Luciferase-based bioassay for rapid pollutants detection and classification by means of multilayer artificial neural networks
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Abstract

Biosensors for rapid environmental pollution detection can be designed with biomodule based on the bacterial bioluminescent system. Usually this method returns total value of toxicity and does not allow to distinguish pollutants types. Herein we demonstrate the classification of pollutants by the kinetic analysis utilizing artificial neural networks with multilayer perceptron architecture. The kinetics of light emission of NAD(P)H:FMN-oxidoreductase-luciferase bioluminescent reaction was measured for clean water and in the presence of three environment pollutants (1,4-benzoquinone, copper sulfate and 1,3-dihydroxybenzene) separately with various concentrations. The efficiency of using multilayer perceptron with sigmoid activation function for processing of kinetics of light emission was estimated. It was shown that multilayer perceptrons allowing to distinguish pollutant class and concentration after sufficient training. The architecture consisted of 61 inputs neurons, 3 hidden layers and 3 output neurons was found optimum in sense of learning time for classification of three pollutants. Usage of simplest activation function sigmoid and backpropagation method for multilayer perceptron teaching providing the results been useful for smart signal processing in computational modules of biosensors.

Keywords: Bioluminescence, Luciferase, Bioassay, Artificial Neural Networks, Perceptron, Machine Learning

Email address: d.ivan.krsk@gmail.com (Ivan A. Denisov)
1. Introduction

Bioluminescence inhibition assays based on luminous bacteria [1, 2, 3] and components of their bioluminescence system [4, 5] are used for detection of organic and inorganic pollutants in water. The method of pollutants detection is based on their interaction with bacterial luciferase and other components of bacterial luminescence system, that is leading to quenching of light emission [6]. These classical methods possess high sensitivity to various pollutants, however they do not allow to identify pollutant type [7].

Bioluminescence in vitro in extracts of luminous bacteria requires two enzymes: NAD(P)H:FMN-oxidoreductase for proton transfer and luciferase for light emission catalysis [8, 9]. The reaction of light emission is described by the equations:

\[
\begin{align*}
NADH + FMN + H^+ \xrightarrow{v_1 \text{ reductase}} FMNH_2 + NAD^+, \\
FMNH_2 + RCHO + O_2 \xrightarrow{v_2 \text{ luciferase}} L^* \xrightarrow{k_1} \\
k_1 \rightarrow FMN + RCOOH + H_2O + h\nu, \\
FMNH_2 + O_2 \xrightarrow{k_2} FMN + H_2O_2.
\end{align*}
\]

(1)

Different pollutants often have various mechanisms of interaction with components of this bacterial luminescent system [10], that can lead to the different light emission kinetics. Classification could be made by means of shape analysis. However, it is extremely complicated, owing to the complexity of theoretical models describing interaction of various chemicals with components of luminescent system. Even the first stage of the reaction has several speed coefficients which vary from one bacteria strain to another [11, 12]. Some speed coefficients are still unknown or floating, because of natural reagents instability of NAD(P)H:FMN-oxidoreductase-luciferase bioluminescent system. That is delimiting the development of full mathematical model suitable for fitting methods and also leading to the idea of using machine learning methods for signal processing.

There are two mainstream machine learning methods suitable for classification and regression analysis: artificial neural networks (ANN) and support vector machines (SVM). Detailed comparison of these competitive methods was out of focus of our study. We wanted to keep computational algorithms “as simple as possible” for future usage in computational signal processing.
modules of biosensors and point-of-care devices [13]. That is why we decided
to evaluate applicability of the well-studied multilayer perceptron (MLP)
architecture with computationally cheap sigmoid activation functions and
the backpropagation method for its teaching [14].

Basic concepts of artificial neural networks (ANN) modeling and its ap-
plication in pharmaceutical research is described in the work [15]. Trained
ANN should represent phenomenological model of bioluminescence decay
process using available experimental data about kinetics. The efficiency of
ANN for multicomponent kinetic determinations was proved in a series of
works [16, 17]. ANN is suitable for the detection and classification of neu-
rotoxins [18], the analysis of spectrum generated from an optical phenolic
biosensor [19] and for processing the signals from bioelectronic tongue for
urea detection [20]. The applicability of ANN for analysis of bioluminescent
inhibition kinetics was not described in the literature.

Several architectures were required to be compared to choose the mini-
mal number of hidden layers in MLP for obtaining good predictability and
less teaching time with sigmoid activation function. Despite the fact that
the universal approximation theorem postulates single hidden layer as suffi-
cient for any mapping from input to output layer, the theorem does not say
that a single hidden layer is optimum in the sense of learning time, ease of
implementation, or (more importantly) generalization [21].

The purpose of our work was to check the suitability of multilayer per-
ceptrons for estimation of the type and the concentration of pollutant based
on light emission kinetics from the two-enzyme bioluminescent reaction.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Measurement of the light emission kinetics

Lyophilized bacterial luciferase (EC 1.14.14.3) from Photobacterium leiogn-
nathi (recombinant strain of E. coli) and NAD(P)H:FMN-oxidoreductase
(EC 1.6.99.3) from Vibrio fischeri were produced at the Laboratory of Bac-
terial Bioluminescence (Institute of Biophysics, Siberian Branch of the Rus-

sian Academy of Sciences, Krasnoyarsk). Flavin mononucleotide (FMN,
CHEBI:17621), reduced nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH, CHEBI:16908),
tetradecanal (C_{14}H_{28}O, CHEBI:84067) were purchased from Merck.

The light emission kinetics was measured using microplate reader TriS-
tar LB941 (Berthold, Germany) with two injectors. Solution for the first
injector consisted of $1.19 \times 10^{-4}$ M of FMN, $9.46 \times 10^{-6}$ M of tetrade- canal, $1.66 \times 10^{-2}$ M of ethanol, $6.45 \times 10^{-8}$ M of bacterial luciferase and 4.3 units of activity NAD(P)H:FMN-oxidoreductase per liter. One unit of NAD(P)H:FMN-oxidoreductase activity was defined as 1 µmol of NADH degraded per minute. Solution for the second injector initiated reactions, consisted of the $1.9 \times 10^{-5}$ M of NADH. All solutions were prepared with 0.05 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) purchased from Fluka.

Three classes of model pollutants with AR grade were used: quinone (1,4-benzoquinone, $C_6H_4O_2$, CHEBI: 16509), copper (II) sulfate ($CuSO_4$, CHEBI: 23414) and resorcinol (1,3-dihydroxybenzene, $C_6H_4(OH)_2$, CHEBI: 27810). Model pollutants were prepared at different concentrations (Table 1), which were chosen preliminary to have one order of influence on the NAD(P)H:FMN-oxidoreductase-luciferase system activity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quinone, $\times 10^{-5} M$</th>
<th>$CuSO_4$, $\times 10^{-4} M$</th>
<th>Resorcinol, $\times 10^{-2} M$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>7.50</td>
<td>7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>5.63</td>
<td>5.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>4.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>3.16</td>
<td>3.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pollutants were added in wells of white opaque 96-well plate by pipette in volume of 10 µL. Then just before measurement the reagents of bioluminescence reaction were added in well with two injectors of microplate reader with volumes of 50 µL each. So the total volume of liquid in each well before measurement was 110 µL. After reagents injection light emission kinetics was measured 61 times with 0.1 second exposure time and a half of a
second delays between measurements. Such kinetic curve was obtained twice for each concentration.

Temperature fluctuations can affect tetradecanal solubility and change amplitude of kinetic curves. To control this effect reference measurements were carried out in every third well on a plate (Fig. 1). To obtain reverence curve 10 µL of distilled water instead of pollutants had been added. Measured reference curves then were used for the normalization of kinetic curves inhibited by the pollutants. The averaging of many reference curves gave a “global” reference curve. The correction was made by the multiplication of each curve point to relation of value of a global reference value to an average of two values of neighboring reference curves according the equation:

$$\langle a \rangle = \frac{3}{2} \langle r \rangle \left( \frac{a_1}{2r_1 + r_2} + \frac{a_2}{r_1 + 2r_2} \right).$$

(2)

![Diagram of reference value deviation](image)

Figure 1: Schematic representation of reference value deviation. $r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4$ — light intensity for distilled water after some fixed time in different plate wells, $a_1, a_2$ — light intensity for sample $a$, $b_1, b_2$ — light intensity for sample $b$ and $c_1, c_2$ — light intensity for sample $c$.

2.2. **ANN training and evaluation**

ANN had multilayer perceptron architecture with 61 inputs (one input for each point of kinetic curve) and 3 outputs for pollutant type determination. The arrays of inputs and outputs for ANN teaching were placed in two text documents. Each line of inputs contained results of luminescence measurements. Each line of outputs contained information in a form of “toxicity
vector”. Components of such vector corresponded to presence of one of the pollutants: (1, 0, 0) — quinone, (0, 1, 0) — copper sulfate and (0, 0, 1) — resorcinol.

The sigmoid function was used as the activation function and the back-propagation method was used for ANN teaching.

The software for numerical experiments (perceptron training, prediction and evaluation) was developed on Component Pascal programming language in the framework BlackBox Component Builder (Oberon microsystems Inc., Switzerland) from the basic principles [22]. The developed application has been published under the open-source license (http://ann.molpit.org).
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Figure 2: The example of the obtained perceptron for pollutants classification (blue lines are negative and red — positive weights).

The standard leave-one-out cross-validation (LOOCV) strategy was used to evaluate achieved ANN models. All curves were used in training of ANN except one (testing) which was used later for evaluation of prediction quality. Learning time, learning cycles and number of attempts to train one net were estimated separately with all the data for the MLP with from 1 to 6 hidden layers.

In the case of regression analysis there were concentrations of a pollutant in the output of perceptron. The orders of concentration values are very small (Table 1). The required target errors would be even less. During the regression analysis such small output for ANN can lead to number rounding errors while training and prediction. So the concentrations of copper sulfate were multiplied to 100 and concentrations of quinone were multiplied to 10000. All the kinetic curves were normalized by the division each point by

\[ \text{normalized concentration} = \frac{\text{concentration}}{6} \]
3. Results and discussions

The obtained dependencies of the bioluminescence kinetics from pollutants type and concentration in the wells are shown in Fig. 3. The results showed that the dynamics of bioluminescence intensity can be specific to the pollutant type. The quinone kinetics demonstrates a delay in bioluminescence response before attaining peak intensity and this delay was found to increase with concomitant rise in its concentration. The rate of quenching of luminescence response increased with rise of copper sulfate concentration. Faster quenching changes inclination of curves while decreasing total amount of light emitted. Resorcinol decreases the maximal intensity, however light emission keeps approximately same rate over a period after rising until the end of test.

The difference in the light emission kinetics can be explained by the difference in the mechanochemical approach followed by the individual pollutants and various targets in bioluminescence reaction. Ideas about influence of phenols and quinones on triple enzyme systems had been discussed earlier [25], however there is still no mathematical model which can holistically explain and predict the type of pollutants based on unique bioluminescent kinetics. Despite the absence of mathematical model, in practice, existing correlation anyway can be used to determine pollutants classes and concentrations without fitting methods.

The obtained kinetic data was used to teach multi-layer perceptron employing backpropagation algorithm. The results of leave-one-out cross-validation for the perceptron configuration with 2 hidden layers is shown in Fig. 4. The deviations of “toxicity vectors” take place on boundary concentrations only. That happens because there are less example points around boundary concentrations for perceptron to learn from. That means that prediction is smooth in data range area, however is not effective in extrapolated areas.

Another perceptrons with 3 hidden layers were used to predict pollutants concentrations. The concentrations obtained by the prediction correlate with expected known values with 0.95 Pearson coefficient. The results of leave-one-out cross-validation is demonstrated in the Fig. 5.

The efficiency of using perceptron with from 1 to 6 hidden layers were compared (Fig. 6). The number of neurons in a hidden layers were equal to the number of inputs. Perceptron with 3 hidden layer was found to be
optimal in sense of learning time for pollutants classification. It was shown that this result are independent from the required prediction accuracy (target
We suggest that in case of more complex situations where pollutant types, their number and proportion in a sample increases, the amount of hidden layers and number of neurons in each layer should be increased. We propose to repeat developed evaluation algorithm to define optimum architecture for more complex cases.

4. Conclusion

The efficiency of using artificial neural networks in the analysis of bioluminescent signals for identification of different groups of environment pollutants (phenols, quinones, heavy metal ions) was estimated. The optimum multi-
Figure 5: The results of leave-one-out cross-validation test for prediction of a pollutant concentration. In the figure shown the dependency of predicted concentration from expected. The correlation coefficients (Pearson) are: 0.99 — for quinone, 0.97 — for copper sulfate and 0.98 — for resorcinol.

Figure 6: The characteristics of multilayer perceptron learning for pollutants classification depending on the amount of hidden layers. A, B, C — the learning cycles, learning time and required attempts to get one net in the case of 0.1 target error, D, E, F — the same characteristics in the case of 0.5 target error.

Layer perceptron architecture has three hidden layers in sense of learning time that does not contradict to universal approximation theorem.

Results showed that artificial neural networks with multilayer perceptron architecture is suitable in identifying the type of pollutant and its concentration, if it has specific influence on luminescent kinetics. The results can be useful for rapid determination of the pollution source. For example, if there are several industries upstream with specific emissions, such method
will allow to distinguish in a minute which object emits pollutants.

The demonstrated method is data driven, however it solves the problem of kinetics analysis bypassing creation of mathematical model for chemical processes if there are enough experimental data for perceptron training. The machine learning methods can essentially expand application of inhibition bioluminescent analysis on the basis of bacterial bioluminescence for detection and identification of environmental pollutants.

Further research on using multilayer perceptron for bioluminescent kinetic analysis can be made for mixtures of pollutants of different types.
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