

УДК 512.544.2

On Generation of the Group $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$ by Three Involutions, Two of Which Commute

Denis V. Levchuk*

Institute of Mathematics,
Siberian Federal University,
av. Svobodny 79, Krasnoyarsk, 660041,
Russia

Yakov N. Nuzhin†

Institute of Fundamental Development,
Siberian Federal University,
st. Kirenskogo 26, Krasnoyarsk, 660074,
Russia

Received 20.01.2008, received in revised form 20.03.2008, accepted 05.04.2008

It is proved that the projective special linear group $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$, $n \geq 8$, over Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by three involutions, two of which commute.

Keywords: gaussian intergers, special linear group, generating elements.

Introduction

The main result of the paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *For $n \geq 8$ the projective special linear group $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$ over Gaussian integers $\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by three involutions, two of which commute, but for $n = 2, 3$ it is not generated by such three involutions.*

The groups generated by three involutions, two of which commute, will be called $(2 \times 2, 2)$ -generated. Here we do not exclude the cases when two or even three involutions are the same. Clearly, if a group has a homomorphic image, which is not $(2 \times 2, 2)$ -generated, then it will not be $(2 \times 2, 2)$ -generated. Since there exist the homomorphism of $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$ onto $PSL_n(9)$ then the assertion of the Theorem 1 arises from the fact that the groups $PSL_2(9)$ and $PSL_3(9)$ are not $(2 \times 2, 2)$ -generated (see [1]). For $n \geq 8$ generating triples of involutions, two of which commute, of the group $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$ are indicated explicitly. It moreover $n \neq 2(2k + 1)$ then we take generating triples of involutions from $SL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$. Thus, for $n \geq 8$ and $n \neq 2(2k + 1)$ we have a stronger statement: the group $SL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$ is $(2 \times 2, 2)$ -generated. Earlier, Ya.N.Nuzhin proved that $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ is $(2 \times 2, 2)$ -generated if and only if $n \geq 5$. In the proof of Theorem 1 the methods of choosing generating triples of involutions developed in [2] are essentially used. Note also that M.C.Tamburini and P.Zucca [3] proved $(2 \times 2, 2)$ -generation of the group $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ for $n \geq 14$.

*e-mail: dlevchuk82@mail.ru

†e-mail: nuzhin@fipu.krasnoyarsk.edu

© Siberian Federal University. All rights reserved

1. Notations and Preliminary Results

Throughout the paper \mathbb{Z} are integers and $\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$ are Gaussian integers, where $i^2 = -1$. The rings \mathbb{Z} and $\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$ are Euclidean rings. Let R be an arbitrary Euclidean ring.

As usually, we will denote by $t_{ij}(k)$, $k \in R$, $i \neq j$, the transvections, that is, the matrices $E_n + ke_{ij}$, where E_n is the identity $(n \times n)$ matrix, and e_{ij} denotes the $(n \times n)$ matrix with (i, j) -entry 1 and all other entries 0. The set $t_{ij}(R) = \{t_{ij}(k), k \in R\}$ is a subgroup.

The following lemma is well-known (see, for example, ([4], p.107)).

Lemma 1. *The group $SL_n(R)$ is generated by the subgroups $t_{ij}(R)$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

Let

$$\tau = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix τ is an involution, and the matrix μ has the order n and acts regularly on the following set of subgroups:

$$M = \{t_{1n}(R), t_{i+1i}(R), i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}.$$

Commuting among themselves subgroups of the set M , we can get all subgroups $t_{ij}(R)$. Hence, by lemma 1 the group $SL_n(R)$ is generated by the set M . Moreover, the following lemma is true.

Lemma 2. *The group $SL_n(R)$ is generated by one of the subgroups*

$$t_{1n}(R), t_{i+1i}(R), t_{n-1n}(R), t_{ii+1}(R), i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

and the monomial matrix $\eta\mu$ for any diagonal matrix η with $\eta\mu \in SL_n(R)$.

For elements of $PSL_n(R)$ we will be also using matrix representation, assuming that two element are equal if they only differ by multiplication with a scalar matrix of $SL_n(R)$. In the next sections for elements of the groups $SL_n(R)$ and $PSL_n(R)$ we will also be using the terminology of Chevalley groups, considering $SL_n(R)$ and $PSL_n(R)$ as universal and adjoint Chevalley group respectively.

Let Φ be a root system of type A_l with the basis

$$\Pi = \{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_l\},$$

where

$$l = n - 1.$$

The Chevalley group $A_l(R)$ (universal and adjoint) of type A_l over ring R is generated of root subgroups

$$X_r = \{x_r(t), t \in R\}, r \in \Phi,$$

where $x_r(t)$ are root elements.

For any $r \in \Phi$ and $t \neq 0$ we set

$$n_r(t) = x_r(t)x_{-r}(-t^{-1})x_r(t), \quad n_r = n_r(1), \quad h_r(-1) = n_r^2.$$

The map

$$t_{i+1i}(t) \rightarrow x_{r_i}(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, l, \quad t \in R,$$

is extended up to isomorphism of the group $SL_n(R)$ onto universal Chevalley group $A_l(R)$. The monomial matrices τ and μ indicated above are preimages of the elements w_0 and w respectively from the Weyl group W under natural homomorphism of the monomial subgroup N onto W , where $w_0(r) \in \Phi^-$ for any $r \in \Phi^+$ and

$$w = w_{r_1}w_{r_2} \dots w_{r_l}.$$

Here Φ^+ are the positive roots and Φ^- are negative roots. We can reformulate of Lemma 2 in terms of Chevalley groups.

Lemma 3. *The Chevalley group $A_l(R)$ is generated by any root subgroup*

$$X_{\pm r_i}, \quad r_i \in \Pi, \quad X_{\pm(r_1+\dots+r_l)}$$

and the monomial element n_w if $w = w_{r_1}w_{r_2} \dots w_{r_l}$.

Throughtout the paper we use the notation $a^b = bab^{-1}$, $[a, b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$.

2. Generating Triples of Involutions

Let τ and μ be as in the first paragraph. The matrices τ and

$$\tau\mu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

are involutions, but they do not necessarily belong to $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ (this depends on their size). We select diagonal matrices η_1 and η_2 with elements ± 1 such that the matrices $\eta_1\tau$ and $\eta_2\tau\mu$ belong to $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ and their images in $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ are involutions. We take η_1, η_2 to be the following matrices:

for $n = 4k + 1$ ($= 5, 9, \dots$)

$$\eta_1 = \eta_2 = E_n;$$

for $n = 2(2k + 1) + 1$ ($= 7, 11, \dots$)

$$\eta_1 = -E_n, \quad \eta_2 = E_n;$$

for $n = 4k$ ($= 8, 12, \dots$)

$$\eta_1 = E_n, \quad \eta_2 = \text{diag}(E_{n-1}, -1);$$

for $n = 2(2k + 1)$ ($= 6, 10, \dots$)

$$\eta_1 = \text{diag}(-E_{2k+1}, E_{2k+1}), \quad \eta_2 = E_n.$$

Further for the group $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$ we explicitly write down triples of generating involutions α, β, γ , two of which commute. For odd $n \geq 7$ by definition

$$\alpha = t_{21}(i)t_{n-1n}(i) \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, E_{n-6}, -1, -1, 1),$$

$$\beta = \eta_1 \tau,$$

$$\gamma = \eta_2 \tau \mu.$$

For even $n \geq 6$ by definition

$$\alpha = t_{21}(1)t_{n-1n}(-1) \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, E_{n-6}, -1, -1, 1),$$

$$\beta = \text{diag}(i, -i, 1, \dots, 1)\eta_1 \tau \text{diag}(-i, i, 1, \dots, 1),$$

$$\gamma = \eta_2 \tau \mu.$$

The next lemma is verified by direct calculation.

Lemma 4. *Let α, β, γ are search as above. Then:*

- 1) $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$;
- 2) α, γ are involutions from $SL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$ (and hence in $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$);
- 3) β is involution from $SL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$ if $n \neq 2(2k + 1)$;
- 4) if $n = 2(2k + 1)$, then $\beta^2 = -E_n$ and hence image β is involution in $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$.

In Sections 3 and 4 we prove that involutions α, β, γ generate the group $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$, $n \geq 8$, for odd and even n respectively. Further the next remark will be useful. By the construction

$$\beta\gamma = \eta_3 \mu$$

for some diagonal element $\eta_3 \in PSL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$. Therefore by Lemma 2 the proof of Theorem 1 can be reduced to verification of the hypothesis of the next lemma.

Lemma 5. *If a group is generated by involutions α, β, γ and contains one of the subgroups*

$$t_{1n}(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}), \quad t_{i+1i}(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}), \quad t_{n-1n}(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}), \quad t_{ii+1}(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1,$$

(in terminology of Chevalley groups one of root subgroups $X_{\pm r_i}$, $r_i \in \Pi$, $X_{\pm(r_1+\dots+r_i)}$), then it coincides with the group $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$).

3. Proof of Theorem 1 for Odd $n \geq 9$

Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau, \mu, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$ be as in sections 1 and 2, $n \geq 9$ and $l = n - 1$. In terminology of Chevalley groups

$$\alpha = x_{r_1}(i)x_{-r_l}(i)h_{r_2}(-1)h_{r_{l-1}}(-1),$$

$$\beta = \eta_1\tau = n_{w_0},$$

$$\gamma = \eta_2\tau\mu = n_{w_0}n_w,$$

$$\eta \equiv \beta\gamma = n_w,$$

where $w = w_{r_1}w_{r_2} \dots w_{r_l}$.

Direct calculations give that

$$\alpha^\eta = x_{r_2}(\pm i)x_{r_1+\dots+r_l}(\pm i)h_{r_3}(-1)h_{r_l}(-1),$$

$$\alpha^{\eta^2} = x_{r_3}(\pm i)x_{-r_1}(\pm i)h_{r_4}(-1)h_{r_1+\dots+r_l}(-1),$$

$$[\alpha, \alpha^\eta] = x_{r_1+r_2}(\pm 1)x_{r_1+\dots+r_{l-1}}(\pm 1),$$

$$([\alpha, \alpha^\eta]\alpha^{\eta^2})^2 = x_{r_1+r_2+r_3}(\pm i)x_{r_2}(\pm i)x_{r_2+r_3}(\pm 1)x_{r_2+\dots+r_{l-1}}(\pm i),$$

$$\theta \equiv (([\alpha, \alpha^\eta]\alpha^{\eta^2})^2)^\eta = x_{r_2+r_3+r_4}(\pm i)x_{r_3}(\pm i)x_{r_3+r_4}(\pm 1)x_{r_3+\dots+r_l}(\pm i),$$

$$[\theta, [\alpha, \alpha^\eta]] = x_{r_1+r_2+r_3}(\pm i)x_{r_1+r_2+r_3+r_4}(\pm 1)x_{r_1+\dots+r_l}(\pm i),$$

$$[\alpha, [\theta, [\alpha, \alpha^\eta]]] = x_{r_1+\dots+r_{l-1}}(\pm 1),$$

$$[\alpha, [\theta, [\alpha, \alpha^\eta]]]^\beta = x_{-r_2-\dots-r_l}(\pm 1),$$

$$[[\theta, [\alpha, \alpha^\eta]], [\alpha, [\theta, [\alpha, \alpha^\eta]]]^\beta] = x_{r_1}(\pm i).$$

Taking sequentially $(l - 1)$ -commutator of the elements

$$x_{r_1}(\pm i), x_{r_1}(\pm i)^\eta = x_{r_2}(\pm i), x_{r_2}(\pm i)^\eta = x_{r_3}(\pm i), \dots, x_{r_{l-1}}(\pm i)^\eta = x_{r_l}(\pm i),$$

we get the element $x_{r_1+\dots+r_l}(\pm 1)$. On the other hand, $(x_{r_l}(\pm i)^\eta)^\beta = x_{r_1+\dots+r_l}(\pm i)$.

All root subgroups X_r are commutative and, evidently, the elements 1 and i generate additively all ring $\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, if a subgroup is generated by involutions α, β, γ , then it contains the root subgroup $X_{r_1+\dots+r_l}$ and hence by Lemma 5 it coincides with the subgroup $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$.

Note, that for even n these generating involutions α, β, γ do not generate $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$, since by conjugating by diagonal element

$$\text{diag}(1, i, 1, 1, i, 1, 1, \dots, i, 1, 1, i)$$

the subgroup generated by these involutions, we only get the group $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z})$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1 for Even $n \geq 8$

Let $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \tau, \mu, \eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3$ be as in paragraphs 1 and 2, $n \geq 8$ and $l = n - 1$. In terminology of Chevalley groups

$$\alpha = x_{r_1}(1)x_{-r_l}(-1)h_{r_2}(-1)h_{r_{l-1}}(-1),$$

$$\beta = \text{diag}(i, -i, 1, \dots, 1)\eta_1\tau \text{diag}(-i, i, 1, \dots, 1) = h_{r_1}(i)n_{w_0}h_{r_1}(-i) = h_{r_1}(i)h_{r_l}(i)n_{w_0},$$

$$\gamma = \eta_2\tau\mu = n_{w_0}n_w,$$

$$\eta \equiv \beta\gamma = h_{r_1}(i)h_{r_l}(i)n_w,$$

где $w = w_{r_1}w_{r_2}\dots w_{r_l}$.

Direct calculations give that

$$\alpha^\eta = x_{r_2}(\pm i)x_{r_1+\dots+r_l}(\pm 1)h_{r_3}(-1)h_{r_l}(-1),$$

$$\alpha^{\eta^2} = x_{r_3}(\pm i)x_{-r_1}(\pm 1)h_{r_4}(-1)h_{r_1+\dots+r_l}(-1),$$

$$[\alpha, \alpha^\eta] = x_{r_1+r_2}(\pm i)x_{r_1+\dots+r_{l-1}}(\pm 1),$$

$$([\alpha, \alpha^\eta]\alpha^{\eta^2})^2 = x_{r_1+r_2+r_3}(\pm 1)x_{r_2}(\pm i)x_{r_2+r_3}(\pm 1)x_{r_2+\dots+r_{l-1}}(\pm 1),$$

$$\theta \equiv (([\alpha, \alpha^\eta]\alpha^{\eta^2})^2)^\eta = x_{r_2+r_3+r_4}(\pm i)x_{r_3}(\pm i)x_{r_3+r_4}(\pm 1)x_{r_3+\dots+r_l}(\pm i),$$

$$[\theta, [\alpha, \alpha^\eta]] = x_{r_1+r_2+r_3}(\pm 1)x_{r_1+r_2+r_3+r_4}(\pm i)x_{r_1+\dots+r_l}(\pm 1),$$

$$[\alpha, [\theta, [\alpha, \alpha^\eta]]] = x_{r_1+\dots+r_{l-1}}(\pm 1),$$

$$[\alpha, [\theta, [\alpha, \alpha^\eta]]]^\beta = x_{-r_2-\dots-r_l}(\pm 1),$$

$$[[\theta, [\alpha, \alpha^\eta]], [\alpha, [\theta, [\alpha, \alpha^\eta]]]^\beta] = x_{r_1}(\pm 1).$$

Taking sequentially $(l - 1)$ -commutator of the elements

$$x_{r_1}(\pm 1), x_{r_1}(\pm 1)^\eta = x_{r_2}(\pm i), x_{r_2}(\pm i)^\eta = x_{r_3}(\pm i), \dots, x_{r_{l-3}}(\pm i)^\eta = x_{r_{l-2}}(\pm i), \\ x_{r_{l-2}}(\pm i)^\eta = x_{r_{l-1}}(\pm 1), x_{r_{l-1}}(\pm 1)^\eta = x_{r_l}(\pm 1),$$

we get the element $x_{r_1+\dots+r_l}(\pm i)$. On the other hand, $(x_{r_l}(\pm 1)^\eta)^\beta = x_{r_1+\dots+r_l}(\pm 1)$.

Therefore, if a subgroup is generated by the involutions α, β, γ , then it contains the root subgroup $X_{r_1+\dots+r_l}$ and hence by Lemma 5 it coincides with subgroup $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z})$.

This work has been supported by the RFFI Grant №07-01-00824.

References

- [1] Ya.N.Nuzhin, Generating triples of involutions of the groups of Lie type over finite field of odd characteristic. II, *Algebra i Logika*, **36**(1997), №4, 422-440 (Russian).
- [2] Ya.N.Nuzhin, On generation of the group $PSL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ by three involutions, two of which commute, *Vladikavkazskii math. journal*, **10**(2008), №1, 42-49 (Russian).
- [3] M.C.Tamburini, Generation of Certain Matrix Groups by Three Involutions, Two of Which Commute, *J. of Algebra*, **195**(1997), №4, 650-661.
- [4] R.Steinberg, Lectures on Chevalley groups, M.: Mir, 1975 (Russian).