the generating function for the multiple sequence $T$.

Lemma 2. The function $\Psi(w)$, $w \in \mathbb{C}^4$, is rational and holomorphic in a neighborhood of zero and has the following form:

$$
\Psi(w) = \frac{w_1^2 w_3 w_4 (1-w_3)^{-1}(1-w_1)(1+w_2)(1+w_3)}{(1-w_1+w_3-w_1 w_3-w_3 w_4-w_1 w_3 w_4-w_2 w_3 w_4)}.
$$

(9)

where

$$
\frac{w_1 w_3 (1+w_3)}{1-w_1-w_1 w_3} < 1, \quad \frac{w_3 w_4 (1-w_1-w_1 w_3)}{(1-w_1)(1+w_3)} < 1.
$$

(10)
Proof. We have

\[ T = \sum_{i=0}^{v-k} \binom{v-k}{i} \binom{n}{i+u+2} \binom{u-k+i+1}{v-k} = \]

\[ = \sum_{i=0}^{v-k} \text{res}_x \left( \frac{(1+x)^{v-k}}{x^{i+1}} \right) \cdot \text{res}_y \left( \frac{(1-y)^{-i+u+2}}{y^{n-(i+u+2)+1}} \right) \cdot \text{res}_z \left( \frac{(1+z)^{u-k+i+1}}{z^{v-u-k+1}} \right) = \]

\[ = \text{res}_{x,y,z} \left\{ \frac{(1+y(1+z)(1-y)^{-i+u+2})(1-y)^{-u-3}(1+z)^{u-k+1}}{y^n-u-1z^{v-u-k+1}} \right\} = \]

summing over \( i \) by the substitution rule and the change: \( x = y(1+z)(1-y)^{-1} \),

\[ = \text{res}_{y,z} \left\{ \frac{(1+y(1+z)(1-y)^{-1})^{v-k}(1-y)^{-u-3}(1+z)^{u-k+1}}{y^{n-u-1z^{v-u-k+1}}} \right\} = \]

\[ = \text{res}_{y,z} \left\{ \frac{(1+yz)^{v-k}(1-y)^{-v-k+u+3}(1+z)^{u-k+1}}{y^{n-u-1z^{v-u-k+1}}} \right\}. \]

Therefore,

\[ \Psi(w) = \sum_{n,u,v\in\mathbb{N},k\in\mathbb{N}} T(u, u, v, k) w^n w^u w^v = \]

\[ = \sum_{n,u,v\in\mathbb{N},k\in\mathbb{N}} w^n w^u w^v \text{res}_{y,z} \left\{ \frac{(1+yz)^{v-k}(1-y)^{-v-k+u+3}(1+z)^{u-k+1}}{y^{n-u-1z^{v-u-k+1}}} \right\} = \]

summing over \( n \) by the substitution rule and the change: \( y = w_1 \),

\[ = \sum_{u,v\in\mathbb{N},k\in\mathbb{N}} w^{u+2} w^{u} w^v \text{res}_{z} \left\{ \frac{(1+w_1z)^{v-k}(1-w_1)^{-v-k+u+3}(1+z)^{u-k+1}}{z^{v-u-k+1}} \right\} = \]

the change \( t = z(1-w_1)/w_3(1+w_1z), |z| < 1 \),

\[ = \sum_{u,v\in\mathbb{N},k\in\mathbb{N}} w^{u+2} w^{u} w^v \text{res}_{z} \left\{ \frac{(1-w_1)^{-v-k+u+3}(1+w_3)^{u-k+1}}{1-w_1-w_1w_3} \right\} = \]

summing over \( v \) by the substitution rule and the change: \( t = 1 \),

\[ = \sum_{u,v\in\mathbb{N},k\in\mathbb{N}} w^{u+2} w^u w^v \text{res}_{z} \left\{ \frac{(1-w_1)^{-v-k+u+3}(1+w_3)^{u-k+1}}{1-w_1-w_1w_3} \right\} = \]

\[ = \frac{w_3^2(1+w_3)}{(1-w_1)^2(1-w_1-w_1w_3)^2} \cdot \sum_{u=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{w_1 w_2 (1+w_3)}{1-w_1-w_1w_3} \right)^u \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{w_3 w_4 (1-w_1-w_1w_3)}{1-w_1} \right)^k. \]

Since (10) holds, it remains to use the summation formula for a geometric series to simplify the sums over \( u \) and \( k \). The lemma is proved. \( \square \)

Let us denote by \( \Theta(w) \) the difference \( \Phi(w) - \Psi(w) \). Then \( \Theta(w) \) is not identically zero, is rational and holomorphic in a neighbourhood of zero, and has the following form:

\[ \Theta(w) = \frac{-w_1^2 w_2^2 w_3^2 (1-w_1-w_1w_2w_3w_4)^{-1}}{(1-w_1)(1+w_3) - w_3 w_4 (1-w_1-w_1w_3)}, \]  

where

\[ \left| \frac{w_3 w_4 (1-w_1-w_1w_3)}{1-w_1} \right| < 1 \quad \left| \frac{w_1 w_2 w_4}{1-w_1} \right| < 1, \quad |w_i| < 1, \quad i = 1, 2, 3. \]  

(12)
Suppose
\[ \Theta(w) = \sum_{n, u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0, k \in \mathbb{N}} \theta(n, u, v, k) w_n^u w_2^u w_3^v w_4^k \]
and
\[ \theta(n, u, v, k) = \operatorname{res}_{w} \left\{ \frac{\Theta(w)}{w_1^{n+1} w_2^{u+1} w_3^{v+1} w_4^{k+1}} \right\}, \quad \forall n, u, v \in \mathbb{N}_0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}. \]

To conclude the proof of Theorem 1 it remains to show that if \( n, u, v, k \in \Omega \), then \( \theta(n, u, v, k) = 0 \) and, therefore, \( S(n, u, v, k) = T(n, u, v, k) \).

We have
\[ \theta(n, u, v, k) = \operatorname{res}_{w} \left\{ \frac{w_1^2 w_3^2 w_4^2 (1 - w_1)^{-1}(1 - w_1 - w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4)^{-1}}{w_1^{n+1} w_2^{u+1} w_3^{v+1} w_4^{k+1}((1 - w_1)(1 + w_3) - w_3 w_4(1 - w_1 - w_1 w_3))} \right\}. \quad (13) \]

By expanding the functions
\[ (1 - w_1 - w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4)^{-1}, \quad ((1 - w_1)(1 + w_3) - w_3 w_4(1 - w_1 - w_1 w_3))^{-1} \]
in powers of \((w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4)(1 - w_1)^{-1}, w_3 w_4 f(w_1, w_3)\), respectively, where
\[ f(w_1, w_3) = \frac{(1 - w_1 - w_1 w_3)}{(1 - w_1)(1 + w_3)}, \]
we obtain
\[ \theta(n, u, v, k) = \operatorname{res}_{w_1, w_2, w_3, w_4} \left\{ \frac{(1 - w_1)^{-3}(1 + w_3)^{-1}}{w_1^{n+1} w_2^{u+1} w_3^{v+1} w_4^{k+1}} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_3^j w_4^j f(w_1, w_3)^j \right\} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 \right)^i. \]

Transforming the last expression into the form
\[ \operatorname{res}_{w_1, w_3, w_4} \left\{ \frac{(1 - w_1)^{-3}(1 + w_3)^{-1}}{w_1^{n+1} w_3^{v+1} w_4^{k+1}} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} w_3^j w_4^j f(w_1, w_3)^j \right\} \cdot \operatorname{res}_{w_2} \left\{ \frac{1}{w_2^{u+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 \right)^i \right\} \]
and computing the residue at the point \( w_2 = 0 \), we get
\[ \theta(n, u, v, k) = \operatorname{res}_{w_1, w_3, w_4} \left\{ \frac{(1 - w_1)^{u-3} w_3^{-3}}{w_1^{n-u-2} + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + w_3} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(w_1, w_3)^j}{w_3^{v-2-j-u} + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{w_4^{k-u-j+2} + 1} \right\}. \quad (14) \]

The residue at the point \( w_1 = 0 \) is equal to zero if \( n - u - 2 < 0 \), i.e. \( n \leq u + 1 \) (the case \( (n, u, v, k) \in \Omega_1 \)). The residue at the point \( w_3 = 0 \) is equal to zero for every \( j \geq 0 \) if \( v - 2 - j < u \), i.e. for \( v \leq u + 1 \) (the case \( (n, u, v, k) \in \Omega_2 \)). Finally, rewriting (14) as follows:
\[ \theta(n, u, v, k) = \operatorname{res}_{w_1, w_3} \left\{ \frac{(1 - w_1)^{u-3}}{w_1^{n-u-2} + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{1 + w_3} \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(w_1, w_3)^j}{w_3^{v-2-j-u} + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{w_4^{k-u-j+2} + 1} \right\}, \]
we see that the residue at the point \( w_4 = 0 \) is equal to zero for every \( j \geq 0 \) if \( k < u + 1 \) (the case \( (n, u, v, k) \in \Omega_3 \)).
3. Proof of Theorem 2.

Let us recall the definition of the Stirling numbers of the first kind $s_1(m, r)$ ([7, p. 272]):

$$a(a - 1) \times \ldots \times (a - n + 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} s_1(n, k)a^k, \quad \forall a \in \mathbb{R}.$$  

Thus, we have

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ m \end{array} \right) = \frac{(a) \ldots (a - m + 1)}{m!} = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^{m} s_1(m, j)a^j,$$

and

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} s \\ i+1 \end{array} \right) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^{m} s_1(m, j)\left( \frac{s}{i+1} \right)^j.$$  

Taking into account (16), we obtain

$$\sum_{s_{r-1}=1}^{s_{r-1}} \ldots \sum_{s_{1}=1}^{s_{1}} \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^{m} s_1(m, j)\left( \frac{s}{i+1} \right)^j = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^{m} s_1(m, j)\left\{ \sum_{s_{r-1}=1}^{s_{r-1}} \ldots \sum_{s_{1}=1}^{s_{1}} \left( \frac{s}{i+1} \right)^j \right\}.$$  

Using the identity

$$\sum_{s_{r-1}=1}^{s_{r-1}} \ldots \sum_{s_{1}=1}^{s_{1}}\left( \frac{s}{i+1} \right)^m = \sum_{s=0}^{n-i-1} \left(r + n - i - 2\right)^{m-1}_{s}, \quad m > 1,$$

proved in [6], we finally get

$$\sum_{s_{r-1}=1}^{s_{r-1}} \ldots \sum_{s_{1}=1}^{s_{1}}\left( \frac{s}{i+1} \right)^m = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \sum_{s=0}^{n-i-1} s_1(m, j)\left( \frac{r + n - i - 2}{r - 1} \right)^{m-1}_{s}.$$  

The theorem is proved.

4. Proof of Theorem 3

We have

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{i+j}r_{ij}\left( \frac{q - p - 1}{q - i - 1} \right)\left( \frac{m - k - 1}{m - j - 1} \right) =$$

$$= \sum_{i=p}^{q-1} \sum_{j=k}^{m-1} (-1)^{i+j}r_{ij}\left( \frac{q - p - 1}{q - i - 1} \right)\left( \frac{m - k - 1}{m - j - 1} \right) = \sum_{i=p}^{q-1} \sum_{j=k}^{m-1} (-1)^{i+j}r_{ij}\left( \frac{q - p - 1}{i - p} \right)\left( \frac{m - k - 1}{j - k} \right).$$  

If we replace $i - p, j - k$ by $s, t$, respectively, and put $Q = q - p - 1, M = m - k - 1$, then we get

$$S = \sum_{s=0}^{Q} \sum_{t=0}^{M} (-1)^{s+t+k}r_{s+t+k}\left( \frac{Q}{s} \right)\left( \frac{M}{t} \right) = \sum_{s=0}^{Q} \sum_{t=0}^{M} (-1)^{s+t+k}r_{s+t+k}\left( \frac{Q}{s} \right)\left( \frac{M}{t} \right).$$  

Let us rewrite the sum $S$ using the formula \( \binom{n}{k} = res_z(1 + x)^n x^{-k-1} \) and adding terms, which are equal to zero for $s > Q$ and $t > M$:

$$S = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{s+t+k}r_{s+t+k} \cdot res_{w}(1 + w)^{Q} w^{-s-1} \cdot res_{z}(1 + z)^{M} z^{-(M-t)-1}.$$  
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The elements $r_{ij}$ are not equal to zero only in the following cases: $i = j$, $i = j + 1$, $j = i + 1$, when $r_{ii} = 2$, $r_{i,i+1} = r_{i+1,i} = -1$. Thus, $r_{s+p,t+k} = 2$, if $s + p = t + k$, i.e. $t = s + p - k$ for any $s \geq 0$. Note that if $p - k < 0$, then the index $t < 0$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, p - k - 1$. However, in this case, both the binomial coefficient $\binom{M-1}{M-t}$ and its integral representation are equal to zero.

Continuing this line of reasoning for the cases $r_{i,i+1} = r_{i+1,i} = -1$, we obtain

\[
S = \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{s+p-k+k+s+p} 2 \cdot \res_w (1 + w)^{Q} w^{-s-1} \cdot \res_z (1 + z)^{M-z-(M-(s+p-k))^{-1}} +
\]
\[
+ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{s+p-k+1+k+s+p} (-1) \cdot \res_w (1 + w)^{Q} w^{-s-1} \cdot \res_z (1 + z)^{M-z-(M-(s+p+k+1))^{-1}} +
\]
\[
+ \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{s+p-k-k+s+p} (-1) \cdot \res_w (1 + w)^{Q} w^{-s-1} \cdot \res_z (1 + z)^{M-z-(M-(s+p-k+1))^{-1}} +
\]
\[
= \res_z \left\{(1 + z)^{M-z-M+(p-k-1)^{-1}} (1 + 2z + z^2) \cdot \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} z^s \res_w (1 + w)^{Q} w^{-s-1}\right\}.
\]

Summing over $s$, using the substitution rule and the change $w = z$, we finally get

\[
S = \res_z ((1 + z)^{Q+2} z^{M+(p-k-1)^{-1}} = \binom{Q + M + 2}{M - p + k + 1} = \binom{q - p + m - k}{m - p}.
\]

The theorem is proved. \qed
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