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#### Abstract

For a series of examples of Horn systems and the Lauricella system for functions of two variables the description of solution of complexity one is given. Several questions are formulated.
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## Introduction

The class of hypergeometric functions (HG-functions) of several variables is of considerable interest. It continues to be a subject of great attention [1]. On the other hand, there is the theory of analytical complexity, which is oriented to the study of questions about representability of functions of several variables with the help of superpositions of functions of lesser number of variables. In particular, the questions of representability of functions of two variables with the help of functions of one variable [2]. In the context of this theory, the simplest functions of two variables are the functions of complexity one (the functions of one variable have complexity zero). These are analytic functions of variables $(x, y)$, which can be locally represented as $z(x, y)=$ $c(a(x)+b(y))(a, b, c$ are nonconstant analytic functions of one variable). These functions are of special interest. First, they are the functions, which have the stabilizer of the maximal dimension in the gauge group (the dimension is equal to three) [3]. Second, if we consider $z(x, y)$ as a function of a 3 -web on the plane, then such web is equivalent to the hexagonal web if and only if $z$ has the specified form [4].

The set of all such functions is, except for the functions of one variable, the set of analytic functions, which is the set of the solutions of a differential polynomial of order three. This polynomial is exactly the numerator of the following differential fraction: $\left(\ln \left(z_{x}^{\prime} / z_{y}^{\prime}\right)\right)_{x y}^{\prime \prime}$, i.e., the defining condition for the functions of complexity one has the form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{1}(z)=z_{x}^{\prime} z_{y}^{\prime}\left(z_{x x y}^{\prime \prime \prime} z_{y}^{\prime \prime}-z_{x y y}^{\prime \prime \prime} z_{x}^{\prime}\right)+z_{x y}^{\prime \prime}\left(\left(z_{x}^{\prime}\right)^{2} z_{y y}^{\prime \prime}-\left(z_{y}^{\prime}\right)^{2} z_{x x}^{\prime \prime}\right)=0, \quad z_{x}^{\prime} z_{y}^{\prime} \neq 0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the class of functions of complexity one includes all four arithmetic operations. If we remove the inequality, which excludes the functions of one variable, we obtain $C l^{1}=\left\{d_{1}(z)=0\right\}$, which is the class of the functions of complexity not greater than one.

[^0]We think that the theory of hypergeometric functions of several (in particular, of two) variables differs qualitatively from the theory of hypergeometric functions of one variable by the fact that the class of hypergeometric functions of several variables is in a sense too large and the problem of the choice of a narrower class of the most interesting HG-functions arise. Which HG-functions are the most interesting? No doubt it is possible to give different answers to this question. For the functions of two variables we offer the following answer:

## Good $H G$-functions are the $H G$-functions of complexity one.

We interpret a HG-function of two variables (Examples 1-7) as, following [1], a solution of a Horn system. To define the Horn system for functions of the variables $(x, y)$, we need four polynomials $P, Q, R, S$ in two variables. Let $X=x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, Y=y \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ be the homogeneous partial differential operators. Then the Horn system corresponding to the given four polynomials is the system of two linear differential equations with nonconstant coefficients with respect to the function $z(x, y)$ of the form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
G_{x} z=(x P(X, Y)-Q(X, Y)) z=0, \\
G_{y} z=(y R(X, Y)-S(X, Y)) z=0 . \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

What is the set of all solutions of complexity one of this system? The aim of this paper is to give the explicit description of the solutions of complexity one for a series of examples of systems of the form (2). Almost all examples are from [1]. With the growth of degrees of the defining equations and the number of free parameters the problem of the explicit description of the space of solutions quickly becomes computationally difficult even for polynomials of degree not greater than two. However, one can hope that the consideration of these examples will allow to formulate questions for the further study (some of them are given at the end of the paper).

In the theory of HG-functions there is an established approach, which suggests that an important characteristic of the Horn system is its holonomicity. The holonomicity of the system, in particular, guarantees the finite-dimensionality of the space of solutions. In our considerations we do not require holonomicity.

Since the Horn system is a system of linear differential equations, the set of its solutions is a linear space. Equation (1) is not linear. From the geometric point of view, the set of its solutions is an infinite-dimensional cone. In fact, the transformation $(z(x, y) \rightarrow \lambda z(x, y))$ maps the solutions to solutions. Hence, we can understand our question as the question about the construction of the intersection of the cone and a linear subspace.

Further we will need the following simple observation. Let two nonconstant functions $a(x)$ and $b(y)$ are given. For the existence of a function $c(t)$ for the function $w(x, y)$, such that in a neighbourhood of a generic point there is a local representation of the form $w=c(a(x)+b(y))$, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(w)=\left(\frac{1}{a^{\prime}(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\frac{1}{b^{\prime}(y)} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)(w)=0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The concrete computations were performed using Maple.

## 1. A set of examples

Example 1. Let

$$
P=x^{2}, \quad Q=q_{1} x+q_{2} y, \quad R=y^{2}, \quad S=s_{1} x+s_{2} y, \quad q_{1} q_{2} s_{1} s_{2} \neq 0
$$

Then the system (2) for $z=c(a(x)+b(y))$ takes the form (low indexes are orders of derivatives)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
G_{x} z=x^{3} a_{1}{ }^{2} c_{2}+x^{3} a_{2} c_{1}+x^{2} a_{1} c_{1}-q_{1} x c_{1} a_{1}-q_{2} y c_{1} b_{1}=0, \\
G_{y} z=y^{3} b_{1}{ }^{2} c_{2}+y^{3} b_{2} c_{1}-s_{1} x c_{1} a_{1}+y^{2} b_{1} c_{1}-s_{2} y c_{1} b_{1}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

We can express the ratio $c_{2} / c_{1}$ from first equation and from second equation. We get two relations: the first is the equality of the both expressions, the second is the result of action of operator $V$ on each of them. Thus,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
e_{1}=x^{3} y^{3} a_{1}^{2} b_{2}-x^{3} y^{3} a_{2} b_{1}^{2}-x^{4} a_{1}^{3} s_{1}+x^{3} y^{2} a_{1}^{2} b_{1}-x^{3} y a_{1}^{2} b_{1} s_{2}- \\
-x^{2} y^{3} a_{1} b_{1}^{2}+x y^{3} a_{1} b_{1}^{2} q_{1}+y^{4} b_{1}^{3} q_{2}=0, \\
e_{2}=-a_{3} a_{1} b_{1} x^{4}+2 a_{2}^{2} b_{1} x^{4}+a_{2} a_{1} b_{1} x^{3}-a_{2} a_{1} b_{1} x^{2} q_{1}-a_{1}^{2} b_{2} x y q_{2}- \\
-2 a_{2} b_{1}^{2} x y q_{2}+a_{1}^{2} b_{1} x^{2}-2 a_{1}^{2} b_{1} x q_{1}-a_{1}^{2} b_{1} x q_{2}-3 a_{1} b_{1}^{2} y q_{2}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

The expressions $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ are linear wrt $b_{2}$. The coefficients of $b_{2}$ are not idenitcally zero. It is possible to express $b_{2}$ from $e_{1}=0$ and from $e_{2}=0$. We get two relations: the first is the equality of the both expressions, the second is the result of the action of operator $\partial / \partial_{x}$ on each of them. Thus,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
e_{3}=x^{6} y^{2} a_{1} a_{3} b_{1}-2 x^{6} y^{2} a_{2}^{2} b_{1}-x^{5} y^{2} a_{1} a_{2} b_{1}+x^{4} y^{2} a_{1} a_{2} b_{1} q_{1}+ \\
+3 x^{3} y^{3} a_{2} b_{1}{ }^{2} q_{2}--x^{4} y^{2} a_{1}{ }^{2} b_{1}+x^{4} a_{1}^{3} q_{2} s_{1}+2 x^{3} y^{2} a_{1}{ }^{2} b_{1} q_{1}+ \\
x^{3} y a_{1}{ }^{2} b_{1} q_{2} s_{2}+4 x^{2} y^{3} a_{1} b_{1}{ }^{2} q_{2}-x y^{3} a_{1} b_{1}{ }^{2} q_{1} q_{2}-y^{4} b_{1}{ }^{3} q_{2}^{2}=0, \\
e_{4}=a_{3} a_{1} b_{1}{ }^{2} x^{4} y^{3}-2 a_{2}{ }^{2} b_{1}{ }^{2} x^{4} y^{3}+a_{2} a_{1}^{3} x^{5} s_{1}-a_{2} a_{1} b_{1}{ }^{3} x^{3} y^{3}+a_{2} a_{1} b_{1}{ }^{2} x^{3} y^{3} q_{1}+ \\
+2 a_{2} b_{1}{ }^{3} x y^{4} q_{2}+a_{1}{ }^{4} x^{4} s_{1}-a_{1}{ }^{2} b_{1}{ }^{2} x^{2} y^{3}+2 a_{1}{ }^{2} b_{1}{ }^{2} x y^{3} q_{1}+3 a_{1} b_{1}{ }^{3} y^{4} q_{2}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

The expressions $e_{3}$ and $e_{4}$ are quadratic wrt $b_{2}$. The necessary condition for solvability is the equality to zero of the resultant of $e_{3}$ and $e_{4}$ with respect to $b_{1}$. This resultant has the form

$$
x^{11} y^{11} a_{1}^{4} q_{2}^{2} s_{1} r\left(x, y, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)
$$

hence, $r=0$. And $r$ is the polynomial of degree four with respect to $y$. The coefficient in $r$ of $y^{4}$ is equal to

$$
-x^{2} a_{1}^{5} q_{2}^{3} s_{2}^{3}\left(x a_{2}+a_{1}\right)\left(2 x a_{2}+3 a_{1}\right)^{2} .
$$

Thus, it is enough to consider two cases:
the first is $\left(2 x a_{2}+3 a_{1}\right)=0$, and second is $\left(x a_{2}+a_{1}\right)=0$. We can solve these differential equations. In the first case we get $a_{1}=k / x^{3 / 2}$ and in the second case we get $a_{1}=k / x$. If we substitute the first solution in $r$, we can see that the equation $r=0$ is impossible. If we substitute the second solution in $r$, we have

$$
r=-\frac{k^{8} q_{2}{ }^{3} s_{1}\left(q_{1} s_{2}-q_{2} s_{1}\right)}{x^{7}} y
$$

And we see that $r=0$ iff $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)=\lambda\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right), \lambda \neq 0$. We can substitute $a_{1}=k / x$ in $e_{3}=0$ and we have $y b_{1} q_{2}+k q_{1}=0$. In such case we have $a(x)+b(y)=k \ln (x)-k \frac{q_{1}}{q_{2}} \ln (y)+$ const and $z=c\left(y / x^{\alpha}\right)$, where $\alpha=q_{2} / q_{1}=s_{2} / s_{1}$. Then we can substitute such $z$ in $\stackrel{q_{2}}{G_{x}} z=G_{y} z=0$ and we get:
$c^{\prime \prime}(t) t+c^{\prime}(t)=0$, hence $c(t)=\lambda \ln (t)+\mu$. Thus, we have

Proposition 1 The solutions of the Horn system of complexity one (i.e. of the kind $z=c(a(x)+$ $b(y)), a, b, c$ are nonconstant) for Example 1 exist iff $q_{2} / q_{1}=s_{2} / s_{1}=\alpha$. In this case the solutions have the form

$$
z=\lambda \ln \left(\frac{y}{x^{\alpha}}\right)+\mu, \quad \alpha \neq 0, \lambda \neq 0
$$

Example 2. Let

$$
P=x+1, \quad Q=1, \quad R=y+1, \quad S=1
$$

Then the system (2) for $z=c(a(x)+b(y))$ takes the form

$$
G_{x} z=x^{2} a_{1} c_{1}+x c_{0}-c_{0}=0, \quad G_{y} z=y^{2} b_{1} c_{1}+y c_{0}-c_{0}=0 .
$$

Then we have

$$
\frac{c_{1}}{c_{0}}=-\frac{x-1}{x^{2} a_{1}}=-\frac{y-1}{y^{2} b_{1}}=\frac{1}{\lambda}
$$

Thus,

$$
a_{1}=-\frac{(x-1) \lambda}{x^{2}}, \quad b_{1}=-\frac{(y-1) \lambda}{y^{2}} .
$$

If we substitute this expressions in $G_{x}=0$ and $G_{y}=0$, we get

$$
-(x-1)\left(\lambda c_{1}-c_{0}\right)=-(y-1)\left(\lambda c_{1}-c_{0}\right)=0
$$

Hence $c(t)=\exp (-t / \lambda)$ and $z=\mu(x y \exp (1 / x+1 / y))^{-1}$.
Proposition 2. The solutions of the Horn system of complexity one for Example 2 have the form $z=\mu(x y \exp (1 / x+1 / y))^{-1}$.
Example 3. Let

$$
P=1, \quad Q=(x-1) \quad R=1, \quad S=(y-1) .
$$

If $z=c(a(x)+b(y))$ we have

$$
G_{x} z=-x c_{1} a_{1}+x c_{0}+c_{0}=0, \quad G_{y} z=-y c_{1} b_{1}+y c_{0}+c_{0}=0
$$

After elimination of $c$ we obtain

$$
-x a_{1} y+y b_{1} x-x a_{1}+y b_{1}=0, \quad a_{2} x^{2}+a_{2} x+a_{1}=0
$$

Hence

$$
a(x)=\frac{\lambda}{x}+\lambda \ln (x)+\ln (\alpha), \quad b(y)=\lambda \ln (y)+\frac{\lambda}{y}+\ln (\beta), \quad \lambda \neq 0 .
$$

Then we get the equation for the function $c$. When we solve this equation, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 3. The solutions of the Horn system of complexity one for Example 3 have the form

$$
z=\mu x y \mathrm{e}^{(x+y)}, \quad \mu \neq 0
$$

Example 4. Let

$$
P=x^{2} y+1, \quad Q=1 \quad R=y+1, \quad S=1
$$

For $z=c(a(x)+b(y))$ we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
G_{x} z=x^{3} y a_{1}^{2} b_{1} c_{3}+x^{3} y a_{2} b_{1} c_{2}+x^{2} y a_{1} b_{1} c_{2}+x c_{0}-c_{0}=0, \\
G_{y} z=y^{2} b_{1} c_{1}+y c_{0}-c_{0}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

From the second equation we get $c_{1}=\left(-b_{2} y^{2}-y b_{1}+b_{2} y+2 b_{1}\right) c_{0}$. After differentiation of this equation with respect to $x$ we obtain such expressions for $c_{2}$ and $c_{3}$. After the substitution of these expressions in $G_{x} z=0$ we get:

$$
\begin{aligned}
l= & x^{3} y^{4} a_{2} b_{1}-x^{3} y^{3} a_{1}^{2}-2 x^{3} y^{3} a_{2} b_{1}+x^{2} y^{4} a_{1} b_{1}+x y^{5} b_{1}^{2}+3 x^{3} y^{2} a_{1}^{2}+ \\
& +x^{3} a_{2} y^{2} b_{1}-2 x^{2} y^{3} a_{1} b_{1}-y^{5} b_{1}{ }^{2}-3 x^{3} y a_{1}{ }^{2}+x^{2} a_{1} y^{2} b_{1}+x^{3} a_{1}{ }^{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From $V\left(c_{1} / c_{0}\right)=0$ we get $e=-b_{2} y^{2}-y b_{1}+b_{2} y+2 b_{1}=0$. After differentiation of $l$ with respect to $y$ we obtain:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(l)_{y}^{\prime}=x^{3} y^{4} a_{2} b_{2}+4 x^{3} y^{3} a_{2} b_{1}-2 x^{3} y^{3} a_{2} b_{2}+x^{2} y^{4} a_{1} b_{2}+2 x y^{5} b_{1} b_{2}-3 x^{3} y^{2} a_{1}^{2}- \\
-6 x^{3} a_{2} y^{2} b_{1}+x^{3} a_{2} y^{2} b_{2}+4 x^{2} y^{3} a_{1} b_{1}-2 x^{2} y^{3} a_{1} b_{2}+5 x y^{4} b_{1}^{2}-2 y^{5} b_{1} b_{2}+ \\
+6 x^{3} y a_{1}^{2}+2 x^{3} a_{2} y b_{1}-6 x^{2} a_{1} y^{2} b_{1}+x^{2} a_{1} y^{2} b_{2}-5 y^{4} b_{1}^{2}-3 x^{3} a_{1}^{2}+2 x^{2} a_{1} y b_{1}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

The resultant of $(l)_{y}^{\prime}$ and $e$ with respect to $b_{2}$ equals

$$
\begin{array}{r}
r_{1}=3 x^{3} y^{4} a_{2} b_{1}-3 x^{3} y^{3} a_{1}{ }^{2}-6 x^{3} y^{3} a_{2} b_{1}+3 x^{2} y^{4} a_{1} b_{1}+3 x y^{5} b_{1}{ }^{2}+9 x^{3} y^{2} a_{1}{ }^{2}+ \\
+3 x^{3} a_{2} y^{2} b_{1}-6 x^{2} y^{3} a_{1} b_{1}-x y^{4} b_{1}{ }^{2}-3 y^{5} b_{1}{ }^{2}-9 x^{3} y a_{1}{ }^{2}+3 x^{2} a_{1} y^{2} b_{1}+ \\
+y^{4} b_{1}{ }^{2}+3 x^{3} a_{1}{ }^{2}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

The resultant of $r_{1}$ and $l$ with respect to $b_{1}$ equals

$$
r_{2}=x^{6} y^{8} a_{1}^{4}(y-1)^{6}(x-1)^{2}=0 .
$$

But $r_{2}$ is not equal to zero identically. Thus, we have:
Proposition 4. The solutions of the Horn system of complexity one for Example 4 do not exist.

## Example 5. Let

$$
P=x+y-p, \quad Q=x+q, \quad R=x+y-p, \quad S=y+s .
$$

Case $p=0$.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
G_{x} z=x^{2} a_{1} c_{1}+x y b_{1} c_{1}-x a_{1} c_{1}-q c_{0}=0 \\
G_{y} z=x y a_{1} c_{1}+y^{2} b_{1} c_{1}-y b_{1} c_{1}-s c_{0}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

From $c_{1} \neq 0$ we get $q \neq 0$ and $s \neq 0$. After elimination of $c$ we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
e_{1}=q x y a_{1}+q y^{2} b_{1}-s x^{2} a_{1}-s x y b_{1}-q y b_{1}+s x a_{1}=0, \\
e_{2}=-x^{2} a_{2} b_{1}+x y a_{1} b_{2}-x a_{1} b_{1}+x a_{2} b_{1}-y b_{1}^{2}+a_{1} b_{1}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

From $e_{1}=0$ we get $b_{1} . b_{1}$ does not depend on $x$, hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{3}= & -a_{2} q^{2} x y^{2}+2 a_{2} q s x^{2} y-a_{2} s^{2} x^{3}+a_{2} q^{2} x y-a_{1} q^{2} y^{2}-a_{2} q s x^{2}+2 a_{1} q s x y- \\
& a_{2} q s x y-a_{1} s^{2} x^{2}+a_{2} s^{2} x^{2}+a_{1} q^{2} y-2 a_{1} q s x+a_{2} q s x-a_{1} q s y+a_{1} q s=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This expression is quadratic in $y$. Write that the coefficient of $y^{2}$ is equal to zero, we get $q^{2}\left(x a_{2}+a_{1}\right)=0$. Hence $a(x)=\lambda \ln (x)+\alpha$ and $s=-q, b(y)=-\lambda \ln (y)+\beta$. As a result we get $z=\mu(y / x)^{q}$.

Case $p \neq 0$.
We have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
G_{x} z=x^{2} a_{1} c_{1}+x y b_{1} c_{1}-p x c_{0}-x c_{1} a_{1}-q c_{0}=0 \\
G_{y} z=x y a_{1} c_{1}+y^{2} b_{1} c_{1}-p y c_{0}-y c_{1} b_{1}-s c_{0}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

By elimination of $c$ we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
e_{1}=p x y a_{1}-p x y b_{1}+q x y a_{1}+q y^{2} b_{1}-s x^{2} a_{1}-s x y b_{1}-q y b_{1}+s x a_{1}=0, \\
e_{2}=-a_{2} b_{1} p x^{3}+a_{1} b_{2} p x^{2} y+a_{2} b_{1} p x^{2}-a_{2} b_{1} q x^{2}+a_{1} b_{2} q x y-a_{1} b_{1} q x+a_{2} b_{1} q x- \\
-b_{1}^{2} q y+a_{1} b_{1} q=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Let us express $b_{2}$ from $e_{2}=0$ and $b_{1}$ from $e_{1}=0$. We have two conditions. The first: $\left(b_{1}\right)_{x}^{\prime}=0$ and the second: $\left(b_{1}\right)_{y}^{\prime}=b_{2}$. After elimination the nonzero factors we can see that both conditions coincide and have the form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
e_{3}=a_{2} p^{2} x^{2} y+a_{2} p q x^{2} y-a_{2} p q x y^{2}-a_{2} p s x^{3}+a_{2} p s x^{2} y-a_{2} q^{2} x y^{2}+2 a_{2} q s x^{2} y- \\
-a_{2} s^{2} x^{3}+a_{2} p q x y-a_{1} p q y^{2}-a_{1} p s x^{2}+a_{2} p s x^{2}+a_{2} q^{2} x y-a_{1} q^{2} y^{2}-a_{2} q s x^{2}+ \\
+2 a_{1} q s x y-a_{2} q s x y-a_{1} s^{2} x^{2}+a_{2} s^{2} x^{2}+a_{1} p q y+a_{1} q^{2} y-2 a_{1} q s x+ \\
+a_{2} q s x-a_{1} q s y+a_{1} q s=0 .
\end{array}
$$

This expression is quadratic in $y$. Write that the coefficient of $y^{2}$ is equal zero, we get:

$$
q\left(x a_{2}+a_{1}\right)(p+q)=0
$$

Case $p \neq 0, q=0$.
Then $e_{3}$ has the form $x^{2}(p+s)\left(p y a_{2}-s x a_{2}-s a_{1}+s a_{2}\right)$. We have two opportunities for this expression to be equal to zero: either $s=-p$ or $a_{2}=s=0$. The first case is impossible, because in this case $e_{1}=p x a_{1}(x+y-1)$. In the second case $a(x)=\lambda x+\alpha, b(y)=\lambda y+\beta$. Thus, we get:

$$
z=\mu(1-(x+y))^{p}, q=s=0, p \neq 0 .
$$

Case $p \neq 0, q=-p, q \neq 0$. Then $e_{3}$ is divisible by $s$. But $s=0$ is impossible, if $e_{1}=0$. Thus, we have $s=-p$ and:

$$
z=\mu\left(\frac{(x-1)(y-1)}{x y}-1\right), \quad s=q=-p .
$$

Case $p \neq 0, q \neq 0,(p+q) \neq 0\left(x a_{2}+a_{1}\right)=0$. Then $a(x)=\lambda \ln (x)+\alpha$. From $e_{2}=0$ we get $s=-(p+q)$ and from $e_{1}=0$ we get $b_{1}=-\lambda(p+q) / q y, \quad b(y)=-\lambda(p+q) / q \ln (y)+\beta$. We have:

$$
z=\mu \frac{y^{p+q}}{x^{q}}, \quad s=-(p+q), \quad q \neq 0, \quad(p+q) \neq 0
$$

Proposition 5. The solutions of the Horn system of complexity one for Example 5 exist in three cases only:
(a) $q \neq 0, \quad p+q \neq 0, \quad s=-(p+q), \quad z=\frac{\mu}{x^{q} y^{s}}$,
(b) $p \neq 0, s=q=0, \quad z=\mu(1-(x+y))^{p}$,
(c) $s=q=-p \neq 0, \quad z=\mu\left(\frac{(x-1)(y-1)}{x y}-1\right)$.

Example 6. Let

$$
P=x(x+y), \quad Q=x^{2}, \quad R=y(x+y), \quad S=y^{2} .
$$

For $z=c(a(x)+b(y))$ we have:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
G_{x} z=x^{2} a_{1}{ }^{2} c_{2}+x y a_{1} b_{1} c_{2}+x^{2} a_{2} c_{1}-x c_{2} a_{1}^{2}+x a_{1} c_{1}-x c_{1} a_{2}-c_{1} a_{1}=0 \\
G_{y} z=x y a_{1} b_{1} c_{2}+y^{2} b_{1}{ }^{2} c_{2}+y^{2} b_{2} c_{1}-y c_{2} b_{1}^{2}+y b_{1} c_{1}-y c_{1} b_{2}-c_{1} b_{1}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

After elimination of $c$ we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
e_{1}=-x^{3} y a_{1} a_{2} b_{1}+x^{2} y^{2} a_{1}^{2} b_{2}-x^{2} y^{2} a_{2} b_{1}^{2}+x y^{3} a_{1} b_{1} b_{2}-x^{2} y a_{1}^{2} b_{2}+ \\
+x^{2} y a_{1} a_{2} b_{1}+x^{2} y a_{2} b_{1}^{2}-x y^{2} a_{1}^{2} b_{2}-x y^{2} a_{1} b_{1} b_{2}+x y^{2} a_{2} b_{1}^{2}-x^{2} a_{1}^{2} b_{1}+ \\
+x y a_{1}^{2} b_{2}-x y a_{2} b_{1}^{2}+y^{2} a_{1} b_{1}^{2}+x a_{1}^{2} b_{1}-y a_{1} b_{1}^{2}=0, \\
e_{2}=-a_{3} a_{1}^{2} b_{1} x^{4}+2 a_{2}^{2} a_{1} b_{1} x^{4}-a_{2} a_{1}^{2} b_{2} x^{3} y-a_{3} a_{1} b_{1}^{2} x^{3} y+a_{2}^{2} b_{1}^{2} x^{3} y+ \\
+2 a_{3} a_{1}^{2} b_{1} x^{3}-4 a_{2}^{2} a_{1} b_{1} x^{3}-a_{1}^{3} b_{2} x^{2} y+a_{2} a_{1}^{2} b_{2} x^{2} y-a_{2} a_{1} b_{1}^{2} x^{2} y+a_{3} a_{1} b_{1}^{2} x^{2} y- \\
-a_{2}^{2} b_{1}^{2} x^{2} y-a_{2}^{2} a_{1}^{2} b_{1} x^{2}-a_{3} a_{1}{ }^{2} b_{1} x^{2}+2 a_{2}{ }^{2} a_{1} b_{1} x^{2}+a_{1}^{3} b_{2} x y- \\
-a_{1}^{3} b_{1} x+a_{2} a_{1}^{2} b_{1} x-a_{1}{ }^{2} b_{1}^{2} y+a_{1}^{3} b_{1}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

And we obtain two expression for $b_{2}$. Then we have two conditions: equality of both expressions and their independence of $x$. We obtain $e_{3}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, b_{1}\right)=e_{4}\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, b_{1}\right)=0$ ( $e_{3}$ contains 43 monomials, $e_{4}$ contains 45 monomials). If $r$ is the resultant of $e_{3}$ and $e_{4}$ with respect to $b_{1}$, we have:

$$
r=y^{2} a_{1}^{4}(y-1)(x-1)^{2}(x+y-1)^{2} r_{1}^{2} r_{2} r_{3}, \text { where }
$$

$r_{1}=\left(x a_{2}+a_{1}\right), \quad r_{2}=x^{3} a_{1} a_{3}-x^{3} a_{2}{ }^{2}+x^{2} a_{1} a_{2}-x^{2} a_{1} a_{3}+x^{2} a_{2}{ }^{2}+a_{1}{ }^{2}, r_{3}=r_{30}+y r_{31}$,
where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{30}=x^{7} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{3}{ }^{2}-4 x^{7} a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{2} a_{3}+4 x^{7} a_{2}^{4}-2 x^{6} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{2} a_{3}-3 x^{6} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{3}{ }^{2}+4 x^{6} a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{3}+ \\
& +12 x^{6} a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{2} a_{3}-12 x^{6} a_{2}{ }^{4}-2 x^{5} a_{1}{ }^{3} a_{3}+5 x^{5} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{2}{ }^{2}+6 x^{5} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{2} a_{3}+3 x^{5} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{3}{ }^{2}- \\
& -12 x^{5} a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{3}-12 x^{5} a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{2} a_{3}+12 x^{5} a_{2}{ }^{4}+2 x^{4} a_{1}{ }^{3} a_{2}+6 x^{4} a_{1}{ }^{3} a_{3}-15 x^{4} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{2}{ }^{2}- \\
& -6 x^{4} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{2} a_{3}-x^{4} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{3}{ }^{2}+12 x^{4} a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{3}+4 x^{4} a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{2} a_{3}-4 x^{4} a_{2}{ }^{4}+x^{3} a_{1}{ }^{4}-6 x^{3} a_{1}{ }^{3} a_{2}- \\
& -6 x^{3} a_{1}^{3} a_{3}+15 x^{3} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{2}{ }^{2}+2 x^{3} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{2} a_{3}-4 x^{3} a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{3}-3 x^{2} a_{1}{ }^{4}+6 x^{2} a_{1}{ }^{3} a_{2}+ \\
& +2 x^{2} a_{1}^{3} a_{3}-5 x^{2} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{2}^{2}+3 x a_{1}{ }^{4}-2 x a_{1}{ }^{3} a_{2}-a_{1}{ }^{4}, \\
& r_{31}=4 x^{7} a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{2} a_{3}-4 x^{7} a_{2}^{4}+8 x^{6} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{2} a_{3}+x^{6} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{3}{ }^{2}-4 x^{6} a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{3}-12 x^{6} a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{2} a_{3}+ \\
& +12 x^{6} a_{2}^{4}+4 x^{5} a_{1}^{3} a_{3}+4 x^{5} a_{1}^{2} a_{2}^{2}-16 x^{5} a_{1}^{2} a_{2} a_{3}-2 x^{5} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{3}^{2}+12 x^{5} a_{1} a_{2}^{3}+ \\
& +12 x^{5} a_{1} a_{2}^{2} a_{3}-12 x^{5} a_{2}^{4}+4 x^{4} a_{1}^{3} a_{2}-8 x^{4} a_{1}^{3} a_{3}+3 x^{4} a_{1}^{2} a_{2}^{2}+10 x^{4} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{2} a_{3}+ \\
& +x^{4} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{3}{ }^{2}-12 x^{4} a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{3}-4 x^{4} a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{2} a_{3}+4 x^{4} a_{2}^{4}+2 x^{3} a_{1}{ }^{3} a_{2}+6 x^{3} a_{1}{ }^{3} a_{3}- \\
& -11 x^{3} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{2}{ }^{2}-2 x^{3} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{2} a_{3}+4 x^{3} a_{1} a_{2}{ }^{3}+3 x^{2} a_{1}{ }^{4}-6 x^{2} a_{1}{ }^{3} a_{2}-2 x^{2} a_{1}{ }^{3} a_{3}+ \\
& +5 x^{2} a_{1}{ }^{2} a_{2}{ }^{2}-3 x a_{1}{ }^{4}+2 x a_{1}{ }^{3} a_{2}+a_{1}{ }^{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we need to consider three cases:
Case $r_{1}=0$. In this case $a(x)=\lambda \ln (x)+\alpha$. After the substitution of this expression in $e_{1}$, we obtain

$$
e_{1}=\lambda(y-1)\left(y b_{2}+b_{1}\right)\left(x y b_{1}+\lambda x-\lambda\right)=0
$$

hence $\left(y b_{2}+b_{1}\right)=0$ and $b(y)=\mu \ln (y)+\beta$. Then we have $c_{2}=0$ and

$$
z=\lambda \ln (x)+\mu \ln (y)+\nu, \quad \lambda \mu \neq 0 .
$$

Case $r_{2}=0, r_{1} \neq 0$. If we solve $r_{2}=0$ with respect to $a_{3}$ and substitute this expression in $e_{2}=0$, we obtain:

$$
\frac{b_{2}}{b_{1}} y=\frac{a_{2}}{a_{1}}(x-1)=\lambda=\text { const } .
$$

We solve these differential equations with respect to $a$ and $b$, then we substitute these expressions in $e_{1}=0$. And we obtain a contradiction.
Case $r_{3}=0, r_{1} \neq 0, r_{2} \neq 0$. If $r_{3}=0$, then $r_{30}=r_{31}=0$. Thus, the resultant of $r_{30}$ and $r_{31}$ with respect to $a_{3}$ is equal to zero and we have:

$$
(x-1)^{6}\left(x a_{2}+a_{1}\right)^{4} x^{10} a_{1}^{4}\left(2 x^{2} a_{2}+2 a_{1} x-2 x a_{2}-a_{1}\right)^{8}=0 .
$$

From the equation $\left(2 x^{2} a_{2}+2 a_{1} x-2 x a_{2}-a_{1}\right)=0$ we get

$$
a_{2}=-1 / 2 \frac{a_{1}(2 x-1)}{x(x-1)}, a_{3}=1 / 4 \frac{a_{1}\left(8 x^{2}-8 x+3\right)}{x^{2}(x-1)^{2}} .
$$

After substitution of these expressions into $e_{2}=0$ we obtain $2 x y a_{1} b_{2}-2 y b_{1}^{2}+a_{1} b_{1}=0$. Then we have $a_{1}=2 \frac{y b_{1}{ }^{2}}{2 x y b_{2}+b_{1}}$.

Let us substitute expressions for $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}$ into $e_{1}=0$, then we extract the term without $x$ and equate it to zero. We obtain $b_{1}{ }^{2}(y-1)=0$. The contradiction. We do not have such solutions. Thus, we have

Proposition 6. The solutions of the Horn system of complexity one for Example 6 have the form:

$$
z=\lambda \ln (x)+\mu \ln (y)+\nu, \quad \lambda \mu \neq 0 .
$$

This example is from [1] (n. 8.1.9., p. 304). In this book there is the basis of 4-dimensional space of solutions. It is possible to get our result from this description. Also we can note that in this case the solutions of complexity one is the linear subspace of codimension one in the general solutions space of this Horn system.

## Example 7. Let

$$
\begin{array}{r}
P=(x+2 y+p), \quad Q=(x+y-q), \\
R=(x+2 y+p)(x+y+p+1), \quad S=(x+y-q)(y-s) .
\end{array}
$$

For $z=c(a(x)+b(y))$ we have:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
G_{x} z=x^{2} a_{1} c_{1}+2 x y b_{1} c_{1}+p x c_{0}+x c_{1} a_{1}+y c_{1} b_{1}-q c_{0}=0 \\
G_{y} z=x^{2} y a_{1}^{2} c_{2}+x y^{2} a_{1} b_{1} c_{2}+p x y a_{1} c_{1}+x^{2} y a_{2} c_{1}+x y a_{1} b_{1} c_{2}+y^{2} b_{1}^{2} c_{2}+ \\
+p x a_{1} c_{1}+3 p y b_{1} c_{1}+q y b_{1} c_{1}+s x a_{1} c_{1}+s y b_{1} c_{1}+2 x y a_{1} c_{1}+ \\
+y^{2} b_{2} c_{1}+p^{2} c_{0}-q s c_{0}+3 y c_{1} b_{1}+p c_{0}=0 .
\end{array}
$$

Let $f^{(n)}$ be $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$. After the elimination of $c$ we obtain

$$
\begin{array}{r}
e_{1}\left(a^{(2)}, b^{(2)}\right)=a_{2} b_{1} p x^{3}-2 a_{1} b_{2} p x^{2} y-a_{1} b_{1} p x^{2}+a_{2} b_{1} p x^{2}-a_{1} b_{2} p x y-a_{2} b_{1} q x^{2}+ \\
+2 a_{1} b_{2} q x y-b_{1} a_{1} p x-b_{1}{ }^{2} p y-a_{2} b_{1} q x+a_{1} b_{2} q y-2 b_{1}{ }^{2} q y=0, \\
e_{2}\left(a^{(2)}, b^{(2)}\right)=0 \quad \text { is the sum of } 79 \text { monomials. }
\end{array}
$$

Thus, we obtain two expressions for $b_{2}$ : the first $b_{2}=B_{21}\left(a^{(2)}, b_{1}\right)$ and the second $b_{2}=$ $=B_{22}\left(a^{(2)}, b_{1}\right)$. Then we have two new conditions: equality of both expressions and their independence of $x$. We obtain $e_{3}\left(a^{(3)}, b_{1}\right)=0\left(41\right.$ monomials) and $e_{4}\left(a^{(3)}, b_{1}\right)=0$ (98 monomials). Here $e_{3}$ is linear with respect to $b_{1}$, and $e_{4}$ is quadratic with respect to $b_{1}$. If we express $b_{1}$ from $e_{3}=0$, then we get $b_{1}=B_{1}\left(a^{(3)}\right)$. The condition $\left(B_{1}\right)_{x}^{\prime}=0$ has the form $(p x-q) e_{5}\left(a^{(4)}\right)=0$ ( $e_{5}$ is the sum of 55 monomials).

Our calculation is the tree of cases.
Case 1: $(p x-q) \neq 0, e_{5}=0$.
If we substitute $b_{1}=B_{1}\left(a^{(3)}\right)$ into $e_{4}\left(a^{(3)}, b_{1}\right)=0$ we obtain $e e_{4}\left(a^{(3)}\right)=e e_{40}\left(a^{(3)}\right)+$ $y e e_{41}\left(a^{(3)}\right)=0$. Thus, we have $e e_{40}\left(a^{(3)}\right)=e e_{41}\left(a^{(3)}\right)=0$, where $e e_{40}$ consists of 489 monomials and $e e_{41}$ consists of 215 monomials. Substitution of $b_{1}=B_{1}\left(a^{(3)}\right)$ in $B_{21}\left(a^{(2)}, b_{1}\right)$ yields $B B_{21}\left(a^{(3)}\right)$. We can write $\left(B_{1}\right)_{y}^{\prime}=B B_{2}$ and we get $e_{6}=e_{61} e_{62}=0$, where

$$
\begin{gathered}
e_{61}=x^{2} a_{3}+4 a_{2} x+x a_{3}+2 a_{1}+2 a_{2}, \\
e_{62}=2 p^{2} x^{5} a_{1} a_{3}-2 p^{2} x^{5} a_{2}^{2}+2 p^{2} x^{4} a_{1} a_{2}+3 p^{2} x^{4} a_{1} a_{3}-3 p^{2} x^{4} a_{2}^{2}-4 p q x^{4} a_{1} a_{3}+ \\
+4 p q x^{4} a_{2}^{2}+2 p^{2} x^{3} a_{1} a_{2}+p^{2} x^{3} a_{1} a_{3}-p^{2} x^{3} a_{2}^{2}-4 p q x^{3} a_{1} a_{2}-6 p q x^{3} a_{1} a_{3}+ \\
+6 p q x^{3} a_{2}^{2}+2 q^{2} x^{3} a_{1} a_{3}-2 q^{2} x^{3} a_{2}^{2}+a_{1}^{2} p^{2} x^{2}+p^{2} x^{2} a_{1} a_{2}+2 a_{1}^{2} p q x^{2}- \\
-4 p q x^{2} a_{1} a_{2}-2 p q x^{2} a_{1} a_{3}+2 p q x^{2} a_{2}^{2}+2 q^{2} x^{2} a_{1} a_{2}+3 q^{2} x^{2} a_{1} a_{3}-3 q^{2} x^{2} a_{2}^{2}+ \\
+2 p q x a_{1}^{2}-2 p q x a_{1} a_{2}+2 q^{2} x a_{1} a_{2}+q^{2} x a_{1} a_{3}-q^{2} x a_{2}^{2}+p q a_{1}^{2}+q^{2} a_{1} a_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Case 1.1: $e_{61}=0$, then $a(x)=\lambda \ln (x+1)+\mu(\ln (x)-\ln (x+1))+\nu$. Substitution of this $a$ in $e_{3}=0$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(2 x^{4} p \lambda+4 x^{3} p \lambda+x^{2} p \lambda-\lambda x^{2} q+\right. & \left.x^{2} p \mu+2 \mu x^{2} q+2 \mu x q+\mu q\right) \times \\
& \times\left(p y b_{1}+2 q y b_{1}+\lambda q+p \mu\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

The set of coefficients of the first factor has the form:

$$
\{\mu q, 2 \lambda p, 4 \lambda p, 2 \mu q, \lambda p-\lambda q+p \mu+2 \mu q\} .
$$

All of them can not vanish. Hence the second factor is zero.
Case 1.1.1: $p+2 q \neq 0$. From $\left(p y b_{1}+2 q y b_{1}+\lambda q+p \mu\right)=0$ we get

$$
b(y)=-\left(\frac{\lambda q+\mu p}{p+2 q}\right) \ln (y)+\beta
$$

Substitution of this $a$ and $b$ in $e_{2}=0$ yields $e e_{2}=0$, where $e e_{2}$ is the polynomial of degree 2 in $(x, y)$, the coefficients of which depend on $(p, q, s, \lambda, \mu)$. One of them equals $\lambda(p+q+1)(\lambda-2 \mu)$.

Case 1.1.1.1.: $(\lambda-2 \mu)=0$. The analysis of coefficients of $e e_{2}$ shows us that $e e_{2}=0$ is impossible in this case.

Case 1.1.1.2.: $p+q+1=0$.
Case 1.1.1.2.1.: $\lambda=\mu$. We have $e e_{2}=0$. Then we have $p=-1, q=0$. The solution has the form $z=\nu(y / x)$.
Case 1.1.1.2.2.: $\lambda=-\mu p \neq 0$. We have $p=s=\lambda=0, q=-1, \mu \neq 0$. The solution has the form $z=\nu\left(y / x^{2}\right)$.

Case 1.1.2: $p=2 q \neq 0$. From $e_{4}=0$ we obtain $b_{1}=B_{1}\left(a^{(2)}\right)$. After substitution of this expression in $B_{21}$ we get $B B_{21}$. From $\left(B_{1}\right)_{y}^{\prime}=B B_{21}$ we get $g_{0}\left(a^{(2)}\right)+y g_{1}\left(a^{(2)}\right)+y^{2} g_{2}\left(a^{(2)}\right)=0$ and hence $g_{0}\left(a^{(2)}\right)=g_{1}\left(a^{(2)}\right)=g_{2}\left(a^{(2)}\right)=0$. The resultant of $g_{1}\left(a^{(2)}\right)$ and $g_{2}\left(a^{(2)}\right)$ with respect to $a_{2}$ is some polynomial in $x$ of degree 11 . The value of this polynomial for $x=0$ is $(q-1)^{6}$ and hence $q=1$. Then we have

$$
\forall s \quad r=-2\left(2 s x^{2}+s x-2 x^{2}\right)^{2}\left(-2 x^{2}-2 x\right)^{3} x \neq 0 .
$$

A contradiction. Solutions are absent.
Case 1.2: $e_{62}=0$. Let us express $a_{3}$ from this equation and substitute the result in $e_{2}=0$. We obtain $e e_{2}=e e_{20}\left(a^{(3)}\right)+y e e_{21}\left(a^{(3)}\right)=0$, then

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{1}=\left(-p s x-q s x+p^{2}+q p+x p+p\right) g^{3}=0 \\
g_{2}=\left(x p^{2}+p q x+x^{2} p-q p+2 x p-q^{2}-q\right) g^{3}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

where $g=\left(2 p x^{2} a_{2}+4 p x a_{1}+p x a_{2}-2 q x a_{2}+p a_{1}-2 q a_{1}-q a_{2}\right)$.
Case 1.2.1.: $g \neq 0$. In this case all coefficients of both factors must vanish. The set of these coefficients is:

$$
\{p(p+q+1),-p s-q s+p, p, p(p+q+2),-q(p+q+1)\}
$$

We see that $p=0$, then $s=0(q \neq 0)$ and $q=-1$. We have the solution $z=\nu\left(y / x^{2}\right)$ (this solution coincides with the solution of the case 1.1.1.2.2.).
Case 1.2.2.: $g=0$. Let us express $a_{2}$ from this equation and substitute the result in $e_{62}=0$. We obtain

$$
2 p^{2} x^{3}+4 p q x^{3}+6 p q x^{2}+6 p q x+p q-q^{2}=0 .
$$

The set of coefficients of this polynomial is:

$$
\{q(p-q), 6 p q, 2 p(p+2 q)\} .
$$

The vanishing of all of them is impossible $(p x-q \neq 0)$.
Case 2: $p=q=0$. The equation $G_{x}(z)=0$ takes the form:

$$
G_{x} z=x^{2} a_{1}+2 x y b_{1}+x a_{1}+y b_{1}=0
$$

Hence

$$
a_{1} \frac{(x+1)}{(2 x+1)}=\lambda=b_{1} y, \quad \lambda \neq 0 \text { is constant. }
$$

And then we get

$$
a(x)=\lambda(2 x-\ln (x))+\alpha, b(y)=\lambda \ln (y)+\beta .
$$

After elimination of $c$ from

$$
\begin{array}{r}
G_{y} z=x^{2} y a_{1}^{2} c_{2}+x y^{2} a_{1} b_{1} c_{2}+x^{2} y a_{2} c_{1}+x y a_{1} b_{1} c_{2}+y^{2} b_{1}^{2} c_{2}+ \\
+s x a_{1} c_{1}+s y b_{1} c_{1}+2 x y a_{1} c_{1}+y^{2} b_{2} c_{1}+3 y c_{1} b_{1}=0
\end{array}
$$

for our $a$ and $b$ we get:

$$
8 s x^{3} y-12 s x^{2} y-8 x^{2} y^{2}+2 s x y+4 x y^{2}-10 x y-y^{2}+4 y-2=0 .
$$

for all $(x, y)$. This is impossible for all $s$. A contradiction. Thus, we have:
Proposition 7. The solutions of the Horn system of complexity one for Example 7 exist in two cases only:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { (a) } p=-1, q=0, \quad z=\nu \frac{y}{x} \\
\text { (b) } p=s=0, q=-1, \quad z=\nu \frac{y}{x^{2}}
\end{array}
$$

Example 8. The Lauricella's functions are the subclass of the class of hypergeometric functions [5], [6]. They are the solutions of the Lauricella system (some generalization of the hypergeometric Gauss equation). If the number of the independent variables is two, this system is the system of two equations for the function $z(x, y)$ of the form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
L_{x}(z)=x(1-x) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}} z(x, y)+(1-x) y \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y \partial x} z(x, y)+ \\
+\left(q-\left(1+p_{1}+\rho\right) x\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x} z(x, y)-p_{1} y \frac{\partial}{\partial y} z(x, y)-p_{1} \rho z(x, y)=0 \\
L_{y}(z)=y(1-y) \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}} z(x, y)+(1-y) x \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y \partial x} z(x, y)+ \\
+\left(q-\left(1+p_{2}+\rho\right) y\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y} z(x, y)-p_{2} x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} z(x, y)-p_{2} \rho z(x, y)=0
\end{array}
$$

The parameters $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \rho\right)$ are any complex numbers and $q \in \mathbf{C} \backslash\{0,-1,-2, \ldots\}$. Our goal is to describe the solutions of the Lauricella system of complexity one (of kind $z=c(a(x)+b(y)$ ), where $(a, b, c)$ are not constant). In order to simplify our calculation we will assume that $\rho=0$. Thus, we have three complex parameters only $\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, q\right)$. The Lauricella system for $\rho=0, z=$ $c(a(x)+b(y))$ has the form:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
L_{x}(z)=-x^{2} a_{1}{ }^{2} c_{2}-y c_{2} a_{1} b_{1} x-x^{2} a_{2} c_{1}+x a_{1}{ }^{2} c_{2}-x a_{1} c_{1} p_{1}+ \\
+y c_{2} a_{1} b_{1}-p_{1} y c_{1} b_{1}+q a_{1} c_{1}-x a_{1} c_{1}+x a_{2} c_{1}=0 \\
L_{y}(z)=-y c_{2} a_{1} b_{1} x-y^{2} b_{1}{ }^{2} c_{2}+x c_{2} a_{1} b_{1}-p_{2} x c_{1} a_{1}-y^{2} b_{2} c_{1}+ \\
+y b_{1}{ }^{2} c_{2}-y b_{1} c_{1} p_{2}+q b_{1} c_{1}-y b_{1} c_{1}+y b_{2} c_{1}=0
\end{array}
$$

Case 1. $x a_{1}+y b_{1}=0$. We have

$$
a(x)=-\lambda \ln (x)+\alpha, \quad b(y)=\lambda \ln (y)+\beta, \quad \lambda \neq 0
$$

and our equations have the form

$$
-\frac{\lambda c_{1}(q-1)}{x}=\frac{\lambda c_{1}(q-1)}{y}=0
$$

The condition of solvability is $q=1$ and we obtain $z=c(y / x)$, where $c(t)$ is any analytical function.

Case 2. $x a_{1}+y b_{1} \neq 0$. We can express $c_{2} / c_{1}$ from both equations. We have

$$
c_{2} / c_{1}=L C_{21}\left(a^{(2)}, b_{1}\right)=L C_{22}\left(a_{1}, b^{(2)}\right)=0
$$

The solvability conditions are: $L C_{21}=L C_{22}$ and $V\left(L C_{21}\right)=0$. This conditions are $e_{1}\left(a^{(2)}, b^{(2)}\right)=0\left(20\right.$ monomials) and $e_{2}\left(a^{(3)}, b^{(2)}\right)=0$ ( 39 monomials).

Case 2.1. $-x^{2} a_{2}+q a_{1}-x a_{1}+x a_{2}=0$. Thus,

$$
a_{1}=\frac{(x-1)^{q-1}}{x^{q}}, \quad b_{1} y=-\left(\frac{x-1}{x}\right)^{q-1}
$$

Hence $q=1, a_{1}=1 / x$ and $b_{1}=-1 / y$, and further $x a_{1}+y b_{1}=0$. In this case it is impossible.
Case 2.2. $-x^{2} a_{2}+q a_{1}-x a_{1}+x a_{2} \neq 0$. The equations $e_{1}=0$ and $e_{2}=0$ are linear with respect to $b_{2}$. We have two expressions for $b_{2}$ : $b_{2}=B_{21}\left(a^{(2)}, b_{1}\right)$ and $b_{2}=B_{22}\left(a^{(3)}, b_{1}\right)$. We get two conditions: $B_{21}=B_{22}$ yields $e_{3}\left(a^{(3)}, b_{1}\right)=0$ ( 79 monomials), $\left(B_{21}\right)_{x}^{\prime}=0$ yields $e_{4}\left(a^{(3)}, b_{1}\right)=0$ (36 monomials). $e_{3}$ is cubic with respect to $b_{1}, e_{4}$ is quadratic with respect to $b_{1}$. We can divide $e_{3}$ by $e_{2}$ with the remainder (as polynomials with respect to $b_{1}$ ). We obtain that the reminder is zero. Thus, we have:

$$
-y b_{1}-\frac{\left(x^{2} a_{2}+q a_{1}+x a_{1}-x a_{2}-a_{1}\right) a_{1}}{x a_{2}+a_{1}-a_{2}}=0
$$

Hence

$$
y b_{1}=\lambda=-\frac{\left(x^{2} a_{2}+q a_{1}+x a_{1}-x a_{2}-a_{1}\right) a_{1}}{x a_{2}+a_{1}-a_{2}} .
$$

And further we get

$$
b(y)=\lambda \ln (y)+\beta, \quad a_{2}=-\frac{a_{1}\left(q a_{1}+x a_{1}+\lambda-a_{1}\right)}{x^{2} a_{1}+\lambda x-x a_{1}-\lambda}, \lambda \neq 0
$$

After substitution of these expressions in $e_{1}=e_{2}=e_{3}=e_{4}=0$ we get $e e_{1}\left(a_{1}\right)=e e_{2}\left(a_{1}\right)=$ $e e_{3}\left(a_{1}\right)=e e_{4}\left(a_{1}\right)=0$. The resultant of $e e_{1}$ and $e e_{2}$ with respect to $a_{1}$ is a polynomial with respect to $(x, y)$. The coefficient of $x^{6} y$ in this polynomial is $(q-1)^{4}$, hence $q=1$. For such $q$ we have $x a_{1}+y b_{1}=0$. In this case it's impossible. Thus, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 8. The solutions of the Lauricella system of complexity one for $\rho=0$ exist in the case $q=1$ only. These solutions have the form:

$$
z=c\left(\frac{y}{x}\right), \quad \text { where } c(t) \text { is any nonconstant analytical function. }
$$

It is possible that the additional computational efforts would allow us to free ourselves from the constraint $\rho=0$.

## Conclusion

Both (2) and (1) are equalities to zero of differential polynomials, which are the elements of the differential ring $\mathcal{R}$, the ring of differential polynomials with complex coefficients and generators ( $x, y, z, \partial_{x}, \partial_{y}$ ) (and with obvious relations) [7], to which the field of fractions $\mathcal{F}$ corresponds. The ring $\mathcal{R}$ is a classical object of differential algebra. We can look at the common zeros of a system of differential-polynomial equations, i.e., at the solutions of these equations, from two different points of view. From a quite abstract algebraical point of view they are the elements of the differential-algebraic closure of the field $\mathcal{F}$. From the analytical point of view they are analytic functions, which gives solutions to the system of differential equations. In $\mathcal{R}$ there is the subring $\mathbf{C}[x, y]$, which is the commutative ring of the polynomials in $(x, y)$. The set of the common zeros of a system of polynomials is an affine algebraic subvariety of two-dimensional space. This is the area of responsibility of algebraic geometry. If we move from $\mathbf{C}[x, y]$ to $\mathcal{R}$, then
the object arise, which is quite analogous to an algebraic variety: the set of the common zeros of a differential-polynomial system, which is a differential-algebraic manifold (DA-manifold). The term is not stable, there are variants, e.g., diffiety [8]. From this point of view the discussed above examples are examples of DA-manifolds, which are defined by three differential polynomials (two of them are the Horn system, and the third is the defining equation of the first class). The Horn systems from this point of view are not very interesting, the corresponding DA-manifold is a linear space. By adding the defining equation of the first class, we provide opportunities for a larger diversity. Studying an algebraic variety, one usually pays attention to a series of natural characteristics, namely: irreducible components, stratification of the points on the variety with respect to the dimension of the tangent space and so on. In the study of DA-manifolds these characteristics are also of interest. Nevertheless, there is a certain specifics.

For example, the dimension of the linear space of the solutions of system (2) can be either finite or infinite. In the case when it is infinite the question arise:

Question 9: (a) Under which condition the dimension of the intersection is finite? (b) If the dimension is finite, how to estimate it? (c) How to estimate the number of irreducible components?

DA-manifold defined by the equation $d_{1}(z)=0$ is a cone, and DA-manifold defined by a Horn system is a linear space. However, when we speak about conic sections, we mean that the cutting plane does not necessary go through the vertex of the cone, as it is in our examples. We can easily avoid this limitation. Let $z_{0}(x, y)$ be an analytic function of complexity one, which is a solution of the Horn system, i.e., $G_{x}\left(z_{0}\right)=G_{y}\left(z_{0}\right)=0$. Then we can consider the affine subspace, which consists of the functions of the form $\left\{z=z_{0}+\delta z\right\}$, where $\delta z$ is a solution of the Horn system, i.e., $G_{x}(\delta z)=G_{y}(\delta z)=0$, and construct its intersection with the cone $C l^{1}$, which is certainly nonempty (there $z_{0}$ lies).

Some of the discussed examples of Horn systems are systems with parameters. This feature can be easily interpreted with the help of differential algebra. In the definition of the differential ring $\mathcal{R}$ we should include these parameters in the field of constants.

Next, note that all our considerations can be adapted to functions of larger number of variables. The functions of complexity one in $n$ variables are analytic functions of the form $z\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=c\left(a_{1}\left(x_{1}\right)+\cdots+a_{n}\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$, where $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}, c\right)$ are functions of one variable. The class of such functions, as in the case of two variables, is defined by a set of differential polynomials.

The consideration of examples with parameters allows us to note that in all discussed situations for the existence of solutions of complexity one there are necessarily restrictions on the parameters. I.e., solutions exist only for a proper algebraic subset of the space of parameters.

Question 10: Do there exist holonomic Horn systems with parameters, such that there are solutions of complexity one for all values of parameters?

Let a Horn system with parameters be given. And let solutions of complexity not greater than a fixed $n$ of this system exist only under some nontrivial analytic conditions (for all natural $n$ ). Then it is easy to show that all solutions for generic values of parameters (outside solutions of some enumerable system of analytic equations) have infinite complexity. On the other hand, if we assume that for a Horn system with parameters all solutions are of finite complexity, then there exists a number $N$, such that all solutions for all values of parameters have complexity not greater than $N$.

The author is grateful to A. K. Tsikh and T M. Sadykov for the discussion of this paper.
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## О гипергеометрических функциях двух переменных сложности один

Валерий К. Белошапка<br>Московский центр фундаментальной и прикладной математики Московский государственный университет имени М. В. Ломоносова Москва, Российская Федерация

Аннотация. Для серии примеров систем Горна и системы Лауричеллы для функций двух переменных дано описание решений, имеющих аналитическую сложность один. Ставится ряд вопросов.
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