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#### Abstract
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## Introduction

continue our research [1] on the collection process, the concept of which was introduced by P. Hall [2]. Let $W$ be a positive word of the free group $F=F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), n \geqslant 2$, i.e. $W$ does not contain inverses of $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$. By rearranging step by step consecutive occurrences of elements in $W$ with use of commutators: $Q R=R Q[Q, R], Q, R \in F$, the collection process transforms $W$ into the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=q_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots q_{j}^{e_{j}} T_{j}, \quad j \geqslant 1, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{j}$ are commutators in $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}$ arranged in order of increasing weights, $T_{j}$ consists of commutators of weights not less than $w\left(q_{j}\right)$ (the weight of $\left.q_{j}\right)$, the exponents $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{j}$ are positive integers. Further we will not impose restrictions on the arrangement of $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{j}$.

Research is developing in two directions. The first one is connected with divisibility properties of the exponents $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{j}$ for some words $W$. In [2, Theorems 3.1 and 3.2] the application of the collection process to the word $W=\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)^{m}, m \geqslant 1$, leads to the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)^{m}=q_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots q_{j(s)}^{e_{j(s)}} \quad\left(\bmod \Gamma_{s}(F)\right), \quad s \geqslant 2, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma_{s}(F)$ is the $s$-th term of the lower central series of $F$, which is defined as follows: $\Gamma_{1}(F)=F, \Gamma_{k}(F)=\left[\Gamma_{k-1}(F), F\right], k \geqslant 2$, and the exponents of the commutators are expressed

[^0]in the following form:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{i}(m)=\sum_{k=1}^{w\left(q_{i}\right)} c_{k}\binom{m}{k} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where non-negative integers $c_{k}$ do not depend on $m$. This result is significant for the theory of $p$-groups, since the expression $e_{i}\left(p^{\alpha}\right)$ is divisible by the prime power $p^{\alpha}$ if $w\left(q_{i}\right)<p$. In [3, Theorem 12.3.1] the same result is obtained for the word $\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)^{m}, n \geqslant 1$. In [4, Theorems 5.13 A and 5.13 B ] a similar formula with divisibility properties of the exponents of the commutators is proved for $W^{m}$, where $W$ is an arbitrary word (not necessarily positive), $m \geqslant 1$. The work [5, Lemma 4] devoted to nilpotent products of cyclic groups and also the works [6,7] consider the word $W=a_{1}^{m_{1}} a_{2}^{m_{2}}$ (with some restrictions on $m_{1}, m_{2} \geqslant 1$ ) for which some divisibility properties of the exponents of the commutators are obtained. The author's work [1] proposes an approach to studying the exponents $e_{j}$ in (1) and gives generalizations of the above results using this approach.

The second direction is connected with an explicit form of the exponents $e_{j}$ in the P . Hall's collection formula (2) and, as a consequence, with explicit collection formulas (2) in groups with some restrictions (solvable length, nilpotency class of the group, etc). For example, the explicit formula

$$
\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)^{m}=a_{1}^{m} a_{2}^{m}\left[a_{2}, a_{1}\right]^{\binom{m}{2}}
$$

is well known for a group $G$, where $a_{1}, a_{2} \in G,\left[a_{2}, a_{1}\right] \in Z(G)$. Formula (2) and the exponent $\binom{m}{i+1}$ of the commutator $\left[a_{2},{ }_{i} a_{1}\right], i \geqslant 1$, have been used to prove the ( $p-1$ )-th Engel congruence $\left[a_{2},{ }_{p-1} a_{1}\right]=1\left(\bmod \Gamma_{p+1}(G)\right)$ for a group $G$ of prime exponent $p$, which was the key to investigation of the restricted Burnside problem for groups of prime exponent $p$ [3, p. 327]. With use of the exponents for more complex commutators, the 14 -th Engel congruence has been proved for groups of exponent 8 in $[8,9]$. Also, explicit collection formulas (2) for groups with some restrictions are considered in the works [10-13]. The explicit formula (2) for a group where any commutator with more than two occurrences of $a_{2}$ is equal to 1 has been used to prove the non-regularity of the Sylow $p$-subgroup of the general linear group $G L_{n}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{p^{m}}\right)$ for $n \geqslant(p+2) / 3$ and $m \geqslant 3$, when $(p+2) / 3$ is an integer [14]. This has lead to partial solution to Wehrfritz's problem [15, Question 8.3]. The exponents for several series of the commutators in (2) have been found in an explicit form in the author's work [16].

In this paper, for any formal commutator $R$ of the group $F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$, we constructively prove the existence of a logical formula $\mathcal{E}_{R}$ using which one can calculate the exponent of $R$ using information about the initial word $W$ in the collection process and the arrangement of the collected commutators $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{j}$ (Theorem 1 ). The formula $\mathcal{E}_{R}$ has the following properties. First, its structure is determined by $R$, and its logical values are determined by the word $W$ and the arrangement of the collected commutators. Second, if $R$ was collected during the collection process, then its exponent is equal to the number of elements of the set $D(R)$ that satisfy the formula $\mathcal{E}_{R}$, where $D(R)$ is determined by $R$. We provide examples of $\mathcal{E}_{R}$ for some commutators $R$ (Lemmas 1, 2) and, as a consequence, calculate their exponents for different positive words (Theorem 2). In particular, an explicit collection formula is obtained for the word $\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)^{m}$, $n, m \geqslant 1$, in a group with the Abelian commutator subgroup (Theorem 3). Also, we consider the dependence of the exponent of a commutator on the arrangement of the collected commutators $q_{1}, \ldots, q_{j}$ (Corollary 2 ).

## 1. Basic notation

In this paper we use the concepts formally defined in Sections 2 and 3 of the article [1]. The basic properties of the collection process and examples are also given there. In this section we will briefly describe some important concepts.

The collection process is a construction of the sequence of words:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0} \equiv T_{0}, \quad W_{1} \equiv q_{1}^{e_{1}} T_{1}, \quad W_{2} \equiv q_{1}^{e_{1}} q_{2}^{e_{2}} T_{2}, \quad \ldots, \quad W_{j}=q_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots q_{j}^{e_{j}} T_{j}, \quad \ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the following rules. The initial word $W_{0}$ is a positive word of the free group $F=F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$, $n \geqslant 2$. All occurrences of the letters $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ (commutators of weight 1) have labels (integer sequences) assigned to them, and different occurrences of the same letter have pairwise different labels of the same length. Let $q_{j}$ be an arbitrary commutator from the uncollected part $T_{j-1}$. The word $W_{j}, j \geqslant 1$, is obtained from $W_{j-1}$ by moving step by step all the occurrences of $q_{j}$ to the beginning of the word $T_{j-1}$ with use of commutators:

$$
Q\left(\Lambda_{u}\right) R\left(\Lambda_{v}\right)=R\left(\Lambda_{v}\right) Q\left(\Lambda_{u}\right)[Q, R]\left(\Lambda_{u} \Lambda_{v}\right)
$$

where $\Lambda_{u} \Lambda_{v}$ is the concatenation of the labels $\Lambda_{u}$ and $\Lambda_{v}$.
Denote by $D\left(a_{k}\right), k \in \overline{1, n}$, an arbitrary fixed set of integer sequences of the same length that contains all labels of the occurrences of $a_{k}$ in $W_{0}$. Assume that a commutator $R$ arose during the collection process (4), and the parenthesis-free notation of $R$ is $\left(a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{w(R)}}\right)$. Then any occurrence of $R$ has a label that belongs to the Cartesian product $D(R)=D\left(a_{i_{1}}\right) \times \cdots \times D\left(a_{i_{w(R)}}\right)$.

Suppose that some uncollected part in (4) contains an occurrence of the commutator $R$. The existence condition of the commutator $R$ is the predicate $E_{R}^{\Lambda}, \Lambda \in D(R)$, that is equal to 1 iff there exists a word in (4) such that its uncollected part contains the occurrence $R(\Lambda)$.

Suppose that some uncollected part in (4) contains occurrences of the commutators $R$ and $Q$. The precedence condition for the commutators $R$ and $Q$ is the predicate $P_{Q, R}^{\Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2}}, \Lambda_{1} \Lambda_{2} \in$ $D(Q) \times D(R)$, that is equal to 1 iff there exists a word in (4) such that, in its uncollected part, $Q\left(\Lambda_{1}\right)$ precedes (is to the left of) $R\left(\Lambda_{2}\right)$.

For the exponent $e_{j}, j \geqslant 1$, in (4) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{j}=\left|\left\{\Lambda \in D\left(q_{j}\right) \mid E_{q_{j}}^{\Lambda}=1\right\}\right| . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $R_{1}, R_{2}$ be formal commutators. The predicate $R_{1} \prec R_{2}$ is equal to 1 iff there exist commutators $q_{i}, q_{j}$ in (4) such that $q_{i}=R_{1}, q_{j}=R_{2}, i<j$, i.e., the occurrences of $R_{1}$ were collected at an earlier stage than the occurrences of $R_{2}$ in the variant of the collection process (4).

In [1, Theorem 4.6] the following recurrence relations for the existence and precedence conditions were proved. We will use these relations further.

Suppose $\left\{W_{j} \equiv q_{1}^{e_{1}} \ldots q_{j}^{e_{j}} T_{j}\right\}_{j \geqslant 0}$ is an arbitrary variant of the collection process. Then the following recurrence relations hold (if the left-hand side of a relation is defined for $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \geqslant 0}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\left[Q_{1}, u R_{1}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u}^{1}}=P_{Q_{1}, R_{1}}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{1}^{1}} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{u-1} P_{R_{1}, R_{1}}^{\Lambda_{k}^{1} \Lambda_{k+1}^{1}}, \quad u \geqslant 1 ; \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

$P_{\left[Q_{1},{ }_{u} R_{1}\right],\left[Q_{2},{ }_{v} R_{2}\right]}^{\Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{1}^{1} \Lambda_{0}^{2} \ldots \Lambda_{2}^{2}}$ is equal to

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E_{\left[Q_{1},{ }_{u} R_{1}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u}^{1}} E_{\left[Q_{2},{ }_{v} R_{2}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0}^{2} \ldots \Lambda_{v}^{2}} F, & \text { if } u+v \geqslant 1, R_{1}=R_{2}, Q_{1}=Q_{2} ; \\
E_{\left[Q_{1},{ }_{u} R_{1}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{1}^{1}} E_{\left[Q_{2},{ }_{v} R_{2}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0}^{2} \ldots \Lambda_{v}^{2}} P_{Q_{1}, Q_{2}}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{0}^{2}}, & \text { if } u+v \geqslant 1, R_{1}=R_{2}, Q_{1} \neq Q_{2}, \\
E_{\left[Q_{1},{ }_{u} R_{1}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u}^{1}} E_{\left[Q_{2},{ }_{v} R_{2}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0}^{2} \ldots \Lambda_{v}^{2}} P_{\left[Q_{1},{ }_{u} R_{1}\right], Q_{2}}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u}^{1} \Lambda_{0}^{2}}, & \text { if } u, v \geqslant 1, \quad R_{1} \prec R_{2} ; \\
E_{\left[Q_{1},{ }_{u} R_{1}\right]}^{\Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u}^{1}} E_{\left[Q_{2}, v R_{2}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0}^{2} \ldots \Lambda_{v}^{2}} P_{Q_{1},\left[Q_{2},{ }_{v} R_{2}\right]}^{\Lambda_{1}^{1} \Lambda_{0}^{2} \ldots \Lambda_{v}^{2}}, & \text { if } u, v \geqslant 1, \quad R_{2} \prec R_{1} ; \tag{7d}
\end{array}
$$

where $\left[Q_{1},{ }_{u} R_{1}\right] \neq Q_{2}$ for $u \geqslant 1$ and $\left[Q_{2},{ }_{v} R_{2}\right] \neq Q_{1}$ for $v \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda_{0}^{1} \in D\left(Q_{1}\right), \Lambda_{0}^{2} \in D\left(Q_{2}\right), \Lambda_{1}^{1}, \ldots, \Lambda_{u}^{1} \in D\left(R_{1}\right), \Lambda_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \Lambda_{v}^{2} \in D\left(R_{2}\right), \\
F=P_{Q_{1}, Q_{2}}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{0}^{2}} \vee\left(\Lambda_{0}^{1}=\Lambda_{0}^{2}\right)\left((u<v) \bigwedge_{k=1}^{u}\left(\Lambda_{k}^{2}=\Lambda_{k}^{1}\right) \vee \bigvee_{k=1}^{\min \{u, v\}} P_{R_{1}, R_{2}}^{\Lambda_{k}^{2} \Lambda_{k}^{1}} \bigwedge_{h=1}^{k-1}\left(\Lambda_{h}^{2}=\Lambda_{h}^{1}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

## 2. Universal existence condition

Let us fix a variant of the collection process $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \geqslant 0}$. In [1, Corollary 4.8] it was proved that using relations (6)-(7) one can express the existence condition $E_{R}$ by a formula containing at most the operations conjunction and disjunction, the predicates $E_{a_{i}}, P_{a_{i}, a_{j}}$ and the equality relation on $\mathbb{Z}$.

Assume that we did not use relations (7c) and (7d) during the process of expressing $E_{R}$. If we change the variant of the collection process $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \geqslant 0}$ (change the initial word or the arrangement of the collected commutators), then the process of expressing $E_{R}$ will be exactly the same. Therefore, the resulting formula (as a construction of symbols $\wedge, \vee,=$, predicate symbols $E_{a_{i}}$, $P_{a_{i}, a_{j}}$ ) is an invariant with respect to a variant of the collection process. More precisely, if $R$ arose during some collection process $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \geqslant 0}$, then all predicate symbols $E_{a_{i}}, P_{a_{i}, a_{j}}$ in the formula are defined only by the initial word $W_{0}$, and the formula in its logical values coincides with the existence condition $E_{R}$. Besides, since equality (5) holds, the exponent of $R$ depends, perhaps, on the choice of the initial word, but not on the arrangement of the collected commutators.

Our aim is to construct such invariant formula for any commutator $R$. We now allow the formula to contain a symbol $\prec$. Let us replace relations (7c) and (7d) with

If we now use relations $(6),(7 \mathrm{a}),(7 \mathrm{~b}),(8)$ to express $E_{R}$, then on each step our choice of the desired relation does not depend on the arrangement of the collected commutators. However, there is a problem: the predicate symbols $\left.P_{\left[Q_{1}, u\right.} R_{1}\right], Q_{2}$ and $\left.P_{Q_{1},\left[Q_{2}, v\right.} R_{2}\right]$ are not necessarily defined simultaneously. For example, $R_{1}$ was collected earlier than $R_{2}$ (i.e. $R_{1} \prec R_{2}$ ) during some collection process, and we have come across the predicate $\left.P_{\left[Q_{1}, u\right.} R_{1}\right],\left[Q_{2}, v R_{2}\right]$ during the process of expressing $E_{R}$. Then relation (7c) holds, but the predicate $P_{Q_{1},\left[Q_{2}, v R_{2}\right]}$ from (7d) is not defined if there does not exist an uncollected part containing both occurrences of $Q_{1}$ and $\left[Q_{2},{ }_{v} R_{2}\right]$ (see definition of the precedence condition). Thus, we can not continue the process of expressing $E_{R}$. To overcome this problem, we introduce the following definitions.

Definition 1. For any commutators $R_{1}, R_{2}$, we call the interpretation of the predicate symbols

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{R_{1}}, P_{R_{1}, R_{2}}, \prec \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

the standard one with respect to a variant of the collection process $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$ if they are defined according to the definitions in Section 1 formulated for $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$.

The predicate symbol $\prec$ admits the standard interpretation with respect to any variant of the collection process $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$. The same can not be said about the symbols $E_{R_{1}}, P_{R_{1}, R_{2}}$. In the first case, the occurrences of $R_{1}$ might not have arisen during the collection process. In the second case, the occurrences of $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ might not have arisen in the same uncollected part.

Definition 2. Suppose $\Delta$ is a formula containing at most the symbols $\wedge, \vee,=$, the predicate symbols (9). We say that the standard interpretation of the formula $\Delta$ with respect to a variant of the collection process $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$ is given if the symbol $=$ is interpreted as equality, all predicate symbols in $\Delta$ that allow standard interpretation with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$ are interpreted that way, the rest symbols (they can be only $E_{R_{1}}$ and $P_{R_{1}, R_{2}}$ ) are interpreted as predicates defined arbitrarily on the sets $D\left(R_{1}\right)$ and $D\left(R_{1}\right) \times D\left(R_{2}\right)$, respectively.

Theorem 1. Suppose $R$ is a formal commutator of the free group $F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), n \geqslant 2$. Then there exists a formula $\mathcal{E}_{R}$ with the following properties:

1. $\mathcal{E}_{R}$ contains at most the operations of conjunction, disjunction, and the following predicate symbols:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{a_{i}}, P_{a_{i}, a_{j}}, \prec,=, \quad i, j \in \overline{1, n} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. If occurrences of $R$ arose during some variant of the collection process, then, for the standard interpretation of $\mathcal{E}_{R}$ with respect to this variant of the collection process, the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{R}^{\Lambda}=E_{R}^{\Lambda}, \quad \Lambda \in D(R) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Consider the system of recurrence relations (6), (7a), (7b), (8) as formal relations of predicate symbols. Fix formal commutator $R$.

Let a formula $\Delta$ contain at most the operations of conjunction, disjunction, the symbol $=$, the predicate symbols (9). We say that $\Delta$ has property $(M)$ if, for any variant of the collection process $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$ during which $R$ arose, the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}=E_{R}^{\Lambda}, \quad \Lambda \in D(R), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any standard interpretation of $\Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$.
Let us describe inductively the process of constructing the sequence of formulas $\left\{{ }_{i} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$ : 1) ${ }_{0} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}=E_{R}^{\Lambda}$; 2) the formula ${ }_{i+1} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}$ is obtained from ${ }_{i} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}$ by replacing any predicate symbol of type $E_{R_{1}}$ or $P_{R_{1}, R_{2}}$, where $w\left(R_{1}\right), w\left(R_{2}\right) \geqslant 2$, in ${ }_{i} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}$ with the corresponding formula according to relations (6), (7a), (7b), (8). The sequence is finite and ends with the formula satisfying statement 1 of the theorem. This fact follows from the proof of Corollary 4.8 [1].

We prove that the formulas ${ }_{i} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}$ has property $(M)$ by induction on $i$. For $i=0$ the statement is true, since ${ }_{0} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}=E_{R}^{\Lambda}$ and the predicate symbol $E_{R}^{\Lambda}$ is standardly interpreted with respect to any variant of the collection process during which the commutator $R$ arose. Assume that ${ }_{i} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}$ has property $(M)$ and the formula ${ }_{i+1} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}$ is obtained by replacing a predicate symbol $P$ in ${ }_{i} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}$ with the corresponding formula.

Let $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$ be a variant of the collection process during which the commutator $R$ arose, and the symbol $P$ does not allow the standard interpretation with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$. It is
known that the equality ${ }_{i} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}=E_{R}^{\Lambda}, \Lambda \in D(R)$, is true for any standard interpretation of ${ }_{i} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$, in particular, the equality holds for any interpretation of the predicate symbol $P$. Therefore, $P$ can be replaced with any formula at all, and we get $E_{R}^{\Lambda}={ }_{i+1} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}$ for any standard interpretation of ${ }_{i+1} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$.

Now let the symbol $P$ allow the standard interpretation with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$. For any relation (6), (7a), (7b), if the left-hand side of the relation allows standard interpretation with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$, then each predicate symbol in the right-hand side has the same property. Therefore, if $P$ is replaced with the corresponding formula using one of these relations, then we have $E_{R}^{\Lambda}={ }_{i+1} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}$ for any standard interpretation of ${ }_{i+1} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$. It remains to consider the case when $P$ is replaced using relation (8).

If the left-hand side of (8) allows the standard interpretation with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$, then the same is true for the predicate symbols

$$
E_{\left[Q_{1}, u R_{1}\right]}, E_{\left[Q_{2},{ }_{v} R_{2}\right]}, \prec,
$$

and at least for one of the symbols

$$
\left.P_{\left[Q_{1}, u\right.} R_{1}\right], Q_{2}, P_{Q_{1},\left[Q_{2}, v R_{2}\right]}
$$

in the right-hand side of (8). If $R_{1} \prec R_{2}$, then, first, the symbol $P_{\left[Q_{1}, u R_{1}\right], Q_{2}}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u}^{1} \Lambda_{0}^{2}}$ is standardly interpreted (according to (7c)) with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$, second, the predicate $R_{2} \prec R_{1}$ is false. Therefore, the equality $E_{R}^{\Lambda}={ }_{i+1} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}$ is true for any interpretation of the symbol $P_{Q_{1},\left[Q_{2}, v R_{2}\right]}$ at all, hence, for any standard interpretation of ${ }_{i+1} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}$ with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$. If $R_{2} \prec R_{1}$, the reasoning is analogous.

Thus, it has been proved that the last element of the sequence $\left\{{ }_{i} \Delta_{R}^{\Lambda}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$, which we denote by $\mathcal{E}_{R}^{\Lambda}$, has property $(M)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}_{R}^{\Lambda}$ allows a single standard interpretation with respect to $\left\{W_{i}\right\}_{i \geqslant 0}$, since it contains at most the predicate symbols (10), which are always standardly interpreted.

Definition 3. For any formal commutator $R$ of the free group $F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right), n \geqslant 2$, we call the formula $\mathcal{E}_{R}$ from Theorem 1 the universal existence condition of the commutator $R$.
Corollary 1. If a commutator $R$ was collected during some variant of the collection process $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \geqslant 0}$, then its exponent is equal to

$$
\left|\left\{\Lambda \in D(R) \mid \mathcal{E}_{R}^{\Lambda}=1\right\}\right|
$$

where the universal existence condition $\mathcal{E}_{R}^{\Lambda}$ has standard interpretation with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \geqslant 0}$.
Corollary 2. Suppose the universal existence condition $\mathcal{E}_{R}$ does not contain the predicate symbols $\prec$. Let $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \geqslant 0},\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j \geqslant 0}$ be two variants of the collection process with the same initial word. If $R$ was collected during both $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \geqslant 0}$ and $\left\{V_{j}\right\}_{j \geqslant 0}$, then its exponent is the same in both cases.

## 3. Examples

In this section we find the universal existence condition $\mathcal{E}_{R}$ for several series of commutators using the proof of Theorem 1. Namely, we construct a sequence of formulas that satisfy property $(M)$. The sequence starts with $E_{R}$ and ends with a formula satisfying statement 1 of Theorem 1. As a consequence, we get the exponents of these commutators in different collection formulas in an explicit form.

Lemma 1. For $j, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s} \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $u_{1}, \ldots, u_{s} \geqslant 1$, where $n, s \geqslant 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}_{\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots,,_{s} a_{i_{s}}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{s}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{s}^{s} \ldots \Lambda_{s}^{s}}=\bigwedge_{k=1}^{s} P_{a_{j}, a_{i_{k}}}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{k}} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{s} \bigwedge_{h=1}^{u_{k}-1} P_{a_{i_{k}}, a_{i_{k}}}^{\Lambda_{h}^{k} \Lambda_{h+1}^{k}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We use induction on $s$. For $s=1$ we have

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{1}}^{1}}=P_{a_{j}, a_{i_{1}}}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{1}} \bigwedge_{h=1}^{u_{1}-1} P_{a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{1}}}^{\Lambda_{h+1}^{k} \Lambda_{k}^{k}},
$$

which coincides with the result of applying relation (6) to the symbol $E_{\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{1}}^{1}}$. Assume that equality (13) is true for some $s$. Let us prove (13) for $s+1$.

Using (6) replace $E_{\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{s+1} a_{i_{s+1}}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{1}}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{s+1}^{s+1} \ldots \Lambda^{s+1}}$ with the formula

$$
P_{\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{s} a_{i_{s}}\right], a_{i_{s+1}}}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{1}}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{1}^{s} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{1}}^{s} \Lambda_{h=1}^{s+1}} \bigwedge_{h+1}^{u_{s+1}-1} P_{a_{i_{s+1}}, a_{i_{s+1}}}^{\Lambda_{h}^{s+1} \Lambda_{s+1}^{s+1}} .
$$

Now we use (7a) if $a_{j}=a_{i_{s+1}}$, otherwise we use (7b), and get the same result in both cases:

$$
E_{\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{s} a_{i_{s}}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{s}}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{1}^{s} \ldots \Lambda_{a_{i}}^{s}} E_{a_{i+1}}^{\Lambda_{1}^{s+1}} P_{\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{s-1}\right.}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{i_{s-1}}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{i_{1}}^{1} \ldots, a_{i_{s+1}}^{s-1} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{s}}^{s-1} \Lambda_{1}^{s+1}} \bigwedge_{h=1}^{u_{s+1}-1} P_{a_{i_{s+1}}, a_{i_{s+1}}}^{\Lambda_{h}^{s+1} \Lambda_{h+1}^{s+1}} .
$$

Continuing this line of reasoning, after a finite number of steps we get the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigwedge_{k=1}^{s}\left(E_{\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{k} a_{k}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{i_{k}}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{k}}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{k}^{k} \ldots \Lambda_{a_{s+1}}^{k}} E_{a_{1}}^{\Lambda_{1}^{s+1}}\right) P_{a_{j}, a_{i_{s+1}}}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{s+1}} \bigwedge_{h=1}^{u_{s+1}-1} P_{a_{i_{s+1}}, a_{i_{s+1}}}^{\Lambda_{h}^{s+1} \Lambda_{h+1}^{s+1}} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \geqslant 0}$ be a variant of the collection process during which the commutator $\left[a_{j},{ }_{u} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{s+1} a_{i_{s+1}}\right]$ arose. Then all predicate symbols in (14) allow standard interpretation with respect to $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \geqslant 0}$. For this standard interpretation, we have the following equalities of predicates for any values of variables:

$$
E_{a_{i_{s+1}}}^{\Lambda_{1}^{s+1}} P_{a_{j}, a_{i_{s+1}}}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{s+1}}=P_{a_{j}, a_{i_{s+1}}}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{i}^{s+1}}, \quad \bigwedge_{k=1}^{s} E_{\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{k} a_{i_{k}}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{1}}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{1}^{k} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{k}}^{k}}=E_{\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{s} a_{i_{s}}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{1}}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{1}^{s} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{s}}^{s}} .
$$

We apply this equalities to (14) and get

$$
E_{\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{1}, \ldots, u_{s} a_{i_{s}}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{1}^{s} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{s}}^{s}} P_{a_{j}, a_{i_{s+1}}}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{s+1}} \bigwedge_{h=1}^{u_{s+1}-1} P_{a_{i_{s+1}}, a_{i_{s+1}}}^{\Lambda_{h}^{s+1} \Lambda_{h+1}^{s+1}} .
$$

Since (14) has property $(M)$ and the reasoning above is carried out for the arbitrary variant of the collection process $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \geqslant 0}$, then the obtained formula has property $(M)$.
 by definition of the universal existence condition, the formula $\mathcal{E}_{\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{s} a_{i_{s}}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{s}}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{1}^{s} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{s}}^{s}}$ with standard interpretation is equal to the predicate $E_{\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{s} a_{i_{s}}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{s}}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{1}^{s} \ldots \Lambda_{u_{s}}^{s}}$. Therefore, we can use the inductive assumption and get the formula

$$
\bigwedge_{k=1}^{s} P_{a_{j}, a_{i_{k}}}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{k=1}^{k}} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{s} \bigwedge_{h=1}^{u_{k}-1} P_{a_{i_{k}}, a_{i_{k}}}^{\Lambda_{h}^{k} \Lambda_{h_{j}}^{k}} P_{a_{j}, a_{i_{s+1}}}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{s+1}} \bigwedge_{h=1}^{u_{s+1}-1} P_{a_{i_{s+1}}, a_{i_{s+1}}}^{\Lambda_{h}^{s+1} \Lambda_{h+1}^{s+1}} .
$$

Collecting similar terms completes the proof.

Lemma 2. For $s, i, j \in\{1, \ldots, n\}, i \neq j$, and $u, v \geqslant 1$, where $n \geqslant 1$, we have

$$
\mathcal{E}_{\left[\left[a_{s},{ }_{u} a_{i}\right],\left[a_{s},{ }_{v} a_{j}\right]\right]}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u}^{1} \Lambda_{0}^{2} \Lambda_{1}^{2} \ldots \Lambda_{v}^{2}}=P_{a_{s}, a_{i}}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{1}^{1}} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{u-1} P_{a_{i}, a_{i}}^{\Lambda_{k}^{1} \Lambda_{k+1}^{1}} P_{a_{s}, a_{j}}^{\Lambda_{0}^{2} \Lambda_{1}^{2}} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{v-1} P_{a_{i}, a_{i}}^{\Lambda_{k}^{2} \Lambda_{k+1}^{2}}\left(P_{a_{s}, a_{s}}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{0}^{2}} \vee\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right)\left(\Lambda_{0}^{1}=\Lambda_{0}^{2}\right)\right)
$$

Proof. We construct the sequence of formulas according to the proof of Theorem 1 starting with

$$
E_{\left[\left[a_{s}, u a_{i}\right],\left[a_{s}, v a_{j}\right]\right]}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u}^{1} \Lambda_{0}^{2} \Lambda_{1}^{2} \ldots \Lambda_{v}^{2}} .
$$

Use relation (6):

$$
P_{\left[a_{s}, u a_{i}\right],\left[a_{s}, v a_{j}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u}^{1} \Lambda_{0}^{2} \Lambda_{1}^{2} \ldots \Lambda_{v}^{2}} .
$$

Since $i \neq j$, use relation (8):

$$
E_{\left[a_{s}, u a_{i}\right]}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u}^{1}} E_{\left[a_{s}, v\right.}^{\left.\Lambda_{0}^{2} \Lambda_{j}^{2} \ldots \Lambda_{v}^{2}\right]}\left(\left(a_{i} \prec a_{j}\right) P_{\left[a_{s}, u a_{i}\right], a_{s}}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{1}^{1} \ldots \Lambda_{u}^{1} \Lambda_{0}^{2}} \vee\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right) P_{a_{s},\left[a_{s}, v\right.}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{\Lambda_{j}}^{2} \Lambda_{1}^{2} \ldots \Lambda_{v}^{2}}\right) .
$$

Next we use (6) and (7a) twice:

$$
P_{a_{s}, a_{i}}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{1}^{1}} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{u-1} P_{a_{i}, a_{i}}^{\Lambda_{k+1}^{1} \Lambda_{k+1}^{1}} P_{a_{s}, a_{j}}^{\Lambda_{0}^{2} \Lambda_{1}^{2}} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{v-1} P_{a_{i}, a_{i}}^{\Lambda_{k+1}^{2} \Lambda^{2}} \wedge\left(\left(a_{i} \prec a_{j}\right) P_{a_{s}, a_{s}}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{0}^{2}} \vee\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right)\left(P_{a_{s}, a_{s}}^{\Lambda_{0}^{1} \Lambda_{0}^{2}} \vee\left(\Lambda_{0}^{1}=\Lambda_{0}^{2}\right)\right)\right) .
$$

Now we simplify the expression in brackets using logical transformations and the fact that the expression $\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right) \vee\left(a_{i} \prec a_{j}\right)$ with standard interpretation is true for any variant of the collection process during which the commutator $\left[\left[a_{s},{ }_{u} a_{i}\right],\left[a_{s},{ }_{v} a_{j}\right]\right]$ arose.

Theorem 2. Suppose a formal commutator $R$ was collected during some variant of the collection process $\left\{W_{j}\right\}_{j \geqslant 0}$ and its exponent is equal to e(R). The following statements hold.

1. If $W_{0} \equiv\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)^{m}, n, m \geqslant 1$, and $R=\left[a_{j},{ }_{u_{1}} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{s} a_{i_{s}}\right]$, then

$$
e(R)=\sum_{\lambda_{0}=0}^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{k=1, \ldots, s ; \\ j<i_{k}}}\binom{\lambda_{0}+1}{u_{k}} \prod_{\substack{k=1, \ldots, s ; \\ j \geqslant i_{k}}}\binom{\lambda_{0}}{u_{k}} .
$$

2. If $W_{0} \equiv\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)^{m}, n, m \geqslant 1$, and $R=\left[\left[a_{s},{ }_{u} a_{i}\right],\left[a_{s},{ }_{v} a_{j}\right]\right], i \neq j$, then

$$
e(R)=\sum_{\lambda_{0}^{1}=1}^{m+\delta_{\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right)}-1}\binom{\lambda_{0}^{1}-\delta_{\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right)}+\delta_{(s<i)}}{u}\binom{\lambda_{0}^{1}+\delta_{(s<j)}}{v+1},
$$

where $\delta_{A}=1$ if the proposition $A$ is true, otherwise $\delta_{A}=0$.
3. If $W_{0} \equiv a_{1}^{m_{1}} \ldots a_{n}^{m_{n}}, n, m_{1}, \ldots, m_{n} \geqslant 1$, and $R=\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots,{ }_{u_{s}} a_{i_{s}}\right]$, then

$$
e(R)=\binom{m_{j}}{u+1} \prod_{k=1, \ldots, s}\binom{m_{i_{k}}}{u_{k}}
$$

where $u=u_{l}$ if there exists $i_{l}=j$, otherwise $u=0$.
Proof. Consider a variant of the collection process with the initial word

$$
W_{0} \equiv a_{1}(1) \ldots a_{n}(1) \ldots a_{1}(m) \ldots a_{n}(m)
$$

We have

$$
P_{a_{i}, a_{j}}^{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)}=\left(\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}\right) \vee\left(\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}\right)(i<j), \quad \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in\{1, \ldots, m\}, i, j \in \overline{1, n} .
$$

Assume that the commutator $\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots,{ }_{u_{s}} a_{i_{s}}\right]$ arose during the collection process. From Lemma 1 is follows that

$$
E_{\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{s} a_{i_{s}}\right]}^{\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}^{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{u_{1}}^{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{1}^{s}, \ldots, \lambda_{u_{s}}^{s}\right)}=\bigwedge_{k=1}^{s}\left(\left(\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1}^{k}\right) \vee\left(\lambda_{0}=\lambda_{1}^{k}\right)\left(j<i_{k}\right)\right) \bigwedge_{k=1}^{s} \bigwedge_{h=1}^{u_{k}-1}\left(\lambda_{h}^{k}<\lambda_{h+1}^{k}\right),
$$

where $\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}^{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{u_{1}}^{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{1}^{s}, \ldots, \lambda_{u_{s}}^{s} \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Then the exponent of this commutator is equal to the number of solutions of the following system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \leqslant \lambda_{0} \leqslant m \\
\lambda_{0} \leqslant \lambda_{1}^{k}<\lambda_{2}^{k}<\ldots<\lambda_{u_{k}} \leqslant m, \quad k \in \overline{1, s}, j<i_{k} ; \\
\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1}^{k}<\lambda_{2}^{k}<\ldots<\lambda_{u_{k}} \leqslant m, \quad k \in \overline{1, s}, j \geqslant i_{k} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Taking into account that the number of integer sequence $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)$ that satisfy the condition $1 \leqslant x_{1} \leqslant \ldots \leqslant x_{m} \leqslant n$ is equal to $\binom{n}{m}$, we get the number of solutions:

$$
\sum_{\lambda_{0}=1}^{m} \prod_{\substack{k=1, \ldots, s ; \\ j<i_{k}}}\binom{m-\lambda_{0}+1}{u_{k}} \prod_{\substack{k=1, \ldots, s ; \\ j \geqslant i_{k}}}\binom{m-\lambda_{0}}{u_{k}}=\sum_{\lambda_{0}=0}^{m-1} \prod_{\substack{k=1, \ldots, s ; \\ j<i_{k}}}\binom{\lambda_{0}+1}{u_{k}} \prod_{\substack{k=1, \ldots, s ; \\ j \geqslant i_{k}}}\binom{\lambda_{0}}{u_{k}} .
$$

Now assume that the commutator $\left[\left[a_{s},{ }_{u} a_{i}\right],\left[a_{s},{ }_{v} a_{j}\right]\right]$ for $u, v \geqslant 1, i \neq j$ arose during the collection process. Then by Lemma 2 we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
E_{\left[\left[\left[a_{s}, u a_{i}\right],\left[a_{s}, v a_{j}\right]\right]\right.}^{\left(\lambda_{1}^{1}, \lambda_{1}^{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{u}^{1}, \lambda_{0}^{2}, \lambda_{1}^{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{v}^{2}\right)}=\left(\left(\lambda_{0}^{1}<\lambda_{1}^{1}\right) \vee\left(\lambda_{0}^{1}=\lambda_{1}^{1}\right)(s<i)\right)\left(\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}<\lambda_{1}^{2}\right) \vee\left(\lambda_{0}^{2}=\lambda_{1}^{2}\right)(s<j)\right) \wedge \\
\wedge \bigwedge_{k=1}^{u-1}\left(\lambda_{k}^{1}<\lambda_{k+1}^{1}\right) \bigwedge_{k=1}^{v-1}\left(\lambda_{k}^{2}<\lambda_{k+1}^{2}\right)\left(\left(\lambda_{0}^{1}<\lambda_{0}^{2}\right) \vee\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right)\left(\lambda_{0}^{1}=\lambda_{0}^{2}\right)\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, the exponent of $\left[\left[a_{s},{ }_{u} a_{i}\right],\left[a_{s},{ }_{v} a_{j}\right]\right]$ is equal to the number of solutions of the following system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \leqslant \lambda_{0}^{1} \leqslant m ; \\
1 \leqslant \lambda_{0}^{2} \leqslant m ; \\
\lambda_{0}^{1}-\delta_{\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right)}+1 \leqslant \lambda_{0}^{2} ; \\
\lambda_{0}^{1}-\delta_{(s<i)}+1 \leqslant \lambda_{1}^{1}<\lambda_{2}^{1}<\ldots<\lambda_{u}^{1} \leqslant m ; \\
\lambda_{0}^{2}-\delta_{(s<j)}+1 \leqslant \lambda_{1}^{2}<\lambda_{2}^{2}<\ldots<\lambda_{v}^{2} \leqslant m
\end{array}\right.
$$

We get the following expression:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{\lambda_{0}^{1}=1}^{m} \sum_{\lambda_{0}^{2}=\lambda_{0}^{1}-\delta_{\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right)}^{m}}^{m}\binom{m-\lambda_{0}^{1}+\delta_{(s<i)}}{u}\binom{m-\lambda_{0}^{2}+\delta_{(s<j)}}{v}= \\
=\sum_{\lambda_{0}^{1}=1}^{m} \sum_{\lambda_{0}^{2}=\delta_{(s<j)}}^{m-\lambda_{0}^{1}+\delta_{\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right)}+\delta_{(s<j)}-1}\binom{m-\lambda_{0}^{1}+\delta_{(s<i)}}{u}\binom{\lambda_{0}^{2}}{v}=
\end{gathered}
$$

since $0 \leqslant \delta_{(s<j)} \leqslant 1$ and $v \geqslant 1$, we change the lower limit of $\lambda_{0}^{2}$ to $v$ and apply a well-known summation formula:

$$
=\sum_{\lambda_{0}^{1}=1}^{m+\delta_{\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right)}-1}\binom{m-\lambda_{0}^{1}+\delta_{(s<i)}}{u}\binom{m-\lambda_{0}^{1}+\delta_{\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right)}+\delta_{(s<j)}}{v+1}=
$$

change the order of summation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{\substack{\lambda_{0}^{1}=1-\delta_{\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right)}^{m-1}}}\binom{\lambda_{0}^{1}+\delta_{(s<i)}}{u}\binom{\lambda_{0}^{1}+\delta_{\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right)}+\delta_{(s<j)}}{v+1}= \\
& =\sum_{\lambda_{0}^{1}=1}^{m+\delta_{\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right)}-1}\binom{\lambda_{0}^{1}-\delta_{\left(a_{j} \prec a_{i}\right)}+\delta_{(s<i)}}{u}\binom{\lambda_{0}^{1}+\delta_{(s<j)}}{v+1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let us consider a variant of the collection process with the initial word

$$
W_{0} \equiv a_{1}(1) \ldots a_{1}\left(m_{1}\right) \ldots a_{n}(1) \ldots a_{n}\left(m_{n}\right)
$$

We have

$$
P_{a_{i}, a_{j}}^{\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)}=\left(\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}\right)(i=j) \vee(i<j), \quad \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in\{1, \ldots, m\}, i, j \in \overline{1, n}
$$

Assume that the commutator $\left[a_{j},{ }_{u_{1}} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots,{ }_{u_{s}} a_{i_{s}}\right]$ arose during the collection process. From Lemma 1 it follows that

$$
E_{\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{s} a_{i_{s}}\right]}^{\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}^{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{u_{1}}^{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{1}^{s}, \ldots, \lambda_{u_{s}}^{s}\right)}=\bigwedge_{k=1}^{s}\left(\left(\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1}^{k}\right)\left(j=i_{k}\right) \vee\left(j<i_{k}\right)\right) \bigwedge_{k=1}^{s} \bigwedge_{h=1}^{u_{k}-1}\left(\lambda_{h}^{k}<\lambda_{h+1}^{k}\right) .
$$

Then we get the following system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
1 \leqslant \lambda_{0} \leqslant m_{j} \\
\lambda_{0}<\lambda_{1}^{k}<\lambda_{2}^{k}<\ldots<\lambda_{u_{k}} \leqslant m_{i_{k}}, \quad k \in \overline{1, s}, j=i_{k} \\
1 \leqslant \lambda_{1}^{k}<\lambda_{2}^{k}<\ldots<\lambda_{u_{k}} \leqslant m_{i_{k}}, \quad k \in \overline{1, s}, j<i_{k}
\end{array}\right.
$$

The number of solutions of this system is equal to

$$
\left.\sum_{\lambda_{0}=1}^{m_{j}} \prod_{\substack{k=1, \ldots, s \\
j=i_{k}}}\binom{m_{i_{k}}-\lambda_{0}}{u_{k}} \prod_{\substack{k=1, \ldots, s ; \\
j<i_{k}}}\binom{m_{i_{k}}}{u_{k}}=\sum_{\lambda_{0}=0}^{m_{j=1, \ldots, s}-1} \prod_{\substack{ \\
j=i_{k}}}\binom{\lambda_{0}}{u_{k}} \prod_{k=1, \ldots, s ;}^{j<i_{k}} \boldsymbol{( c} \begin{array}{c}
m_{i_{k}} \\
u_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

If none of the numbers $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}$ is equal to $j$, then we get

$$
m_{j} \prod_{k=1, \ldots, s}\binom{m_{i_{k}}}{u_{k}}
$$

If some $i_{l}$ is equal to $j$ (in this case $i_{l}$ is unique), then the exponent is equal to

$$
\binom{m_{j}}{u_{l}+1} \prod_{k=1, \ldots, s}\binom{m_{i_{k}}}{u_{k}} .
$$

Theorem 3. Suppose $G$ is a group with the Abelian commutator subgroup, $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in G$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the following formula holds:

$$
\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)^{m}=a_{1}^{m} \ldots a_{n}^{m} \prod_{j=2}^{n} \prod_{\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in M_{n, m}^{j}}\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} a_{n}\right] \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \prod_{s=1}^{j}\binom{k}{u_{s}} \prod_{s=j+1}^{n}\binom{k+1}{u_{s}},
$$

where $M_{n, m}^{j}=\left\{\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in\{0, \ldots, m\}^{n} \mid u_{1}+\cdots+u_{n}>0\right.$; the first $u_{i}>0$ has $\left.i<j\right\}$.
Proof. Consider the word $\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)^{m}$ of the free group $F\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right)$. Let us apply the collection process to this word. First, we collect letters in the following order: $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ and get the word

$$
a_{1}^{m} \ldots a_{n}^{m} \prod\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} a_{n}\right]
$$

where the product is over some non-negative integers $j, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}$. After that we collect the commutators $\left[a_{j},{ }_{u_{1}} a_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} a_{n}\right]$ in some fixed order. From Theorem 2 it follows that we get the following formula in the group $G$ :

$$
\left.\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)^{m}=a_{1}^{m} \ldots a_{n}^{m} \prod_{j \in J} \prod_{\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in M_{n, m}^{j}}\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} a_{n}\right]^{\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \prod_{s=1}^{j}\left(_{u_{s}}^{k}\right)}\right)_{s=j+1}^{n}\binom{k+1}{u_{s}},
$$

where it remains to find the sets $J$ and $M_{n, m}^{j}$. Note that the use of Theorem 2 in the case when some $u_{s}=0$ is correct, since $\binom{a}{0}=1$ for any $a \geqslant 0$.

Obviously, $J \subseteq\{2, \ldots, n\}$, since $a_{1}$ was collected first. Further, the expression in the exponent is equal to 0 when $u_{s} \geqslant m+1$, therefore, we have $M_{n, m}^{j} \subseteq\{0, \ldots, m\}^{n}$. At least one element of the sequence $\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in M_{n, m}^{j}$ is not equal to 0 , since otherwise we get the commutator $a_{j}$. Moreover, the first $u_{i}>0$ has the index $i<j$, since the commutators were collected in the order $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$. Thus, the following inclusions have been proved:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J \subseteq\{2, \ldots, n\} \\
& M_{n, m}^{j} \subseteq\left\{\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right) \in\{0, \ldots, m\}^{n} \mid u_{1}+\cdots+u_{n}>0 ; \text { the first } u_{i}>0 \text { has } i<j\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To prove the reverse inclusions, we assume that the expression in the exponent of $\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} a_{n}\right]$ is not equal to 0 for some sequence $\left(j, u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$. From the proof of Theorem 2 it follows that there exist some values of the variables for which the formula

$$
\bigwedge_{k=1}^{s} P_{a_{j}, a_{i_{k}}}^{\Lambda_{0} \Lambda_{1}^{k}} \bigwedge_{k=1}^{s} \bigwedge_{h=1}^{u_{i_{k}}-1} P_{a_{i_{k}}, a_{i_{k}}}^{\Lambda_{h}^{k} \Lambda_{k}^{k}}
$$

is equal to 1 , where $1 \leqslant i_{1}<\ldots<i_{s} \leqslant n$ and $u_{i_{k}}>0$ for any $k$. Therefore, in the initial word $\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)^{m}$, there are $u_{i_{1}}$ occurrences of $a_{i_{1}}, u_{i_{2}}$ occurrences of $a_{i_{2}}$, etc to the right of $a_{j}\left(\Lambda_{0}\right)$. Since the letters were collected in the order $a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, a_{i_{s}}$, and $j \geqslant 2, i_{1}<j$, the commutator $\left[a_{j}, u_{i_{1}} a_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{i_{s}} a_{i_{s}}\right]=\left[a_{j}, u_{1} a_{1}, \ldots, u_{n} a_{n}\right]$ arose during the collection process.
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# О собирательных формулах для положительных слов 
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#### Abstract

Аннотация. Для любого формального коммутатора $R$ свободной группы $F$ мы конструктивно доказываем существование логической формулы $\mathcal{E}_{R}$ со следующими свойствами. Во-первых, ее строение определяется структурой $R$, а логические значения определяются положительным словом группы $F$, к которому применяется собирательный процесс, и порядком сбора коммутаторов. Во-вторых, если в ходе собирательного процесса был собран коммутатор $R$, то его показатель степени равен количеству элементов множества $D(R)$, удовлетворяющих $\mathcal{E}_{R}$, где $D(R)$ определяется структурой $R$. В работе приведены примеры такой формулы для разных коммутаторов, как следствие, вычислены их показатели степеней для разных положительных слов $F$. В частности получена в явном виде собирательная формула для слова $\left(a_{1} \ldots a_{n}\right)^{m}, n, m \geqslant 1$ в группе с абелевым коммутантом. Рассмотрен вопрос о зависимости показателя степени коммутатора от порядка сбора коммутаторов в ходе собирательного процесса.


Ключевые слова: коммутатор, собирательный процесс, свободная группа.
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