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An approach to analysis of magneto-optical ellipsometry measurements is presented. A two-layer model of ferromagnetic reflective films is in focus. The obtained algorithm can be used to control optical and magneto-optical properties during films growth inside vacuum chambers.
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Recently it has become necessary to synthesize new materials that would be applied in spintronics devices. This field of study has significantly developed and it dictates the properties that materials should have in order to be used for its purposes. It is well-known that the simplest method of generating a spin-polarised current in a metal is to pass the current through a ferromagnetic material. That is why, one of the perspective materials for spintronics is a ferromagnetic/semiconductor two-layered structure [1].
In order to synthesize them and control their properties we have to use the methods that are non-destructive, precise, easy to use, applicable for in situ investigations in the high-vacuum chambers of molecular beam epitaxy. We suggest that magneto-optical ellipsometry is a technique that reflects these requirements. Magneto-optical ellipsometry usually combines the features of conventional ellipsometry and of magneto-optical Kerr effect measurements [2–6]. Applied to the sample magnetic field changes the ellipsometric parameters, this difference can be examined and used to investigate magneto-optic properties of the sample.

In this work we give detailed explanation how to analyse magneto-ellipsometric data and obtain information on magneto-optical and optical properties of the material.

1. General approach to magneto-ellipsometric data processing

Our approach is based on the analysis of a well-known equation that relates the experimental ellipsometric parameters $\psi$ and $\Delta$ with complex reflection coefficients corresponding to in-plane ($R_\|\) and out-of-plane ($R_\perp\) light polarizations [7–8]. Ellipsometric parameters $\psi$ and $\Delta$ can be presented as a sum of conventional parameters $\psi_0$ and $\Delta_0$ measured without external magnetic field and additional ellipsometric parameters $\delta\psi$ and $\delta\Delta$ that are the result of magnetic field application. We suggest to consider real and imaginary parts of these coefficients, so we mark them by $'$ and $''$ respectively:

$$\tan(\psi_0 + \delta\psi) \exp(i(\Delta_0 + \delta\Delta)) = R_\| R_\perp^{-1} = (R'_\| - iR''_\|)(R'_\perp - iR''_\perp)^{-1}.$$  \hspace{2cm} (1)

We are interested in magneto-optical properties of the sample. That is why it seems to be reasonable to present reflection coefficients as a sum of magnetic (subscript 1) and non-magnetic (subscript 0) summands [9–11]:

$$R_\| = R_{pp} + R_{pS} = R'_{p0} + R'_{p1} - i(R''_{p0} + R''_{p1}),$$ \hspace{2cm} (2)

$$R_\perp = R_{SS} + R_{Sp} = R'_{S0} - iR''_{S0}.\hspace{2cm} (3)$$

This paper focuses on the case of transverse magneto-optic Kerr effect when the magnetization is perpendicular to the plane of incidence and parallel to the surface of the sample. That is why there are no magnetic summands for s-plane polarization.

From (1-3) four equations can be obtained. Two of them correspond to non-magnetic condition:

$$\tan \psi_0 = \sqrt{(R'_{p0}R'_{S0} + R''_{p0}R''_{S0})^2 + (R''_{p0}R'_0 - R''_{p0}R''_{S0})^2}
\hspace{2cm} (4)$$

$$\Delta_0 = \arctan \frac{R'_{S0}R'_{p0} - R''_{p0}R''_{S0}}{R''_{p0}R'_{S0} + R''_{S0}R''_{p0}},\hspace{2cm} (5)$$

and two equations demonstrate the influence of an external magnetic field:

$$\delta\Delta = \Delta - \Delta_0 = \arctan \frac{R'_{S0}(R'_{p0} + R'_{p1}) - R'_{S0}(R''_{p0} + R''_{p1})}{R''_{S0}(R'_{p0} + R'_{p1}) + R''_{S0}(R''_{p0} + R''_{p1}) - \Delta_0},\hspace{2cm} (6)$$

$$\delta\psi = \psi - \psi_0 = \arctan (F \tan (\psi_0)) - \psi_0,\hspace{2cm} (7)$$
where $F$ is a multiplier in

$$
\tan (\psi_0 + \delta \psi) = F \tan \psi_0 = \sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{(R_{p1}^2 + R_{p1}^2 + 2(R_{p0}R_{p1} + R_{p0}R_{p1}'))(R_{S0}^2 + R_{S0}^2)}{(R_{p0}R_{S0} + R_{S0}R_{p0})^2 + (R_{S0}R_{p0} - R_{p0}R_{S0})^2}}
\right)}.
\tag{8}
$$

These equations do not depend on the number of layers in a sample, so can be used for every type of reflective nanostructures models. Below, a two-layer model is presented.

2. Data processing for the case of a two-layer model

As it was mentioned above, ferromagnetic/semiconductor two-layer structures are a subject of interest nowadays. So in this chapter let us discuss a model consisting of an upper ferromagnetic layer 1 (the refraction index $N_1 = n_1 - ik_1$), a middle non-magnetic layer 2 (the refraction index $N_2 = n_2 - ik_2$) and a substrate 3 (the refraction index $N_3 = n_3 - ik_3$). The light electromagnetic wave is incident from non-magnetic dielectric medium 0 (e.g. vacuum, characterized by the refraction index $N_0 = n_0 - ik_0$) onto the upper layer. In the setup, a Cartesian coordinate system is defined with the x axis normal to the interfaces and pointing into the substrate from the sample surface. The y and x axis lie in the plane of incidence. We consider T-configuration (transverse) in which magnetization is z-axis directed, i.e perpendicular to the plane of incidence and parallel to the surface. So YX plane is a plane of incidence, YZ plane is a boundary plane.

For a two-layer model it is necessary to consider each interface (0-1, 1-2, 2-3) as each of them impacts the values of ellipsometric angles. The purpose of the data processing is to characterize a ferromagnetic layer.

The first step is carrying out ellipsometric and magneto-ellipsometric measurements. Here we do not focus on ellipsometric data analysis as there is a lot of research in this field [7, 8, 12]. So from ellipsometric measurements we can find complex refractive indices $N_0, N_1, N_2, N_3$, thicknesses of both layers, while magneto-ellipsometric parameters spectra are necessary for magneto-optical properties study of a ferromagnetic layer.

Fresnel coefficients that reflect magneto-optical properties can be derived from the scattering matrix:

$$
\hat{S} = \hat{I}_{01} \hat{L}_1 \hat{I}_{12} \hat{L}_2 \hat{I}_{23},
\tag{9}
$$

where $\hat{I}_{ab}$ is an interface matrix and $\hat{L}_c$ is a layer matrix [7].

$$
R_S = \frac{(S_{21})_S}{(S_{11})_S},
\tag{10}
$$

$$(\hat{S}_{21})_p = \frac{(S_{11})_p}{(S_{21})_p},
\tag{11}
$$

$$
R_S = \frac{r_{01S} + r_{12S}e^{-i2\beta_1} + r_{01S}r_{12S}r_{23S}e^{-i2\beta_2} + r_{23S}e^{-i2(\beta_1 + \beta_2)}}{1 + r_{01S}r_{12S}e^{-i2\beta_1} + r_{12S}r_{23S}e^{-i2\beta_2} + r_{01S}r_{23S}e^{-i2(\beta_1 + \beta_2)}},
\tag{12}
$$

$$
R_p = \frac{r_{01p} + r_{12p}r_{01p}e^{-i2\beta_1} - r_{12p}r_{23p}r_{12p}e^{-i2\beta_2} + r_{23p}r_{01p}r_{12p}e^{-i2(\beta_1 + \beta_2)}}{1 - r_{10p}r_{12p}e^{-i2\beta_1} - r_{21p}r_{23p}e^{-i2\beta_2} - r_{10p}r_{23p}r_{12p}e^{-i2(\beta_1 + \beta_2)}},
\tag{13}
$$

where

$$
\tau_{01p} = t_{10p}d_{01p} - t_{01p}d_{10p},
\tag{14}
$$
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\[ r_{12p} = t_{21p}d_{12p} - r_{12p}r_{21p} \]  

(15)

So, in order to process magneto-ellipsometric data the following expressions are necessary:

\[ r_{01p} = \frac{N_1 \cos \varphi_0 - N_0 \cos \varphi_1}{N_1 \cos \varphi_0 + N_0 \cos \varphi_1} - i \frac{2Q N_0^2 \sin \varphi_0 \cos \varphi_0}{(N_1 \cos \varphi_0 + N_0 \cos \varphi_1)^2} \]  

(16)

\[ r_{12p} = \frac{N_2 \cos \varphi_1 - N_1 \cos \varphi_2}{N_2 \cos \varphi_1 + N_1 \cos \varphi_2} - i \frac{2Q N_1^2 \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_1}{(N_2 \cos \varphi_1 + N_1 \cos \varphi_2)^2} \]  

(17)

\[ r_{23p} = \frac{N_3 \cos \varphi_2 - N_2 \cos \varphi_3}{N_3 \cos \varphi_2 + N_2 \cos \varphi_3} \]  

(18)

\[ r_{10p} = \frac{N_0 \cos \varphi_1 - N_1 \cos \varphi_0}{N_2 \cos \varphi_1 + N_1 \cos \varphi_0} + i \frac{2Q N_1^2 \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_1}{(N_2 \cos \varphi_1 + N_1 \cos \varphi_0)^2} \]  

(19)

\[ r_{21p} = \frac{N_1 \cos \varphi_2 - N_2 \cos \varphi_1}{N_1 \cos \varphi_2 + N_2 \cos \varphi_1} + i \frac{2Q N_2^2 \sin \varphi_2 \cos \varphi_2}{(N_1 \cos \varphi_2 + N_2 \cos \varphi_1)^2} \]  

(20)

\[ r_{01s} = \frac{N_0 \cos \varphi_0 - N_1 \cos \varphi_1}{N_0 \cos \varphi_0 + N_1 \cos \varphi_1} \]  

(21)

\[ r_{12s} = \frac{N_1 \cos \varphi_1 - N_2 \cos \varphi_2}{N_1 \cos \varphi_1 + N_2 \cos \varphi_2} \]  

(22)

\[ r_{23s} = \frac{N_2 \cos \varphi_2 - N_3 \cos \varphi_3}{N_2 \cos \varphi_2 + N_3 \cos \varphi_3} \]  

(23)

\[ t_{01p} = \frac{2N_0 \cos \varphi_0}{N_1 \cos \varphi_0 + N_0 \cos \varphi_1} + i \frac{2Q N_0^3 \sin \varphi_0 \cos \varphi_0}{N_1(N_1 \cos \varphi_0 + N_0 \cos \varphi_1)^2} \]  

(24)

\[ t_{10p} = \frac{2N_1 \cos \varphi_1}{N_1 \cos \varphi_0 + N_0 \cos \varphi_1} - i \frac{2Q N_1^3 \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_1}{N_0(N_1 \cos \varphi_0 + N_0 \cos \varphi_1)^2} \]  

(25)

\[ t_{12p} = \frac{2N_1 \cos \varphi_1}{N_2 \cos \varphi_1 + N_1 \cos \varphi_2} + i \frac{2Q N_1^3 \sin \varphi_1 \cos \varphi_1}{N_2(N_2 \cos \varphi_1 + N_1 \cos \varphi_2)^2} \]  

(26)

\[ t_{21p} = \frac{2N_2 \cos \varphi_2}{N_2 \cos \varphi_1 + N_1 \cos \varphi_2} - i \frac{2Q N_2^3 \sin \varphi_2 \cos \varphi_2}{N_1(N_2 \cos \varphi_1 + N_1 \cos \varphi_2)^2} \]  

(27)

\[ \beta_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} N_1 \cos \varphi_1 d_1, \]  

(28)

\[ \beta_2 = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda} N_2 \cos \varphi_2 d_2, \]  

(29)

where \( \beta_1 \) and \( \beta_2 \) are phase thicknesses of layer 1 and layer 2, respectively, \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \) are thicknesses of layers 1 and 2. Subscripts 01, 12, 23 correspond to the wave propagation from medium 0 to medium 1, from 1 to 2 and from 2 to 3 respectively, while subscripts 10 and 21 correspond to the backward wave propagation. Indices \( r \) are refractive indices for the mentioned above interfaces, indices \( t \) are transmission coefficients. Angles \( \varphi_1 \) and \( \varphi_2 \) are related with \( \varphi_0 \) (the angle of incidence) by Snell’s law. \( Q \) is a magneto-optical coupling parameter that is responsible for non-diagonal elements of dielectric tensor. It means that if we know this parameter we can fully describe the dielectric permittivity, not only diagonal elements. Hereinafter we present the
formulae necessary for identifying $Q$ from magneto-ellipsometric measurements. Let us rewrite (12–18) in the same manner as (2, 3):

$$r_{01S} = (R'_S)_{01} - i(R''_S)_{01},$$  \hspace{1cm} (30)

$$r_{12S} = (R'_S)_{12} - i(R''_S)_{12},$$  \hspace{1cm} (31)

$$r_{23S} = (R'_S)_{23} - i(R''_S)_{23},$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

$$r_{23p} = (R'_p)_{23} - i(R''_p)_{23} = rr_{23} - i r_{23},$$  \hspace{1cm} (33)

$$r_{01p} = (R'_p)_{01} + (R''_p)_{01} = r_{01} - i r_{01},$$  \hspace{1cm} (34)

$$r_{12p} = (R'_p)_{12} + (R''_p)_{12} = rr_{12} - i r_{12},$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

$$r_{23p} = (R'_p)_{23} + (R''_p)_{23} = rr_{23} - i r_{23},$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

$$r_{10p} = (R'_p)_{10} - (R''_p)_{10} = rr_{10} - i r_{10},$$  \hspace{1cm} (37)

$$r_{21p} = (R'_p)_{21} - (R''_p)_{21} = rr_{21} - i r_{21},$$  \hspace{1cm} (38)

$$t_{01p} = (T'_p)_{01} + (T''_p)_{01} = tr_{01} - i t_{01},$$  \hspace{1cm} (39)

$$t_{12p} = (T'_p)_{12} + (T''_p)_{12} = tr_{12} - i t_{12},$$  \hspace{1cm} (40)

$$t_{10p} = (T'_p)_{10} - (T''_p)_{10} = tr_{10} - i t_{10},$$  \hspace{1cm} (41)

$$t_{21p} = (T'_p)_{21} - (T''_p)_{21} = tr_{21} - i t_{21},$$  \hspace{1cm} (42)

where $(R'_S)_{01}, (R''_S)_{01}, (R'_p)_{01}, (R''_p)_{01}, (R'_S)_{12}, (R''_S)_{12}, (R'_p)_{12}, (R''_p)_{12}$ correspond to $R'_S, R''_S, R'_p, R''_p, R'_1, R''_1$ in the model of a homogeneous semi-infinite medium, respectively [11]. Subscript 01 denotes the electromagnetic wave incidence from ambient medium 0 onto layer 1. Indices $(R'_S)_{12}, (R''_S)_{12}, (R'_p)_{12}, (R''_p)_{12}$ are also calculated by formulae for the model of a homogeneous semi-infinite medium, the only difference is that subscript 12 denotes the electromagnetic wave incidence from layer 1 onto layer 2 that leads to the following changes in the formulae for the model of a homogeneous semi-infinite medium: $\cos \varphi_0 \rightarrow \cos \varphi_1$, $\cos \varphi_1 \rightarrow \cos \varphi_2$, $\sin \varphi_0 \rightarrow \sin \varphi_1$, $n_1 \rightarrow n_2$, $n_0 \rightarrow n_1$, $k_1 \rightarrow k_2$, $k_0 \rightarrow k_1$. Likewise, indices $(R'_p)_{10}, (R''_p)_{10}, (R'_p)_{11}, (R''_p)_{11}$ describe the electromagnetic wave propagation from layer 1 to medium 0: $\cos \varphi_0 \leftrightarrow \cos \varphi_1$, $\sin \varphi_0 \leftrightarrow \sin \varphi_1$, $n_0 \leftrightarrow n_1$, $k_0 \leftrightarrow k_1$. Indices $(R'_p)_{21}, (R''_p)_{21}, (R'_p)_{21}, (R''_p)_{21}$ correspond to the electromagnetic wave propagation from layer 2 to layer 1: $\cos \varphi_0 \rightarrow \cos \varphi_2$, $\sin \varphi_0 \rightarrow \sin \varphi_2$, $n_0 \rightarrow n_2$, $k_0 \rightarrow k_2$. Finally, indices $(R'_p)_{23}, (R''_p)_{23}, (R'_p)_{23}, (R''_p)_{23}$ describe the electromagnetic wave incidence from layer 2 on substrate 3: $\cos \varphi_0 \rightarrow \cos \varphi_3$, $\cos \varphi_1 \rightarrow \cos \varphi_3$, $\sin \varphi_0 \rightarrow \sin \varphi_3$, $n_0 \rightarrow n_3$, $k_0 \rightarrow n_2$, $k_1 \rightarrow k_3$, $k_0 \rightarrow k_2$.

Transmission coefficients necessary for data processing are the following:

$$T'_p)_{01} = \frac{2\left(n_0n_1 + k_0k_1\right)(a^2 + c^2) + \left(n_0^2 + k_0^2\right)(ab + cd)}{A_1^2 + B_1^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (43)

$$T''_p)_{01} = \frac{2\left(n_0^2 + k_0^2\right)(ad - bc) + \left(n_1k_0 - n_0k_1\right)(a^2 + c^2)}{A_2^2 + B_2^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} (44)

$$T'_p)_{10} = \frac{2Q_1(pq + rs) - Q_2(pr - sq)}{(n_1^2 + k_1^2)(A_2^2 + B_2^2)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (45)

$$T''_p)_{10} = \frac{2Q_1(pr - sq) + Q_2(pq + rs)}{(n_1^2 + k_1^2)(A_2^2 + B_2^2)},$$  \hspace{1cm} (46)
where

\begin{align*}
A_3 &= n_1 a + k_1 c + n_0 b + k_0 d, \\
B_3 &= k_1 a - n_1 c + k_0 b - n_0 d, \\
p &= N(3n_0^2k_0 - k_0^3) + P(n_1^3 - 3n_0k_0^2), \\
q &= n_1(A_1^2 - B_1^2) - 2A_1B_1k_1, \\
r &= k_1(B_1^2 - A_1^2) - 2A_1B_1n_1, \\
s &= N(n_0^3 - 3n_0k_0^2) - P(3n_0^2k_0 - k_0^3), \\
a &= \text{Re}(\cos\varphi_0), \\
b &= \text{Re}(\cos\varphi_1), \\
c &= \text{Im}(\cos\varphi_0), \\
d &= \text{Im}(\cos\varphi_1), \\
N &= \text{Re}(\sin\varphi_0)a - \text{Im}(\sin\varphi_0)c, \\
P &= - \text{Re}(\sin\varphi_0)c - \text{Im}(\sin\varphi_0)a.
\end{align*}

Transmission coefficients with subscripts 10, 12, 21 correspond to the electromagnetic wave propagation from layer 1 to medium 0, from layer 1 to layer 2, from layer 2 to layer 1, respectively. The changes in the formulae are the same as proposed for refractive indices.

Let us take into account \(N_0 = n_0 - ik_1, N_1 = n_1 - ik_1, N_2 = n_2 - ik_2, Q = Q_1 - iQ_2\) and compare expressions (12, 13) with (2, 3). Thus we obtain expressions for \(R'_{p0}, R''_{p0}, R'_{p1}, R''_{p1}, R'_{s0}\) and \(R''_{s0}\) in terms of numerators and denominators:

\[
R_{s0} = \frac{\text{numerator}}{\text{denominator}}R_{s0} = \frac{\text{Re}(n(R_{s0})) - i\text{Im}(n(R_{s0}))}{\text{Re}(d(R_{s0})) - i\text{Im}(d(R_{s0}))},
\]

\[
R_{p0} = \frac{\text{Re}(n(R_{p0})) - i\text{Im}(n(R_{p0}))}{\text{Re}(d(R_{p0})) - i\text{Im}(d(R_{p0}))},
\]

\[
R_{p} = \frac{\text{Re}(n(R_p)) - i\text{Im}(n(R_p))}{\text{Re}(d(R_p)) - i\text{Im}(d(R_p))},
\]

where \(n\) stands for numerator and \(d\) – for denominator. As a result, we have

\[
R'_{p0} = \frac{\text{Re}(n(R_{p0})) \text{Re}(d(R_{p0})) + \text{Im}(n(R_{p0})) \text{Im}(d(R_{p0}))}{(\text{Re}(d(R_{p0})))^2 + (\text{Im}(d(R_{p0})))^2},
\]

\[
R''_{p0} = \frac{\text{Im}(n(R_{p0})) \text{Re}(d(R_{p0})) - \text{Im}(d(R_{p0})) \text{Re}(n(R_{p0}))}{(\text{Re}(d(R_{p0})))^2 + (\text{Im}(d(R_{p0})))^2},
\]

\[
R'_{p1} = \frac{\text{Re}(n(R_p)) \text{Re}(d(R_p)) + \text{Im}(n(R_p)) \text{Im}(d(R_p))}{(\text{Re}(d(R_p)))^2 + (\text{Im}(d(R_p)))^2} - R'_{p0},
\]

\[
R''_{p1} = \frac{\text{Im}(n(R_p)) \text{Re}(d(R_p)) - \text{Im}(d(R_p)) \text{Re}(n(R_p))}{(\text{Re}(d(R_p)))^2 + (\text{Im}(d(R_p)))^2} - R''_{p0},
\]

\[
R'_{s0} = \frac{\text{Re}(n(R_{s0})) \text{Re}(d(R_{s0})) + \text{Im}(n(R_{s0})) \text{Im}(d(R_{s0}))}{(\text{Re}(d(R_{s0})))^2 + (\text{Im}(d(R_{s0})))^2},
\]
where the following notations are used:

\[
R_{S0}'' = \frac{\text{Im}(n(R_{S0})) \text{Re}(d(R_{S0})) - \text{Im}(d(R_{S0})) \text{Re}(n(R_{S0}))}{(\text{Re}(d(R_{S0})))^2 + (\text{Im}(d(R_{S0})))^2},
\]

(67)

\[
\text{Re}(n(R_{p0})) = (R_{p0}'')_{01} + \xi_1 (R_{p0}'')_{12} - \eta_1 (R_{p0}'')_{12} + L_{0112} (\xi_2 (R_{p0}'')_{23} - \eta_2 (R_{p0}'')_{23}) - \eta_{0112} (\xi_2 (R_{p0}'')_{23} + \eta_2 (R_{p0}'')_{23}) + (R_{p0}'')_{023} (\xi_1 \xi_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2) - (R_{p0}'')_{23} (\xi_2 \eta_1 + \xi_1 \eta_2)
\]

(68)

\[
\text{Im}(n(R_{p0})) = (R_{p0}'')_{01} + \xi_1 (R_{p0}'')_{12} + \eta_1 (R_{p0}'')_{12} + L_{0112} (\xi_2 (R_{p0}'')_{23} + \eta_2 (R_{p0}'')_{23}) + M_{0112} (\xi_2 (R_{p0}'')_{23} - \eta_2 (R_{p0}'')_{23}) + (R_{p0}'')_{023} (\xi_1 \xi_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2) + (R_{p0}'')_{23} (\xi_2 \eta_1 + \xi_1 \eta_2),
\]

(69)

\[
\text{Re}(d(R_{p0})) = 1 + L_{0112} \xi_1 - M_{0112} \eta_1 + \xi_2 L_{1223} - \eta_2 M_{1223} + (\xi_1 \xi_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2) L_{0123} - (\xi_2 \eta_1 + \xi_1 \eta_2) M_{0123},
\]

(70)

\[
\text{Im}(d(R_{p0})) = L_{0112} \eta_1 + M_{0112} \xi_1 + \xi_2 M_{1223} + \eta_2 L_{1223} + (\xi_1 \xi_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2) M_{0123} + (\xi_2 \eta_1 + \xi_1 \eta_2) L_{0123}.
\]

(71)

\[
\text{Re}(n(R_{p}) = r r_{01} + (\xi_1 r r_{12} - \eta_1 r r_{12}) (\xi_1)_{01} - (\xi_1 r r_{12} + \eta_1 r r_{12}) (\eta_1)_{01} - (r r_{01} r r_{21} - r r_{01} r r_{21}) (\xi_2 r r_{23} - \eta_2 r r_{23}) + (r r_{01} r r_{21} + r r_{01} r r_{21}) (\xi_2 r r_{23} + \eta_2 r r_{23}) + (r r_{23} (\xi_1 \xi_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2) - r r_{23} (\xi_2 \eta_1 + \xi_1 \eta_2))((\xi_1)_{01} (\xi_1)_{12} - (\eta_1)_{01} (\eta_1)_{12}) - (r r_{23} (\xi_1 \xi_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2) + r r_{23} (\xi_1 \eta_2 + \xi_2 \eta_1))((\xi_1)_{01} (\eta_1)_{12} + (\xi_1)_{12} (\eta_1)_{01}).
\]

(72)

\[
\text{Im}(n(R_{p})) = r i_{01} + (\xi_1 r i_{12} + \eta_1 r i_{12}) (\xi_1)_{01} + (\xi_1 r i_{12} - \eta_1 r i_{12}) (\eta_1)_{01} - (r i_{01} r i_{21} + r i_{01} r i_{21}) (\xi_2 r i_{23} - \eta_2 r i_{23}) - (r i_{01} r i_{21} - r i_{01} r i_{21}) (\xi_2 r i_{23} + \eta_2 r i_{23}) + (r i_{23} (\xi_1 \xi_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2) + r r_{23} (\xi_1 \eta_2 + \xi_2 \eta_1))((\xi_1)_{01} (\xi_1)_{12} - (\eta_1)_{01} (\eta_1)_{12}) + (r i_{23} (\xi_1 \xi_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2) - r r_{23} (\xi_1 \eta_2 + \xi_2 \eta_1))((\xi_1)_{01} (\eta_1)_{12} + (\xi_1)_{12} (\eta_1)_{01}).
\]

(73)

\[
\text{Re}(d(R_{p})) = 1 + \xi_1 (r r_{10} r r_{12} - r r_{10} r i_{12}) + \eta_1 (r i_{10} r r_{12} + r r_{10} r i_{12}) - \xi_2 (r r_{12} r r_{23} - r r_{12} r i_{23}) + \eta_2 (r i_{12} r r_{23} + r i_{12} r i_{23}) - ((\xi_1)_{12} (r r_{10} r r_{23} - r r_{10} r i_{23}) - (\eta_1)_{12} (r i_{10} r r_{23} + r i_{10} r i_{23})) (\xi_2 \eta_1 - \eta_2) + (\eta_1)_{12} (r i_{10} r r_{23} - r i_{10} r i_{23}) + (\eta_2)_{12} (r r_{10} r r_{23} - r r_{10} r i_{23}) (\xi_2 \eta_1 + \xi_1 \eta_2),
\]

(74)

\[
\text{Im}(d(R_{p})) = -\xi_1 (r i_{10} r r_{12} + r r_{10} r i_{12}) - \eta_1 (r r_{10} r r_{12} - r r_{10} r i_{12}) - \xi_2 (r r_{12} r r_{23} + r r_{12} r i_{23}) - \eta_2 (r r_{12} r r_{23} - r r_{12} r i_{23}) - ((\xi_1)_{12} (r r_{10} r r_{23} + r r_{10} r i_{23}) + (\eta_1)_{12} (r r_{10} r r_{23} - r r_{10} r i_{23})) (\xi_2 \eta_1 - \eta_2) - (\eta_1)_{12} (r r_{10} r r_{23} - r r_{10} r i_{23}) + (\eta_2)_{12} (r r_{10} r r_{23} + r r_{10} r i_{23}) (\xi_2 \eta_1 + \xi_1 \eta_2),
\]

(75)

\[
\text{Re}(n(R_{S0})) = (R_{S0}'')_{01} + \xi_1 (R_{S0}'')_{12} - \eta_1 (R_{S0}'')_{12} + H_{0112} (\xi_2 (R_{S0}'')_{23} - \eta_2 (R_{S0}'')_{23}) - J_{0112} (\xi_2 (R_{S0}'')_{23} + \eta_2 (R_{S0}'')_{23}) + (R_{S0}'')_{023} (\xi_1 \xi_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2) - (R_{S0}'')_{23} (\xi_2 \eta_1 + \xi_1 \eta_2).
\]

(76)
\begin{align}
\text{Im}(\eta(R_{50})) &= (R'_{50})_{01} + \eta_1 (R''_{50})_{12} + \xi_1 (R''_{50})_{12} + H_{0112}(\xi_2 (R''_{50})_{23} + \eta_2 (R''_{50})_{23}) + \\
&+ J_{0112}(\xi_2 (R''_{50})_{23} - \eta_2 (R''_{50})_{23}) + (R''_{50})_{23}(\xi_1 \xi_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2) + (R''_{50})_{23}(\xi_2 \eta_1 + \xi_1 \eta_2),
\end{align}

\text{Re}(d(R_{50})) = 1 + H_{0112} \xi_1 - J_{0112} \eta_1 + \xi_2 H_{1223} - \eta_2 J_{1223} + \\
+ (\xi_1 \xi_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2) H_{0123} - (\xi_2 \eta_1 + \xi_1 \eta_2) J_{0123},

\text{Im}(d(R_{50})) = H_{0112} \eta_1 + J_{0112} \xi_1 + \xi_2 J_{1223} + \eta_2 H_{1223} + \\
+ (\xi_1 \xi_2 - \eta_1 \eta_2) J_{0123} + (\xi_2 \eta_1 + \xi_1 \eta_2) H_{0123},

\begin{align}
\xi_1 &= \text{Re}(e^{-i2\beta_1}), \\
\eta_1 &= -\text{Im}(e^{-i2\beta_1}), \\
\xi_2 &= \text{Re}(e^{-i2\beta_2}), \\
\eta_2 &= -\text{Im}(e^{-i2\beta_2}),
\end{align}

\begin{align}
L_{0112} &= (R''_{50})_{12}(R''_{50})_{01} - (R''_{50})_{12}(R''_{50})_{01}, \\
M_{0112} &= (R''_{50})_{01}(R''_{50})_{12} + (R''_{50})_{01}(R''_{50})_{12}, \\
L_{1223} &= (R''_{50})_{23}(R''_{50})_{12} - (R''_{50})_{23}(R''_{50})_{12}, \\
M_{1223} &= (R''_{50})_{12}(R''_{50})_{23} + (R''_{50})_{12}(R''_{50})_{23}, \\
L_{0123} &= (R''_{50})_{23}(R''_{50})_{01} - (R''_{50})_{23}(R''_{50})_{01}, \\
M_{0123} &= (R''_{50})_{01}(R''_{50})_{23} + (R''_{50})_{01}(R''_{50})_{23}, \\
H_{0112} &= (R''_{50})_{12}(R''_{50})_{01} - (R''_{50})_{12}(R''_{50})_{01}, \\
J_{0112} &= (R''_{50})_{01}(R''_{50})_{12} + (R''_{50})_{01}(R''_{50})_{12}, \\
H_{1223} &= (R''_{50})_{23}(R''_{50})_{12} - (R''_{50})_{23}(R''_{50})_{12}, \\
J_{1223} &= (R''_{50})_{12}(R''_{50})_{23} + (R''_{50})_{12}(R''_{50})_{23}, \\
H_{0123} &= (R''_{50})_{23}(R''_{50})_{01} - (R''_{50})_{23}(R''_{50})_{01}, \\
J_{0123} &= (R''_{50})_{01}(R''_{50})_{23} + (R''_{50})_{01}(R''_{50})_{23},
\end{align}

\begin{align}
(\kappa_1)_{01} &= tr_{10} tr_{01} - ti_{10} ti_{01} - rr_{01} rr_{10} + ri_{01} ri_{10}, \\
(\kappa_2)_{01} &= ti_{10} tr_{01} + tr_{10} ti_{01} - rr_{01} rr_{10} - ri_{01} ri_{10}, \\
(\kappa_1)_{12} &= tr_{21} tr_{12} - ti_{21} ti_{12} - rr_{21} rr_{12} + ri_{21} ri_{12}, \\
(\kappa_2)_{12} &= ti_{21} tr_{12} + tr_{12} ti_{21} - rr_{12} rr_{21} - ri_{12} ri_{21}.
\end{align}

So all necessary expressions that relate measured ellipsometric and magneto-ellipsometric parameters with refraction indices, coefficients of extinction, magneto-optical coupling parameter in case of a two-layer model are obtained. The final step is giving the best fit to the experimental data by the use of the wavelength-to-wavelength Nelder–Mead minimization [13] of the
ellipsometric angles. It yields real and imaginary parts of magneto-optical parameter $Q$, thus information about all elements of the dielectric permittivity tensor can be obtained from the experiment.

**Conclusion**

To conclude, we have proposed an approach to studying two-layer nanomaterials by means of magneto-ellipsometry. The algorithm of experimental data analysis ($\psi_0$, $\delta_0$, $\psi + \delta\psi$, $\Delta_0 + \delta\Delta$) is presented. As a result, optical and magneto-optical properties can be easily and reliably characterized during films growth through the presented formulae that are to be used in the software for magneto-optical ellipsometry set-ups.

*The reported study was funded by Russian Foundation for Basic Research, Government of Krasnoyarsk Territory, Krasnoyarsk Region Science and Technology Support Fund to the research project 16–42–243058. The work was supported partly by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research, Grant No. 16–32–00209 mol. , Grant No. 14–02–01211; the Complex program of SB RAS No. II.2P, project 0358–2015–0004; the Ministry of Education and Science of the RF (State task No. 16.663.2014); grant Scientific School 7559.2016.2.*

**References**


Двухслойная модель отражающих ферромагнитных пленок для исследования тонких пленок методом магнитоэллипсометрии

Ольга А. Максимова
Сергей Г. Овчинников
Институт физики им. Л. В. Киренского, КНЦ СО РАН
Академгородок, 50/38, Красноярск, 660036
Сибирский федеральный университет
Свободный, 79, Красноярск, 660041
Россия

Николай Н. Косырев
Институт физики им. Л. В. Киренского, КНЦ СО РАН
Академгородок, 50/38, Красноярск, 660036
Россия

Сергей А. Лященко
Институт физики им. Л. В. Киренского, КНЦ СО РАН
Академгородок, 50/38, Красноярск, 660036
СибГАУ им. Академика М. Ф. Решетнева
Красноярский рабочий, 31, Красноярск, 660037
Россия

Представлен метод анализа магнито-эллипсометрических измерений. Детально рассматривается двухслойная модель ферромагнитных отражающих пленок. Полученный алгоритм может использоваться для контроля оптических и магнито-оптических свойств пленок в процессе их роста в вакуумных камерах.
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