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Fágúnwà is no doubt a great Yorùbá novelist, who has impressively extended the frontiers of the Yorùbá literary heritage due to his skill as a story-teller and his linguistic competence in the use of Yorùbá language. Many incidents in his novels are designed to teach morals. In his effort to teach these morals and expand the reader’s knowledge and understanding about the messages contained in the novels, Fágúnwà shows great skills in the use of interrogative sentences. It is against this backdrop that this study, therefore, examines the nature and use of interrogative sentences in his five novels.
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Introduction

African Languages are numerous, genetically related and diverse in nature. Grimes (1996) puts the number of African languages at 2,035. This figure represents nearly one-third of the world languages (Heine & Nurse 2000:1). The African languages are classified into the following: language phyla: Afroasiatic, Nilo-Saharan, Niger-Congo and Khoisan. This study focuses on Yorùbá, one of the languages of Niger-Congo Language phylum. Grimes (1996) estimates the languages in this phylum to be 1,436, making it the largest language phylum in the world. Yorùbá is one of the three recognized Nigerian major languages. Yorùbá people in Nigeria are estimated to be about 43 million. They occupy a large area in the South-West geopolitical zone of Nigeria extending through Lagos, Ògùn, Òyó, Òndó, Oṣun, Ëkitì, Kwara, Kogi and Edo States. Yorùbá is also spoken in the republics of Benin,
Togo, Brazil, Cuba, Sierra-Leone and Trinidad and Tobago. Yorùbá language was reduced to writing by Bowdich (a British diplomat in the Gold Coast) in 1817. The standardization of the language began mainly through the efforts of the Church Missionary Society (CMS) in 1875. However, the publication of the compilation: the serial of Ìtàn Èmi Ṣẹgilọlá Ẹlẹyinjú-ẹgẹ Èlègbẹ́rún ọkọ láiyé (The life Story of Ìṣẹ́gilọ́lá Èléyínjú-ègè, the wife to a thousand husbands) in July, 1930 by I. B. Thomas, marked the birth of Yorùbá novel writing, Ògúnṣínà (1992:15). Eight years after, Daniel Òrọwọlè Fágúnwà, another novelist, came to the scene.

Daniel Òrọwọlè Fágúnwà (D.O. Fágúnwà), was born in 1903 in Òkè-Igbó, Oǹdó State, South West Nigeria. He was the son of Joshua Akíntúndé and Rachael Ọṣúnyọmí Fágúnwà. D.O. Fágúnwà’s parents were adherents of Yorùbá traditional religion before they were converted to Christianity. The Christian doctrines probably influenced Òrọwọlè Fágúnwà to change ‘Orọwọlè’ (Orò cults enters home) to ‘Ọlọrunfẹ́mi’ (God loves me). D.O. Fágúnwà started schooling in 1916 at St. Luke’s Primary School, Òkè-Igbó. He graduated in 1924 and later became a teacher at the same school in 1925. He entered St. Andrew’s Teachers College Òyó in 1926. On completion of his studies in 1929, he began his teaching career at St. Andrew’s Practicing School between 1930 and 1939.

It was during this period of his life, in 1935, when Fágúnwà’s first novel Ọgbójù ode Ninú Igbó Olódùmarè (Ọgbójù) (Forest of A Thousand Demons) was written. The book was presented for a competition organized by Miss Plummer in 1936. The Church Missionary Society bought the manuscript and published it in 1938.

D.O. Fágúnwà was posted to St. Patrick’s Primary School, Òwò, Ondo State in 1940. He moved to Church Missionary Society (CMS) Grammar School Lagos in 1943. In 1944, Fágúnwà moved to Girls’ College of Benin City and later to Igbóbí College of Lagos in 1945. He was in Britain in 1947 and later returned to Nigeria in 1948 after his studies there. D.O. Fágúnwà ended his teaching career at Government Teacher Training Centre Ìbàdàn in 1950. He later worked as an Education Officer at the Publication Unit of the Ministry of Education in the old Western Region of Nigeria till 1959. He was conferred with the honour M.B.E in 1959. Later that year, he became Nigeria Representative of Heinemann Education Books. Other novels written by Fágúnwà are: Igbó Olódùmarè (Forest of God) (1949), Ìrèké Onibudó (1949), Ìrínkèrindò Ninú Igbó Èlègbèje (Adventures to the Mount of Thought) (1954), and Adììtì Olódùmarè (The Mystery Plans of God) (1961). These novels underwent several impressions after they were first published. Fágúnwà also wrote some other books, which include Ìrànṣẹ Ẹni Olọrun Tì Lẹ́yìn (unpublished manuscript 1939), Ìrin-ajó Edá apá kíí a ti apá kejì (1959) and Táiwò ati Kèhínjè (1964). He jointly wrote the books Ìtan Olóyìn (1959) with L.J. Lewis, and Òjó Asòtàn with G.L. Laṣebikan, which were published posthumously in 1964. Fágúnwà died on December 7, 1963.

D.O. Fágúnwà is reported to have had the strongest impact on the development of Yorùbá novel writing. Ògúnṣínà (1992:78) describes Fágúnwà’s place in the history of Yorùbá novel writing as significant in the sense that he impressively extended the frontiers of Yorùbá literary heritage in a fresh dimension, thus bringing an instant and yet unsurpassed continuity into Yorùbá novel writing. Fágúnwà’s greatness as a Yorùbá novelist has been linked to his skill as a story-teller and his use of Yorùbá language. Critics of Fágúnwà’s works unanimously speak of his superlative use of the language. Fágúnwà’s language gymnastics include the use of short sentence sequences,
repetition of words, proverbial expressions, hyperbole and declamatory utterances, vivid and fanciful comparisons, ebullient rhetorical effects and humour. In describing Fágúnwá’s linguistic competence and his artistic ability to achieve lucidity without boredom, Bámgbọ́ṣé (1974) describes him as a master of Yoruba language, who creatively exploits the genius of the language by twisting it to express his feelings and those of his characters. In the same vein, Beier (1967:189) points out that the true Yorùbá flavour of Fágúnwá’s works lies not in the material he used, but in the language of his narration.

The major scholarly work on the language use in novels by Fágúnwá was written by Bámgbọ́ṣé (1974: 108-132). The work posits that Fágúnwá’s language is characterized by the rhetoric which shows itself in the love for words, hyperbolic expressions and declamatory speech. The work also examines the use of the following stylistic features: simile and metaphor, idiophones, proverbs, short sentence sequences, expressive imagery, repetition, humour and negative personification. Another aspect of language use in Fágúnwá’s novels which has not been examined yet is his use of interrogative sentences which is the main concern of this study. Therefore, the main thrust of the study is to examine the use and functions of the interrogative sentences in five novels by Fágúnwá. We chose to investigate the use and functions of interrogative sentences in the five novels because previously they have been ignored.

Each of the Fágúnwá’s novels is a novel of actions (Ògúnṣínà 1992:77). And many incidents in the novels are designed to teach morals. As Bámgbọ́ṣé (1975:943) correctly pointed out, each novel is a didactic document embodying an account of the trials and tribulations of a fellow human from whom we are supposed to learn a lesson. As a trained teacher, Fágúnwá knew the importance of questioning as an instructional tool in the dialogue of teaching and learning. In his effort to teach and expand the reader’s knowledge and understanding of the lessons/messages conveyed by his novels, he shows great skills in the use of interrogative sentences. This is evident in the number of interrogative sentences used in the novels. In all, Fágúnwá used 678 interrogative sentences. In Ògbójú Òdè Ninú Ọgbó Ìrùnlọ́lọ́ (Forest of A Thousand Demons) (1938), (Ògbójú) he used 119 interrogative sentences; 96 in Igbó Olódúmaré(Forest of God) (1949), (Igbó); 99 in Ìrèkè Oníbądú (1949), (Ìrèkè); 95 in Ìrìnìkèrìndò Ninú Ọgbó Èlègbèje (Adventures to the Mount of Thought)(1954), (Ìrìnìkèrìndò); 269 in Ìdítú Olódúmarè (The Mystery Plans of God) (1961), (Ìdítú). The breakdown of the interrogative sentences used in each chapter of the novels is shown in the Tables below:

This can be represented graphically as shown in Fig. 1 below.

The tables in Fig. 1 below show that there are no interrogative sentences in chapters three and five of Ìrìnìkèrìndò. This, we believe, is due to the fact that the two chapters are a mere narration of events. The tables also show that Fágúnwá used more interrogative sentences in Ìdítú than in other novels. The reason for this cannot be farfetched. Firstly, the first person narrative technique is abandoned in favour of narrative by an omniscient narrator. Secondly, the novel centres on the mysteries of God. It is therefore not surprising that it generates more questions than others. Finally, the numerous interrogative sentences in Fágúnwá’s novels as shown in the Tables below arouse our curiosity, thus, we decide to investigate its nature and use in the novels.

Interrogative Sentences

Several linguists have looked at interrogative sentences in natural languages. Among them, there are Bámgbọ́ṣé (1967:43), Ògúnbọ́wálé (1970:102-106), Awóbù́lúyí (1978:123), Radford
Table 1. Interrogative sentence in Fagunwa novels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chapter</th>
<th>Ogboju</th>
<th>Igbo</th>
<th>Ireke</th>
<th>Irinkerindo</th>
<th>Adiitu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(1988), Abóderin (1995), Crystal (2003) and Haegeman (2006). Bámgbósé (1967:43) opines that any clause which has a question item in it is an interrogative clause in Yorùbá. The question items may be clause introducers, initial items in a ni- clause, verbs or adverbs. Awóbúlúyì (1978:123) believes interrogative sentences are sentences employed as questions. They are of two basic kinds in Yorùbá: interrogative sentences that contain question words and those without question words which usually have a special rising intonation pattern.

Sentences with an interrogating structure mostly convey that there is a certain amount of information which the speaker does not have and which he is trying to make the interlocutor supply, (Haegeman 2006:21). This implies that questions are statements which seek information and expecting a reply. Crystal (2003:218) says that questions fall into three main types depending on...
the kind of reply one expects, and on how such questions are constructed. These types are: Yes-No questions (polar questions), Wh-questions (content word questions) and alternative questions. Yes-No questions allow an affirmative or negative response. Wh-questions begin with question words and allow a reply from a wide range of possibilities, while alternative questions require a reply which relates to the options provided by the sentence.

The terms “question” and “interrogative” are often interchanging (Matthews 2007:200). However, a distinction can sometimes be made. Thus, “can’t he shut up?” has an interrogative structure, and its function is not a question, but a request or a command. Questions are used by people in all walks of life to learn about their world and find information to guide their lives. Questions also confront people with a challenge. There are two domains of questions: cognitive and affective. Cognitive questions concern intellectual understanding. Affective questions concern emotions, attitudes and values. Sometimes, it is difficult to distinguish affective questions from cognitive questions. This is partly so because each affective question has a cognitive part to it. As Kissock and Iyortsuun (1995:79) correctly pointed out: “cognitive questions focus attention on the idea or information being studied. Affective questions focus attention on the individual and his/her opinion, feeling, or belief about something”.

Formation of Interrogative Sentences in Yorùbá Language

The interrogative pattern of declarative sentences in English language is formed by changing the position of the auxiliary verb with respect to that of the subject (subject- auxiliary inversion-moving the auxiliary leftward across the subject). For example:

1. Declarative: “The murderer has broken the window”

   Interrogative: “Has the murderer broken the window?”

However, in Yorùbá language, there are two types of interrogative constructions: those that contain question words and those without question words. Interrogative sentences without question words are pronounced specially with the voice lighter and higher for such constructions than their declarative versions. Interrogative constructions that contain question words are of two basic kinds. These are yes/no (polar) and content word (wh-) interrogative constructions. Polar questions in Yorùbá are derived by adjoining either of two question head elements ǹjẹ́ or ̀ṣé to the beginning of declarative sentences as exemplified below:

2. Declarative: Ọlúwátiṣé jẹun.
   Interrogative: ̀ṣé Ọlúwátiṣé jẹun?
   ǹjẹ́ Ọlúwátiṣé jẹun?
   “Olúwátiṣé ate”
   “Did Olúwátiṣé eat?”

Unlike ǹjẹ́, which occur at the beginning of the sentence, bi and ndan are polar elements that occur at the end of Yorùbá polar question sentence, as in:

3. a. Dayọ wá bi?
   “Did Dayọ come?”
   b. Wón sọrọ ndan?
   “Are they talking?”

The process of forming content word questions in Yorùbá involves movement. Content word questions in Yorùbá are nominal expressions which are often moved to the beginning of the sentence where they are immediately followed by the focus marker ni, (Ilọrí 2010:256). Yorùbá content word questions are ta ‘who’, ̀èwo/wo ‘which’, ibo ‘where’, báwo ‘which’, ̀éló ‘how much’, mélóó ‘how many’, nítorí kí… ‘why’. This is illustrated by the following examples:
4. (a) Délé rí Ta
(ní) ni ojá → Ta ni Délé
rí... ní ojá?
“Who did Délé see at
the market?”
(b) Moyè rí Olú
ni ibo → Ibo ni Moyè
rí ti Olú?
“Where did Moyè see
Olú?”
(c) O gbọ kí (ní)
→ Kí ní o
gbọ…?
“What did you
hear?”
(d) Wón tа
mélóо → Mélóо
wón tа…?
“How much
did they
buy?”
(e) O máa dé
nígbà wo
→ Nígbà wo
ní o máa dé…?
“When are
you coming?”
(f) Èwo ni èwо
nibẹ → Èwo ni èwо
nibẹ…?
“Which
one do you
want?”
(g) Ìyẹn jẹ
èló
→ Èló ni ìyẹn
jẹ…?
“How much
is that?”

These content word questions demand phrasal or clausal answers. There are other types
of content word question constructions which do not involve wh-items. Examples of such question
expressions are:

5. a. Ò́ tí jẹ?
“How is it?”
 b. Aṣò mi dà?
“Where is my cloth?”
 c. Ìyá rẹ ńkọ?
“Where is your
mother?”
 d. Ò́ tí ń lọ ná?
“Has he gone yet?”

The discussions in the preceding paragraphs
have focused attention on interrogative sentences
and their formation in Yorùbá language with
the hope that this background will help us in
our examination of interrogative sentences in
Fágúnwá’s novels.

The Nature of Interrogative Sentences
in Fágúnwá’s Novels

The general nature of interrogative sentences
used in Fágúnwá’s novels is similar to the ones
used in conversation in contemporary Yorùbá.
In the novels, Fágúnwá used interrogative
sentences majorly when there is interactivity
between the speaker and the interlocutor. Some
of the interrogative sentences used in the novels
are directed at the interlocutor. In the novels, the
following interrogative sentences are found: (a)
Wh-questions; (b) alternative questions; (c) polar
questions and (d) interrogative sentences without
questions words.

Fágúnwá used Wh-question type more than
other types in the novels. The linguistic patterns
of Wh-questions in Fágúnwá’s novels are simple,
short and sometimes identical. As shown in (6)
below.

6. i. Tani baba won? Tani baba enia?. Tani
baba agbọnrin? Írinkèrésìò p. 113.
Who is their father? Who is the father of
man...Who is the father of antelope?”
“Who are you? What are you? What do you
looking for? What do you want? Where are you
coming from?
iii Tani ńnyi won’yì? Níbo ni q ti wá? Níbo
ni q si nọ? Ógbójú p. 61.
“Who are these people? Where did you come
from? Where are you going?”
iv Tani ńnyi won’yì? Kilẹ gbẹ kélé? Níbo lè
ti nbo? Ìrèké p. 110.
Who are these people? What did you depend
on? Where are you coming from?
The question items ta, níbo, kí occur in
the beginning of the sentence as in (6) above.
The question items in (6) above conform to
their linguistic usage in Yorùbá language,
even such that kí ‘what’ as asterisked in (6ii) was
inappropriately used. Kí is used to ask about
non-human in Yorùbá language. Likewise,
ńkọ́ “where” is incorrectly used in some cases.
Its incorrect use in Ígbó p. 3, 15, 35, 95 and
Írinkèrésìò p43 is noticed in this type of
construction:
7. *nitorí kíì nìkọ? “What do you base it
on?”
The question element ńkọ́ cannot co-occur
with the question element kí in Yorùbá. The
correct version of interrogative sentences in (7) above should be:

8. Nítorí kí ni? “What do you base it on?”

The incorrect use of ńkọ́ “where” in the instances mentioned above may be pardonable because the question element is correctly used elsewhere in the novels. Its correct use is noticed in the following examples.

9. i. Ojó mesan oni nkọ? Ìrínkèridò p. 75 “What of in nine days’ time?”

ii. Aja ilé rẹ nkọ? Àdìittú p. 7 “How is the dog in your house?”

iii. Iya rẹ nkọ? Ògbójú p. 82 “Where is your mother?”

Similarly, bẹ̀ is another word used incorrectly in interrogative sentences in Ògbójú p. 44 and Igbó pp. 33 and 44 as shown in the following examples:

10. i. ‘Njẹ ọwọ ni Anjọnnu-ibẹru ti iṣe onibode Igbo Olodumare bẹ̀ p33.

“Are you Àǹjọnnu-ibẹru, the gate-keeper of Ìgbọ Olodumare?”

ii. Njẹ ọwọ ni ọkunrin na ti o pa Anjọnnu-ibẹru bẹ̀ p. 44.

“Are you the man that killed Àǹjọnnú-ibẹ́rù?”

iii. Akara-ogun, njẹ ọwọ mọ pe awọn ara ilu yi ngbero ati pa ọba bẹ̀ p. 44.

“Àkàrà-oógùn, do you know that the people of this town wanted to kill the king?”

The three interrogative sentences in (10) are grammatically correct without the word bẹ̀. The word bẹ̀ performs no function in the interrogative sentences. However, our observation in examples (7) and (10) above must not give the impression that language use in Fágúnwá’s novels is bad. We want to believe that the observations in (7) and (10) could be traceable to Fágúnwá’s idiolects at the time of writing the novels.

The use of alternative questions in Fágúnwá’s novels is usually done as in formal Yoruba discourse. Consider the following:

11. i… A ó ṣe tiwa tábí a kò ni ìṣe tiwa?

“Are we going to fulfill our course or not?”

ii … nwọn bara mu tabi nwọn kò ba ra mu?

“… Are they compatible or not?”

The speakers of the utterances in (11) above intended to request the interlocutor to choose from the options in the interrogative sentences.

At times, Fágúnwá creatively used interrogative sentences in his novels by combining two or more as a sentence. Consider (12) below.

12. i. “… Àláfíà kọ ni ile wa bi, ara kole bi, ṣe kò si nkan?” Igbó p. 24

“Is it well with your home? How is your body, is all well?”

ii. “Nibo ni iwọ ti wa nibo ni iwọ si nlo?” Ògbójú p. 42

“Where are you coming from, where are you going?”

The use of interrogative sentences this way shows the skill in Fágúnwá’s use of Yorùbá language. Also, the use of polar questions in the dialogue between all the Lámọrin and Alága in Âdìittú pp114-115 is picturesque. Fágúnwá innovatively presents the polar questions in the dialogue between Alága ‘Chairman’ and Lámọrin without using the polar question elements: ìnjẹ́, ńṣe, and bí. Consider 13 below.

13. Alága: Iwọ ní Lámọrin kini? “You are the first Lámọrin?”

Lámọrin: Bẹ̀ni “Yes”

Alága: A bi o ni ojọ 2 oṣu June 1922. “You are born on 2 June 1922.”

Lámọrin: Bẹ̀ni “Yes”
Fágúnwà uses this innovation to show the craftiness of Alága (chairman) in entrapping his victims. The interrogative adverb ndan, the use of which was in vogue during Fágúnwà era, was used twenty-four times in the novels. The interrogative adverb performs no function in the interrogative sentences where it occurs in the novels. This interrogative adverb has gone out of use in contemporary Yorùbá language, probably because it is old-fashioned.

The Function of Interrogative Sentences in Fágúnwà’s Novels

Learning to communicate in a language involves more than acquiring its pronunciation and grammar. If we are to use language in a realistic way, we need to learn the uses to which utterances are conventionally put and how these uses are signalled. People use language principally as a tool to do things: ask questions, request a favour, report events, converse, teach, greet and so on. In a terminology introduced by Austin (1975: 23) such functions of language are called speech acts. Each speech act has several principal components, two of which are directly relevant in this section: the utterance itself (locution) and the intention of the speaker in making it (illocution). Hence, in this section, we shall examine the communication intents of Fágúnwà as regard his use of interrogative sentences in his novels.

One of the important features of speech acts is interactivity. Interactivity is a communicating function which involves the speaker in a coordinated activity with other language users (Saeed 2009:231). While a speech act like asking a question does not need any explicit responses to make them a question, they nonetheless set up the expectation for an interactive response. In all the novels, Fágúnwà uses interrogative sentences mainly for interactive responses in order to meet communicative demands of all the characters. This is why almost all the interrogative sentences used in the novels emanated from interactive response between one character and another. However, there are other communication intents for the use of interrogative sentences in the novels.

Fágúnwà has been described as a writer who shows an incredible feeling for words and their effects. In his use of language, he is a clever innovator who brings new life into stereotyped expressions and succeeds in holding the readers’ attention by his verbal dexterity and manipulation of sentence patterns (Bámgbóṣé 1975:953). In his novels, Fágúnwà uses some interrogative sentences to introduce a number of moral and social vices he condemns. For instance, in Ògbójú (p. 53), he uses a sequence of twenty-two interrogative sentences to teach and comment on some of the things that can cause rancour amidst the couple. In a similar vein, he uses interrogative sentences to introduce and explain the causes of untimely death among the children in Ìrèké (pp. 57-58). Aside this, some of the moral stories incorporated into his narration are introduced by interrogative sentence(s). The Sage, who tells these stories in novels, always begins some of the stories by asking a cognitive question. This is evident in the story of Kìnìún and Kọ̀lọ̀kọ̀lọ̀ (the lion and the fox) in Ògbójú (p. 82), and also in the story of Kọtẹ́milọ́run in Àdììtú (p. 112-125), where Fágúnwà uses seventy interrogative sentences for illustration. The dialogue between Alága and the first five Lámọrín is used to show covetousness and discontent in man.

Bámgbóṣé (1974:127) describes Fágúnwà as a humorous writer without telling us how he does it. One of the ways Fágúnwà creates humour in the novels is by using interrogative sentences in the dialogue between some characters. Let’s
take, for example, the dialogue between Ẹkùn and Ológbò-Ìjàkadì and between Ẹkùn and his wife. The humorous dialogue shows the stupidity of Ẹkùn and the mocking tone of Ẹkùn's wife in Ìrèkè (pp. 21-44). Likewise, the dialogue between Ómùgòdiméjì and his visitors in Ìrinkérindò (pp. 42-46) is also humorous. Ómùgòdiméjì uses eight interrogative sentences to eulogize himself and his palace despite his unusual and repugnant behaviour. In the same vein, Fágúnwà uses the interrogative sentences in the dialogue between Alága and the last two new initiates to create humour in Ádìitú (pp. 124-125).

One of the narrative techniques in Fágúnwà's novels is direct address. Bámgbósé (1975:946-947) has correctly pointed out that the adoption of this technique arises from the writer's conception of his role as a story-teller and his acute awareness that he is addressing an audience. However, one opines that Fágúnwà uses some of the interrogative sentences in his novels to make this technique effective by creating direct, intimate and audience participation. For instance, the interrogative sentences he uses in Ádìitú (p. 1) is directed at the audience and this, we believe, allows for the audience's participation in the narration. Also in Ádìitú (p. 3), Fágúnwà employs some interrogative sentences to show intimacy between him (the fictional author) and the narrator. Interrogative sentence is also employed to introduce the first dream of the fictional author in Ìrèkè (p. 7). Another narrative technique in which Fágúnwà uses interrogative sentences is what Bámgbósé calls "cross reference". In order to recapitulate the past events, Fágúnwà employs eight interrogative sentences in Ógbójú (p. 71) and five interrogative sentences in Ìrèkè (pp. 117-118).

Fágúnwà's language is remarkable for its music and rhythm and poetic quality of many of the passages in his novels (Bámgbósé 1975:950). However, we note that this observation is evident in his use of interrogative sentences in Ìrinkérindò (p. 113), where he uses the interrogative sentences to capture the rhythm and atmosphere of drum language when he says:


Tani baba wọn? Tani baba imàdò? Tani baba wọn?”

“Who is their father? Who is the father of man? Who is their father? Who is the father of antelope? Who is their father? Who is the father of the wart-hog? Who is their father?”

Also, Fágúnwà employs some affective questions in order to show emotions in some of his characters. This is shown, firstly, in the interrogative sentences used in the love letters in Ìrèkè (pp. 79, 84, 90, 91); Ìrinkérindò (pp. 73, 74, 75, 77, 80, 81) and Ádìitú (pp. 7, 59, 63, 64, 79, 80, 81, 87). Secondly, when Ìrinkérindò and Ádìitú Olódùmarè lost their loved ones in Ìrinkérindò (p. 8) and Ádìitú (p. 37) and thirdly, when the king wanted to send Àkàrà-oógùn to Òkè Láńgbòdó in Ógbójú (p. 49). Finally, Fágúnwà shows how Yorùbá can use interrogative sentences to resolve conflicts in the society. This is evident in the story of certain man and a leopard in Ógbójú (pp. 91-93).

Conclusion

This study has discussed interrogative sentences in Fágúnwà novels. In the course of this, we were able to describe the nature of interrogative sentences he used. The study shows that Fágúnwà uses wh-question type more than other question types. The study also notes that some question items are used wrongly. The study concludes by examining some of the communicative intents in the use of the interrogative sentences in the novels. The study posits that some of the interrogative sentences used are not used to seek information, but rather, they are used to supply...
information in the narration. Aside this, some of the interrogative sentences are employed to make some of the narrative techniques effective, to create humour and to show emotions of some characters.

End Note

This is abridging version of the history of D.O. Fágúnwà. His history has been fairly documented in detail in Olúbù ńmọ̀ (1964), Bámgbọ̀sé (1974) and Ògúnṣìnà (1992).
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Без сомнения, Фагунва — это великий романист йоруба, сумевший значительно расширить литературное наследие йоруба благодаря его искусству рассказчика, а также за счет его высочайшего мастерства в использовании языка йоруба. Многие из его романов несут в себе поучительный смысл. Стремясь донести до читателя тот или иной урок, а также расширить познания читателя, позволить ему лучше понять сообщение, которое несет в
себе роман, Фагунва блестяще использует вопросительные предложения. Таким образом, данное исследование направлено на изучение характера использования вопросительных предложений в пяти романах автора. Исследование показало, что всего в романах встречается 678 вопросительных предложений. Авторы приходят к выводу, что Фагунва использует вопросительные предложения по разным причинам, среди которых: изобличение моральных и социальных пороков; создание юмористического эффекта; выражение эмоций персонажей и повышение эффективности повествовательных приемов.

Ключевые слова: Фагунва, вопросительные предложения, романы, язык йорубы.

Научная специальность: 10.00.00 – филологические науки.