УДК 519.17

On Distance-Regular Graphs with $\lambda = 2$

Alexander A. Makhnev^{*}

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, Kovalevskaja, 16, Ekaterinburg, 620990,

Russia

Marina S. Nirova

Kabardino-Balkarian State University, Chernyshevskogo, 28, Nalchik, 360000,

Russia

Received 24.12.2013, received in revised form 25.01.2014, accepted 26.02.2014

V.P. Burichenko and A.A. Makhnev have found intersection arrays of distance-regular graphs with $\lambda=2$, $\mu>1$, having at most 1000 vertices. Earlier, intersection arrays of antipodal distance-regular graphs of diameter 3 with $\lambda\leqslant 2$ and $\mu=1$ were obtained by the second author. In this paper, the possible intersection arrays of distance-regular graphs with $\lambda=2$ and the number of vertices not greater than 4096 are obtained.

Keywords: distance-regular graph, nearly n-gon.

Introduction

We consider undirected graphs without loops and multiple edges. Given a vertex a in a graph Γ , we denote by $\Gamma_i(a)$ the subgraph induced by Γ on the set of all vertices, that are at a distance i from a. The subgraph $[a] = \Gamma_1(a)$ is called the *neighborhood of the vertex* a.

We denote by k_a the degree of a vertex a, i. e. the number of vertices in [a]. A graph Γ is said to be regular with degree k, if $k_a = k$ for every vertex a of Γ . A graph Γ is called a strongly regular graph with parameters (v, k, λ, μ) , if Γ is regular with degree k on v vertices, in which every edge is placed in precisely λ triangles, and for any two non-adjacent triangles and any non-adjacent vertices a, b one has $|[a] \cap [b]| = \mu$. A graph with a diameter d is called antipodal, if the relation on the set of its vertices – to coincide or to be at a distance d – is an equivalence relation. Classes of this relation are called the antipodal classes.

If vertices u, w are at a distance i in Γ , then we denote by $b_i(u, w)$ (by $c_i(u, w)$) the number of vertices in the intersection of $\Gamma_{i+1}(u)$ (of $\Gamma_{i-1}(u)$) with [w]. A graph Γ of diameter d is said to be distance-regular with the intersection array $\{b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{d-1}; c_1, \ldots, c_d\}$, if the values of $b_i(u, w), c_i(u, w)$ do not depend on the choice of vertices u and w separated by a distance i in Γ , and are equal to b_i, c_i for $i = 0, \ldots, d$. Let $a_i = k - b_i - c_i$. Note that a distance-regular graph is amply regular with $k = b_0, \lambda = k - b_1 - 1$ and $\mu = c_2$, by definition $c_1 = 1$. Further, we denote by $p_{ij}^l(x, y)$ the number of vertices in the subgraph $\Gamma_i(x) \cap \Gamma_j(y)$ for vertices x, y that are at a distance l in the graph Γ . In a distance-regular graph, the numbers $p_{ij}^l(x, y)$ are independent of the choice of the vertices x, y; they are denoted by p_{ij}^l and are called the intersection numbers of the graph Γ .

V. P. Burichenko and A. A. Makhnev found [1] the intersection arrays for distance-regular graphs with $\lambda = 2$, $\mu > 1$, such that the number of vertices is not greater than 1000.

^{*}makhnev@imm.uran.ru

[©] Siberian Federal University. All rights reserved

Note here that the arrays $\{9,6,3;1,2,3\}$ of Hemming's graph H(3,4) with v=64, and $\{19,16,15,9;1,2,3,4\}$ of Hemming's graph H(4,4) with v=256, and the array $\{45,42,1;1,14,45\}$ were omitted from the consideration of [1]. However, there is an additional array $\{13,10,7;1,2,7\}$ (according to [2], a graph with such an intersection array should not exist). In [3], there were found intersection arrays for antipodal distance-regular graphs of diameter 3 with $\lambda \leq 2$ and $\mu=1$. In the present paper, the possible intersection arrays of distance-regular graphs with $\lambda=2$ and 4096 vertices at most are obtained.

Theorem. Let Γ be a distance-regular graph with $\lambda = 2$, $\mu = 1$, having 4096 vertices at most. Then Γ has one of the following intersection arrays:

- (1) $\{21, 18; 1, 1\}$ (v = 400);
- (2) {6,3,3,3;1,1,1,2} (Γ is a generalized octagon of order (3,1), v = 160), {6,3,3;1,1,2} (Γ is a generalized hexagon of order (3,1), v = 52), {12,9,9;1,1,4} (Γ is a generalized hexagon of order (3,3), v = 364), {6,3,3,3,3,3;1,1,1,1,1,2} (Γ is a generalized dodecagon of order (3,1), v = 1456);
- (3) $\{18, 15, 9; 1, 1, 10\}$ $(v = 1 + 18 + 270 + 243 = 532, \Gamma_3 \text{ is a strongly regular graph});$ $\{21, 18, 12, 4; 1, 1, 6, 21\}$ $(v = 1 + 21 + 378 + 756 + 144 = 1300, q_{3,4}^4 = 0).$

Corollary. Let Γ be a distance-regular graph of diameter greater than 2, with $\lambda = 2$, and having at most 4096 vertices. Then one of the following assertions holds:

- (1) Γ is a primitive graph with the intersection array $\{6,3,3;1,1,2\}, \{9,6,3;1,2,3\}, \{12,9,9;1,1,4\}, \{15,12,6;1,2,10\}, \{18,15,9;1,1,10\}, \{19,16,8;1,2,8\}, \{24,21,3;1,3,18\}, \{33,30,15;1,2,15\}, \{35,32,8;1,2,28\}, \{42,39,1;1,1,42\}, \{51,48,8;1,4,36\};$
- (2) Γ is an antipodal graph with $\mu = 2$ and the intersection array $\{2r+1, 2r-2, 1; 1, 2, 2r+1\}, r \in \{3, 4, ..., 44\} \{10, 16, 28, 34, 38\}$ and v = 2r(r+1);
- (3) Γ is an antipodal graph with $\mu \geqslant 3$ and the intersection array $\{15,12,1;1,4,15\}, \{18,15,1;1,5,18\}, \{27,24,1;1,8,27\}, \{35,32,1;1,4,35\}, \{45,42,1;1,6,45\}, \{42,39,1;1,3,42\}, \{63,60,1;1,4,63\}, \{75,72,1;1,12,75\}, \{99,96,1;1,4,99\}, \{108,105,1;1,5,108\}, \{143,140,1;1,20,143\}, \{147,144,1;1,16,147\}, \{171,168,1;1,12,171\};$
- (4) Γ is a primitive graph with the intersection array $\{6,3,3,3;1,1,1,2\}$, $\{19,16,15,9;1,2,3,4\}$, $\{21,18,12,4;1,1,6,21\}$, $\{15,12,9,6,3;1,2,3,4,5\}$, $\{6,3,3,3,3,3;1,1,1,1,1,2\}$, $\{18,15,12,9,6,3;1,2,3,4,5,6\}$.

We note that only arrays of some generalized polygons, Hemming's graphs H(n,4), two graphs with $\mu = 1$, the array $\{33, 30, 15; 1, 2, 15\}$, and arrays of antipodal graphs of diameter 3 have been added to the list of Burichenko and Makhnev.

Now we prove the Theorem. Let Γ be a distance-regular graph of diameter d with $\lambda=2$, $\mu=1$, having 4096 vertices at most. Let a be a vertex in the graph Γ and $k_i=|\Gamma_i(a)|$. Then [a] is the union of t+1 isolated 3-cliques, k=3(t+1) and $t\leqslant 20$. Otherwise, $v>1+66+66\cdot 63$, a contradiction.

Lemma 1. The following assertions hold:

- (1) if the diameter of Γ is 2, then Γ possesses the parameters (400, 21, 2, 1);
- (2) if Γ is a generalized 2n-gon, then Γ has the intersection array from the Corollary.

Proof. If the diameter of Γ is equal to 2, then, according to [5], Γ has the parameters (400, 21, 2, 1). Assume that the diameter of Γ is greater than 2.

Let Γ be a regular almost n-gon. Then s=3, and in accordance with [4, Theorem 6.4.1] we have $b_i=k-3c_i$ for i=0,1,...,d-1, $k\geqslant 3c_d$, here n=2d if $k=3c_d$, and n=2d+1 if not. If Δ is a pointwise graph of a generalized polygon of order (s,t), then $k_i=s^it^{i-1}(t+1)/c_i$. In the case of n=6, the number of its vertices is $(s+1)(s^2t^2+st+1)$. Therefore $v=4(9t^2+3t+1)$ and $t\leqslant 10$. If t>1, then, in view of [4, Theorem 6.5.1], the number st is a square, hence t=3. If n=8 and t>1, then, according to [4, Theorem 6.5.1], the number st is a square, and so $t\geqslant 6$ and st>00, a contradiction. If st>01, then t=12, then t=11 and t=12, then t=13.

Lemma 2. Let Γ be not a generalized 2n-gon. Then the following assertions hold:

- (1) if the diameter of Γ is 3, then Γ has the intersection array $\{18, 15, 9; 1, 1, 10\}$;
- (2) if the diameter of Γ is greater than 4, then $k \leq 45$.

Proof. Let the diameter of Γ be equal to 3.

If k = 63, then Γ has the intersection array $\{63, 60, b_2; 1, 1, c_3\}$, $b_2 \leqslant 4$ and c_3 divides 3^3140b_2 . In any case, there is no valid intersection array. In a similar way one considers the cases $57 \leqslant k \leqslant 30$.

If k = 27, then Γ has the intersection array $\{27, 24, b_2; 1, 1, c_3\}$, c_3 divides 3^48b_2 . Here arise interesting intersection arrays $\{27, 24, 8; 1, 1, 16\}$, v = 1000 with integer eigenvalues 7, 2, -5, but 2 and -5 have fractional multiplicity, and $\{27, 24, 4; 1, 1, 24\}$, v = 784 with integer eigenvalues 6, -1, -5, where 6 and -5 have fractional multiplicity. In all cases, there is no admissible intersection array.

If k=24, then Γ has intersection array $\{24,21,b_2;1,1,c_3\}$, c_3 divides 3^256b_2 . Interesting intersection array $\{24,21,11;1,1,18\}$, v=837 with integer eigenvalues 6,-3,-7 arise, but 6 and -7 have fractional multiplicity, and there is also $\{24,21,7;1,1,18\}$, v=725 with integer eigenvalues 6,-1,-5, but 6 and -5 have fractional multiplicity. In any case, there is no admissible intersection array.

If k = 21, then Γ has the intersection array $\{21, 18, b_2; 1, 1, c_3\}$, c_3 divides 3^314b_2 . There arises an interesting intersection array $\{21, 18, 10; 1, 1, 12\}$, v = 715 with integer eigenvalues 6, -1, -5, but -1 and -5 have fractional multiplicity. In any case, there is no admissible intersection array.

If k=18, then Γ has the intersection array $\{18,15,b_2;1,1,c_3\}$, c_3 divides 3^310b_2 . There arise interesting intersection arrays $\{18,15,13;1,1,6\}$, v=874 with integer eigenvalues 6,-1,-5, having fractional multiplicity, $\{18,15,5;1,1,18\}$, v=364 with integer eigenvalues 5,-3,-6, but 5 and -6 have fractional multiplicity, and the array $\{18,15,9;1,1,10\}$ with the spectrum 18^1 , $(1+\sqrt{105})/2^{171}$, -1^{189} , $(1-\sqrt{105})/2^{171}$. There are no other admissible intersection arrays.

If k=15, then Γ has the intersection array $\{15,12,b_2;1,1,c_3\}$, c_3 divides 3^220b_2 . There arise interesting intersection arrays $\{15,12,8;1,1,10\}$, v=340 with integer eigenvalues 5,-2,-5, but where 5 and -2 have fractional multiplicity, and $\{15,12,6;1,1,10\}$, v=304 with integer eigenvalues 5,-1,-4, but 5 and -4 have fractional multiplicity. In any case, there are no admissible intersection arrays.

If k=12, then Γ has the intersection array $\{12,9,b_2;1,1,c_3\}$, c_3 divides 3^34b_2 . There arise interesting intersection arrays $\{12,9,3;1,1,6\}$, v=175 with integer eigenvalues 5, 2, -3, but 5 and -3 are with fractional multiplicity, and $\{12,9,1;1,1,12\}$, v=130 with integer eigenvalues 4, -1, -3, but 4 and -3 have fractional multiplicity. In any case, there are no admissible intersection arrays.

If k = 9, then Γ has the intersection array $\{9, 6, b_2; 1, 1, c_3\}$, c_3 divides $3^3 2b_2$. There arises an interesting intersection array $\{9, 6, 4; 1, 1, 6\}$, v = 100 with integer eigenvalues 4, -1, -3, but 4 and -3 have fractional multiplicity. In any case, there are no admissible intersection arrays.

If k = 6, then Γ has the intersection array $\{6, 3, b_2; 1, 1, c_3\}$, c_3 divides $3^2 2b_2$. An interesting intersection array $\{6, 3, 1; 1, 1, 6\}$, v = 28 with integer eigenvalues 3, -1, -2 arises here, but 3 and -2 have fractional multiplicity. In any case, there are no admissible intersection arrays.

Assertion (1) is proved.

Let now the diameter of Γ be greater than 4. Then $b_i \geqslant c_{5-i}$ and $k_3 \geqslant k_2$. It follows that $4096 \geqslant v \geqslant 2(1+k+k(k-3))$, and taking into account the divisibility of k by 3, we see that $k \leqslant 45$. The Lemma is proved.

Let the diameter of Γ be greater than 3, and Γ be not a generalized 2n-gon. Considering admissible intersection arrays with $\lambda=2$ from [4], we obtain only the array $\{21,18,12,4;1,1,6,21\}$. The Theorem is thus proved.

Let us prove the Corollary. If Γ is not an antipodal graph of diameter 3, then considering admissible intersection arrays with $\lambda = 2$ from [4], we obtain only the arrays from the Corollary.

Lemma 3. If Γ is an antipodal graph of diameter 3 with $\lambda = \mu = 2$, then Γ has the intersection array $\{2r + 1, 2r - 2, 1; 1, 2, 2r + 1\}$, $r \in \{3, 4, ..., 44\} - \{10, 16, 28, 34, 38\}$.

Proof. By the assumption, Γ has the intersection array $\{2r+1, 2r-2, 1; 1, 2, 2r+1\}$ and v=r(2r+2) vertices. If $r \geq 45$, then $v \geq 4 \cdot 45 \cdot 23$, a contradiction with $v \leq 4096$. In view of [4, Proposition 1.10.5], if r is even, then k=2r+1 is the sum of squares of two integers, therefore $r \in \{3, 4, ..., 44\} - \{10, 16, 28, 34, 38\}$. The Lemma is proved.

In Lemmata 4–9 it is supposed that Γ is an antipodal graph of diameter 3 with $\lambda=2<\mu$. Therefore, Γ has the spectrum $k^1, n^f, -1^k, -m^g$, where n, -m are integers, that are the roots of the equation $x^2-(\lambda-\mu)x-k=0$, f=m(r-1)(k+1)/(m+n), g=n(r-1)(k+1)/(m+n) and $r=(k+\mu-3)/\mu$. If r=2, then Γ is Taylor's graph and $\mu=k-3$. In this case, k=6, n=2, m=3, a contradiction with the fact that $f=3\cdot 7/5$. Consequently, r>2, and the condition $q_{33}^3\geqslant 0$ gives $m\leqslant n^2$.

Lemma 4. If $\mu \leq 5$, then Γ has one of the following intersection arrays:

- $(1) \{42, 39, 1; 1, 3, 42\};$
- (2) $\{4u^2 1, 4u^2 4, 1; 1, 4, 4u^2 1\}, u \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\};$
- $(3) \{18, 15, 1; 1, 5, 18\}$ or $\{108, 105, 1; 1, 5, 108\}.$

Proof. Let $\mu = 3$. Then $4k + 1 = (2n + 1)^2$, and so, k = n(n + 1), m = n + 1 and r = k/3. If n = 3s, then $f = (3s + 1)(3s^2 + s - 1)(9s^2 + 3s + 1)/(6s + 1)$. In this case, $(6s + 1, 9s^2 + 3s + 1)$ divides 3 and $(6s + 1, 3s^2 + s - 1) = (6s + 1, s - 2)$ divides 13, therefore, s = 2 and Γ has the intersection array $\{42, 39, 1; 1, 3, 42\}$.

If n = 3s - 1, then $f = 3s(3s^2 - s - 1)(9s^2 - 3s + 1)/(6s - 1)$. In this case, $(6s - 1, 9s^2 - 3s + 1)$ divides 3 and $(6s - 1, 3s^2 - s - 1) = (6s - 1, s + 2)$ divides 13, consequently, s = 11, a contradiction with the fact that 5 does not divide $33 \cdot 351 \cdot 1057$.

Let $\mu = 4$. Then r = (k+1)/4, $k+1 = 4u^2$, and so, $k = 4u^2 - 1$, n = 2u - 1 and m = 2u + 1. Further, $f = (2u+1)4u^2(u^2-1)/(4u)$, $g = (2u-1)u(u^2-1)$ and $v = 4u^4 \le 4096$, therefore, Γ has the intersection array $\{4u^2-1, 4u^2-4, 1; 1, 4, 4u^2-1\}$, $u \in \{2, ..., 5\}$.

Let $\mu = 5$. Then r = (k+2)/5, $4k+9 = (2u+1)^2$, and hence, $k = u^2 + u - 2$, n = u - 1 and m = u + 2. Further, $f = (u+2)((u^2+u)/5 - 1)(u^2 + u - 1)/(2u+1)$, (2u+1, u+2) divides 3 and $(u^2 + u - 1, 2u + 1) = (u - 2, 2u + 1)$ divides 5.

If u = 5s, then $(10s + 1, 5s^2 + s - 1) = (10s + 1, s - 2)$ divides 21. In this case, 10s + 1 divides 63, therefore, s = 2 and Γ has the intersection array $\{108, 105, 1; 1, 5, 108\}$.

If u = 5s - 1, then $(10s - 1, 5s^2 - s - 1) = (10s - 1, s + 2)$ divides 21. In this case 10s - 1 divides 63, hence s = 1, and Γ has the intersection array $\{18, 15, 1; 1, 5, 18\}$.

Lemma 5. If $6 \le \mu \le 8$, then Γ has one of the following intersection arrays:

- $(1) \{45, 42, 1; 1, 6, 45\};$
- $(2) \{27, 24, 1; 1, 8, 27\}.$

Proof. Let $\mu = 6$. Then r = (k+3)/6, $k+4 = (2u+1)^2$, and so, $k = 4u^2 + 4u - 3$, n = 2u - 1 and m = 2u + 3. Further, $f = (2u+3)(2u^2 + 2u - 1)((4u^2 + 4u)/6 - 1)/(2u+1)$, $(2u+1, 4u^2 + 4u - 2) = (2u+1, 2u-2)$ divides 3.

If u = 3s, then $f = (6s+3)(18s^2+12s-2)(6s^2+2s-1)/(6s+1)$. In this case, $(6s+1,6s^2+2s-1)=(6s+1,s-1)$ divides 7, therefore 6s+1 divides 21, s=1 and Γ has the intersection array $\{45,42,1;1,6,45\}$.

If u = 3s - 1, then $f = (6s + 1)(18s^2 - 6s - 1)(6s^2 - 2s - 1)/(6s - 1)$. In this case $(6s - 1, 6s^2 - 2s - 1) = (6s - 1, s + 1)$ divides 7 and 6s - 1 divides 21, a contradiction.

Let $\mu = 7$. Then r = (k+4)/7, $4k+25 = (2u+1)^2$, hence $k = u^2 + u - 6$, n = u - 2 and m = u + 3. Further, $f = (u+3)((u^2 + u - 2)/7 - 1)(u^2 + u - 5)/(2u + 1)$, (2u+1, u+3) divides 5 and $(2u+1, u^2 + u - 5) = (2u+1, u-5)$ divides 11.

If u = 7s + 1, then $(14s + 3, 7s^2 + 3s - 1) = (14s + 3, 3s - 2)$ divides 37. In this case, 14s + 3 divides $5 \cdot 11 \cdot 37$, a contradiction.

If u = 7s + 5, then $(14s + 11, 7s^2 + 11s + 3) = (14s + 11, 11s + 6)$ divides 37. In this case 14s + 11 divides $5 \cdot 11 \cdot 37$, a contradiction.

Let $\mu = 8$. Then r = (k+5)/8, $k+9 = 4u^2$, therefore $k = 4u^2 - 9$, n = 2u - 3 and m = 2u + 3. Further, $f = (2u+3)((u^2-1)/2-1)(u^2-2)/u$, (u, 2u+3) divides 3 and (u^2-2, u) divides 2. Consequently, u = 2s + 1, $(2s+1, 2s^2 + 2s - 1)$ divides 3 and 2s + 1 divides 9, and so, s = 1 and Γ has the intersection array $\{27, 24, 1; 1, 8, 27\}$.

Lemma 6. If $9 \le \mu \le 11$, then there is no admissible intersection array.

Proof. Let $\mu = 9$. Then r = (k+6)/9, $4k+49 = (2u+1)^2$, therefore $k = u^2 + u - 12$, n = u - 3 and m = u + 4. Further, $f = (u+4)(u^2 + u - 11)((u^2 + u - 6)/9 - 1)/(2u+1)$, (2u+1, u+4) divides 7, and $(2u+1, u^2 + u - 11) = (2u+1, u-22)$ divides 45.

If u = 9s + 2, then $(18s + 5, 9s^2 + 5s - 1) = (18s + 5, 5s - 2)$ divides 61. In this case, 18s + 5 divides $35 \cdot 61$, a contradiction.

If u = 9s - 3, then $(18s - 5, 9s^2 - 5s - 1) = (18s - 5, 5s + 2)$ divides 61. In this case, 18s - 5 divides $35 \cdot 61$, a contradiction.

Let $\mu = 10$. Then r = (k+7)/10, $k+16 = (2u+1)^2$, therefore $k = 4u^2 + 4u - 15$, n = 2u - 3 and m = 2u + 5. Further, $f = (2u+5)(2u^2 + 2u - 7)((2u^2 + 2u - 4)/5 - 1)/(2u+1)$, (2u+1, 2u+5) divides 4, and $(2u+1, 2u^2 + 2u - 7)$ divides 15.

If u = 5s + 1, then $(10s + 3, 10s^2 + 6s - 1) = (10s + 3, 3s - 1)$ divides 19. In this case, 10s + 3 divides 57, a contradiction.

If u = 5s + 3, then $(10s + 7, 10s^2 + 14s + 3) = (10s + 7, 7s + 3)$ divides 19. In this case, 10s + 7 divides 57, s = 5, u = 28, a contradiction with $v \le 4096$.

Let $\mu = 11$. Then r = (k+8)/11, $4k+81 = (2u+1)^2$, therefore $k = u^2 + u - 20$, n = u-4 and m = u+5. Further, $f = (u+5)((u^2+u-12)/11-1)(u^2+u-19)/(2u+1)$, (2u+1,u+5) divides 9 and $(u^2+u-19,2u+1) = (u-38,2u+1)$ divides 77.

If u = 11s + 3, then $(22s + 7, 11s^2 + 7s - 1) = (22s + 7, 7s - 2)$ divides 93, and 22s + 7 divides $27 \cdot 7 \cdot 31$, a contradiction with the fact that $v \le 4096$.

If u = 11s - 4, then $(22s - 7, 11s^2 - 7s - 1) = (22s - 7, 7s + 2)$ divides 93, and so, 22s - 7 divides $27 \cdot 7 \cdot 31$, that contradicts with $v \le 4096$.

Lemma 7. If $12 \le \mu \le 14$, then Γ has either the intersection array $\{75, 72, 1; 1, 12, 75\}$ or the intersection array $\{171, 168, 1; 1, 12, 171\}$.

Proof. Let $\mu = 12$. Then r = (k+9)/12, $k+25 = 4u^2$, therefore $k = 4u^2 - 25$, n = 2u - 5 and m = 2u + 5. Further, $f = (2u + 5)((u^2 - 4)/3 - 1)(u^2 - 6)/u$, (2u + 5, u) divides 5, and $(u^2 - 6, u)$ divides 6.

If u = 3s + 1, then $(3s + 1, 3s^2 + 2s - 2) = (3s + 1, s - 2)$ divides 7 and 3s + 1 divides 70, hence s = 2 and Γ has the intersection array $\{171, 168, 1; 1, 12, 171\}$.

If u = 3s - 1, then $(3s - 1, 3s^2 - 2s - 2) = (3s - 1, s + 2)$ divides 7 and 3s - 1 divides 70, and so, s = 2 and Γ has the intersection array $\{75, 72, 1; 1, 12, 75\}$.

Let $\mu = 13$. Then r = (k+10)/13, $4k+121 = (2u+1)^2$, therefore $k = u^2 + u - 30$, n = u - 5 and m = u + 6. Further, $f = (u+6)((u^2 + u - 20)/13 - 1)(u^2 + u - 29)/(2u+1)$, (2u+1, u+6) divides 11, and $(2u+1, u^2 + u - 29) = (2u+1, u-58)$ divides 117.

If u = 13s + 4, then $(26s + 9, 13s^2 + 9s - 1) = (26s + 9, 9s - 2)$ divides 133 and 26s + 9 divides 99 · 133, a contradiction with that $v \le 4096$.

If u = 13s - 5, then $(26s - 9, 13s^2 - 9s - 1) = (26s - 9, s + 2)$ divides 61, and 26s - 9 divides 99 · 61, a contradiction with $v \le 4096$.

Let $\mu = 14$. Then r = (k+11)/14, $k+36 = (2u+1)^2$, therefore $k = 4u^2 + 4u - 35$, n = 2u - 5 and m = 2u + 7. Further, $f = (2u+7)((2u^2+2u-12)/7-1)(2u^2+2u-17)/(2u+1)$, (2u+1,2u+7) divides 6 and $(2u^2+2u-17,2u+1) = (u-17,2u+1)$ divides 35.

If u = 7s + 2, then $(14s + 5, 14s^2 + 10s - 1) = (14s + 5, 5s - 1)$ divides 39 and 14s + 5 divides $15 \cdot 39$, a contradiction with the condition $v \le 4096$.

If u = 7s - 3, then $(14s - 5, 14s^2 - 4s - 1) = (14s - 5, s - 1)$ divides 9, and so, 14s - 5 divides 135, s = 1, n = 3, m = 15, a contradiction with $m \le n^2$.

Lemma 8. If $15 \le \mu \le 17$, then Γ has the intersection array $\{147, 144, 1; 1, 16, 147\}$.

Proof. Let $\mu = 15$. Then r = (k+12)/15, $4k+169 = (2u+1)^2$, therefore $k = u^2 + u - 42$, n = u - 6 and m = u + 7. Further, $f = (u+7)((u^2 + u - 30)/15 - 1)(u^2 + u - 41)/(2u+1)$, (2u+1, u+7) divides 13 and $(u^2 + u - 41, 2u + 1) = (u-82, 2u+1)$ divides 165.

If u = 15s, then $(30s + 1, 15s^2 + s - 3) = (30s + 1, s - 6)$ divides 181 and 30s + 1 divides $11 \cdot 13 \cdot 181$, a contradiction with the condition $v \le 4096$.

If u = 15s - 1, then $(30s - 1, 15s^2 - s - 3) = (30s - 1, s + 6)$ divides 181 and 30s - 1 divides $11 \cdot 13 \cdot 181$, a contradiction with $v \le 4096$.

If u = 15s + 5, then $(30s + 11, 15s^2 + 11s - 1) = (30s + 11, 11s - 2)$ divides 181 and 30s + 11 divides $11 \cdot 13 \cdot 181$, a contradiction with the condition $v \leq 4096$.

If u = 15s - 6, then $(30s - 11, 15s^2 - 11s - 1) = (30s - 11, 11s + 2)$ divides 181 and 30s - 11 divides $11 \cdot 13 \cdot 181$, a contradiction with $v \leq 4096$.

Let $\mu = 16$. Then r = (k+13)/16, $k+49 = 4u^2$, therefore $k = 4u^2 - 49$, n = 2u - 7 and m = 2u + 7. Further, $f = (2u+7)((u^2-9)/4-1)(u^2-12)/u$, (2u+7,u) divides 7 and (u,u^2-12) divides 12. Consequently, u = 2s+1, $(2s+1,s^2+s-3) = (2s+1,s-6)$ divides 13 and 2s+1 divides $21 \cdot 13$, hence, s = 3 and Γ has the intersection array $\{147,144,1;1,16,147\}$.

Let $\mu=17$. Then r=(k+14)/17, $4k+225=(2u+1)^2$, therefore $k=u^2+u-56$, n=u-7 and m=u+8. Further, $f=(u+8)((u^2+u-42)/7-1)(u^2+u-55)/(2u+1)$, (2u+1,u+8) divides 15 and $(u^2+u-55,2u+1)=(u-110,2u+1)$ divides 221. Hence, u=7s-1, $(14s-1,7s^2-s-7)=(14s-1,s+14)$ divides 197 and 14s-1 divides $15\cdot 221\cdot 197$, a contradiction with the condition $v\leqslant 4096$.

Lemma 9. If $18 \le \mu \le 20$, then Γ has the intersection array $\{143, 140, 1; 1, 20, 143\}$.

Proof. Let $\mu = 18$. Then r = (k+15)/18, $k+256 = (2u+1)^2$, hence $k = 4u^2 + 4u - 255$, n = 2u - 6 and m = 2u + 8. Further, $f = (u+4)((2u^2 + 2u - 120)/9 - 1)(4u^2 + 4u - 255)/(2u+1)$, (2u+1, u+4) divides 7 and $(4u^2 + 4u - 255, 2u+1) = (2u-255, 2u+1)$ divides 256.

If u = 9s + 2, then $(18s + 5, 18s^2 + 10s - 13) = (18s + 5, 5s - 13)$ divides 259 and 18s + 5 divides $7 \cdot 259$, a contradiction with $v \le 4096$.

If u = 9s - 3, then $(18s - 5, 18s^2 - 10s - 13) = (18s - 5, 5s + 13)$ divides 259 and 18s - 5 divides $7^2 \cdot 37$, a contradiction with $v \le 4096$.

Let $\mu = 19$. Then r = (k+16)/19, $4k+289 = (2u+1)^2$, therefore $k = u^2 + u - 72$, n = u - 8 and m = u + 9. Further, $f = (u+9)((u^2 + u - 56)/19 - 1)(u^2 + u - 71)/(2u+1)$, (2u+1, u+9) divides 17 and $(2u+1, u^2 + u - 71)$ divides 285.

If u = 19s + 7, then $(38s + 15, 19s^2 + 15s - 1) = (38s + 15, 15s - 2)$ divides 301 and 38s + 15 divides $15 \cdot 17 \cdot 301$, a contradiction with $v \leq 4096$.

If u = 19s - 8, then $(38s - 15, 19s^2 - 15s - 1) = (38s - 15, 15s + 2)$ divides 301 and 38s - 15 divides $15 \cdot 17 \cdot 301$, a contradiction with $v \le 4096$.

Let $\mu = 20$. Then r = (k+17)/20, $k+81 = 4u^2$, hence $k = 4u^2 + 4u - 81$, n = 2u - 9 and m = 2u + 9. Further, $f = (2u + 9)((u^2 + u - 16)/5 - 1)(u^2 + u - 20)/u$, (2u + 9, u) divides 9 and $(u^2 + u - 20, u)$ divides 20. Consequently, u = 5s + 2, $(5s + 2, 5s^2 + 5s - 3) = (5s + 2, 3s - 3)$ divides 21 and 5s + 2 divides $21 \cdot 36$, therefore s = 1 and Γ has the intersection array $\{143, 140, 1; 1, 20, 143\}$. The Lemma is proven.

Computer calculations show that there is no admissible intersection array in the case $\mu \ge 21$. The Theorem, and also the corresponding Corollary with it, are thus proven.

This work is partially supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project No. 12-01-00012), by the joint RFBR-GFEN (China) grant # 12-01-91155, by Programs of the Branch of Mathematics of the Russian Academy of Sciences (project No. 12-T-1-1003), by the Joint Research Programs of the Ural Branch and the Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences (project No. 12-C-1-1018), and by a joint grant with the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus (project No. 12-C-1-1009).

References

- [1] V.P.Burichenko, A.A.Makhnev, On amply regular locally cyclic graphs, Modern problems of mathematics, Abstracts of the 42nd All-Russian Youth Conference, IMM UB RAS, Yekaterinburg, 2011, 11–14.
- [2] K.Coolsaeet, Local structure of graphs with $\lambda = \mu = 2$, $a_2 = 4$, Combinatorica, 15(1971), no. 4, 481–487.
- [3] M.S.Nirova, On antipodal distance-regular graphs of diameter 3 with $\mu=1$, Doklady Rossiiskoi Akademii Nauk, **448**(2013), no. 4, 392–395 (in Russian).
- [4] A.E.Brouwer, A.M.Cohen, A.Neumaier, Distance-Regular Graphs, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1989.
- [5] R.C.Bous, T.A.Dowling, A generalization of Moore graphs of diameter 2, J. Comb. Theory (B), 11(1971), 213–226.

O дистанционно-регулярных графах с $\lambda = 2$

Александр А. Махнев Марина С. Нирова

В.П. Буриченко и А.А. Махнев нашли массивы пересечений дистанционно регулярных графов с $\lambda=2,~\mu>1~u$ числом вершин не большим 1000. Ранее вторым автором найдены массивы пересечений антиподальных дистанционно-регулярных графов диаметра 3 с $\lambda\leqslant 2~u~\mu=1$. В данной статье найдены возможные массивы пересечений дистанционно-регулярных графов с $\lambda=2~u$ не более 4096 вершинами.

Ключевые слова: дистанционно-регулярный граф, почти п-угольник.