# EDN: BTGNPN УДК 519.2 Power Comparisons of EDF Goodness-of-Fit Tests

#### Djahida Tilbi\*

Departement of mathematics Laboratory of Probability and Statistics LaPS Skikda, Algeria

Received 15.11.2022, received in revised form 26.12.2022, accepted 20.02.2023

Abstract. In this article, the power of common goodness-of-fit (GoF) statistics is based on the empirical distribution function (EDF) where the critical values must be determined by simulation. The statistical power of Kolmogorov–Smirnov  $D_n$ , Cramér-von Mises  $W^2$ , Watson  $U^2$ , Liao and Shimokawa  $L_n$ , and Anderson–Darling  $A^2$  statistics were investigated by the sample size, the significance level, and the alternative distributions, for the generalized Rayleigh model (GR). The exponential, the Weibull, the inverse Weibull, the exponentiated Weibull, and the exponentiated exponential distributions were considered among the most frequent alternative distributions.

Keywords: generalized Rayleigh distribution, Kolmogorov–Smirnov test, the Cramér-von Mises test (C-VM), Anderson–Darling test (A-D), Watson test (W), Liao and Shimokawa test (LS).

Citation: D. Tilbi, Power Comparisons of EDF Goodness-of-Fit Tests, J. Sib. Fed. Univ. Math. Phys., 2023, 16(3), 308–317. EDN: BTGNPN.



## Introduction

Statistical analysis means investigating trends, patterns, and relationships using quantitative data. It is an important research tool used by scientists, governments, businesses, and other organizations. Many statistical analysis tools rely on assumptions of underlying distributions. The goodness-of fit problem is to validate such assumptions before applying those tools to data, therefore it arises in applications of many statistical approaches. Many goodness of-fit tests (GoF) have been developed, and most of them are based on the empirical distribution functions (EDF), the old one, being the Kolmogorov–Smirnov (K-S) statistic  $D_n$  (Kolmogorov 1933). Later, Cramér-Von Mises  $W^2$  statistics have been shown to be more powerful than a K-S test statistic  $(D_n)$  against a large class of alternative hypotheses. The Anderson–Darling statistic  $A^2$  (Anderson and Darling 1954) can be considered as a limiting distribution of  $W^2$  and it gives more weight to the tails than the statistic  $(D_n)$  does (see Darling 1957). Watson (1961a, 1962b) proposed a new test statistic  $U^2$  as a generalization of Cramér-Von Mises test statistic  $W^2$ . Another new test statistic  $L_n$ , is developed by Liao et Shimokawa (1999) and applied for testing the GoF.

Let  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  be a random sample from the distribution  $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$ . The main problem is that of testing hypotheses about F of the form:

$$\begin{cases} H_0: F(x) = F_0(x) \\ H_1: F(x) \neq F_0(x) \end{cases}$$

where  $F_0(x)$  is a known distribution function. The EDF is defined as

$$F_n(x) = \frac{\text{number of observations} \leqslant x}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n I(X_i \leqslant x), \tag{1}$$

d.tilbi@univ-skikda.dz

<sup>©</sup> Siberian Federal University. All rights reserved

Djahida Tilbi

where I is an indicator function. Almost surely, the EDF  $F_n(x)$  converges uniformly to the distribution function F(x) (more detail, see the Glivenko-Cantelli theorem).

Many authors have addressed the problem of testing the null hypothesis in (1) when X follows a specified model, The EDF statistics are not distributed, but in the case of unknown parameters, their distribution will depend not only on the sample size but also on the hypothetical distribution. Using numerical methods, they developed modified test statistics, replacing the unknown parameters with their estimates. We find, for example, both Hassan from generalized exponential distribution (2005) and Al-Zahrani from Top-Leone distribution (2012) are obtained critical values for GoF tests based on a random sample and on the EDF tests. According to the critical tables which have been obtained by certain authors such as for example (for the twoand three parameter Weibull distributions (Evans, Johnson, and Green 1989), for the generalized Frechet distribution (Abd-Elfattah, Fergany, and Omima 2010) for the double Exponential distribution (Lemeshko and Lemeshko 2011a), it is particular that the statistic  $A^2$  of AD test is the most powerful EDF test.

The generalized Rayleigh (GR) distribution plays an important role in the analysis of reliability and survival data (see, Kundu and Raqab 2007, Rao and Gadde Srinivasa 2014). This distribution was introduced by Surles and Padgett (2001). Originally, Mudholkar and Srivastava (1993), Mudholkar and al. (1995) proposed several distributions called the Burr distributions, whose generalized Rayleigh (GR) distribution is a special case of those of Burr Type X. Depending on the values of the parameters, Kundu and Raqab (2005) used different estimation methods for simple data so that Al-Khedhairi et al. (2007) calculated the estimators on grouped data and censored data. Fathipour et al. (2013) and Rao (2014) interested in estimating the weakness of the components described by GR distributions. Note that modified chi-square goodness-of-fit tests for this distribution have been developed for complete data and for censored data (D. Tilbi and Seddik-Amour 2017).

In this article, we explore the GoF for the generalized Rayleigh model with unknown parameters. After replacing the unknown parameters by their maximum likelihood estimates, we use R software and Monte Carlo methods, to provide tables of GoF critical values of the modified statistics  $D_n, L_n, W_n^2, U_n^2$  and  $A_n^2$  based on the FDE for this model. Finally, the power of these statistics is studied using alternative distributions (Weibull and exponential).

### 1. Generalized Rayleigh model

The Rayleigh distribution is widely used to model events that occur in different fields such as medicine, social and natural sciences. For instance, it is used in the study of various types of radiation, such as sound and light measurements. It is also used as a model for wind speed and is often applied to wind-driven electrical generation. Recently, Surles and Padgett (2001) considered the two parameter Burr Type X distribution by introducing a shape parameter and correctly named it as the generalized Rayleigh (GR) distribution. This distribution was studied by Mohammad Z.Raqab and Mohamed T. Madi (2011). If the random variable X has a two parameter GR distribution, then it has the cumulative distribution function (cdf)

$$F(x; \alpha, \lambda) = (1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^2})^{\alpha}, \quad x > 0, \ \alpha > 0, \ \lambda > 0,$$
(2)

and probability density function (pdf)

$$f(x;\alpha,\lambda) = 2\alpha\lambda^2 x e^{-(\lambda x)^2} (1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^2})^{\alpha - 1}, \quad x > 0, \ \alpha > 0, \ \lambda > 0,$$
(3)

where  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  are shape and inverse scale parameters, respectively. We denote the GR distribution with shape parameter  $\alpha$  and inverse scale parameter  $\lambda$  as GR( $\alpha$ ,  $\lambda$ ). Its hazard and reliability functions are

$$h(x;\alpha,\lambda) = \frac{2\alpha\lambda^2 x e^{-(\lambda x)^2} (1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^2})^{\alpha - 1}}{1 - (1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^2})^{\alpha}}.$$
(4)

$$S(x; \alpha, \lambda) = 1 - (1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^2})^{\alpha}.$$
 (5)

#### 1.1. Maximum likelihood estimates

Suppose that  $X_1, X_2, \Delta\Delta\Delta, X_n$  is a random sample from  $GR(\alpha, \lambda)$ . Then the log-likelihood function of the observed sample is

$$L(x;\alpha,\lambda) = n\ln 2 + n\ln\alpha + 2n\ln\lambda + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\ln x_i - \lambda^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 + (\alpha - 1)\sum_{i=1}^{n}\ln(1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^2}).$$
 (6)

The MLEs of  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$  say  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\lambda}$ , respectively, can be obtained as the solutions of the following equations

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{n}{\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^2}) = 0.$$
(7)

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{2n}{\lambda} - 2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 + 2\lambda(\alpha - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x^2 e^{-(\lambda x)^2}}{1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^2}} = 0.$$
 (8)

We obtain

$$\hat{\alpha} = -\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^2})},\tag{9}$$

and  $\hat{\lambda}$  can be obtained as the solution of the nonlinear equation  $g(\lambda) = 0$ , where

(

$$g(\lambda) = \frac{\partial L(x;\alpha,\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \frac{2n}{\lambda} - 2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 - 2\lambda \left(\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \ln(1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^2})} + 1\right) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{x^2 e^{-(\lambda x)^2}}{1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^2}}.$$

Therefore,  $\hat{\lambda}$  can be obtained as solution of the nonlinear equation of the form  $H(\lambda) = \lambda$ , where

$$H(\lambda) = 2n \left[ 2\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2 - 2\lambda \left( \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \ln(1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^2})} + 1 \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{x^2 e^{-(\lambda x)^2}}{1 - e^{-(\lambda x)^2}} \right]^{-1}.$$
 (10)

Since,  $\hat{\lambda}$  is a fixed point solution of the non-linear equation (10), therefore, it can be obtained using an iterative scheme as  $H(\lambda_j) = \lambda_{j+1}$ , where  $\lambda_j$  is the jth iterate of  $\hat{\lambda}$ . The iteration procedure should be stopped when  $|\lambda_j - \lambda_{j+1}|$  is sufficiently small. Once we obtain  $\hat{\lambda}$ , then  $\hat{\alpha}$ can be obtained from (9).

# 2. GoF statistics based on the EDF

A goodness of fit test based on the empirical function (EDF), when the parameters are estimated, is called a modified goodness of fit test. The most popular nonparametric goodnessof-fit tests, namely; the Kolmogorov–Smirnov  $D_n$ , Cramér-von-Mises  $W^2$ , Anderson–Darling  $A^2$ , Watson  $U^2$ , and Liao–Shimokawa  $L_n$  test statistics. The critical values of the modified statistics did not exist in the statistical literature prior to the last decades. Through simulations, some authors have provided critical table values for classical models and some of their generalizations (for more details, see Lemeshko and Lemeshko 2011b). In this paper, using the Monte Carlo method and the R software, we offer tables of critical values of  $D_n$ ,  $W^2$ ,  $A^2$ ,  $U^2$ , and  $L_n$  statistics for the generalized Rayleigh model when the parameters are unknown.

### **2.1.** K-S test statistics $D_n$

The most popular GoF test is the Kolmogorov–Smirnov K-S test. The test statistic  $D_n$  is defined as

$$D_n = \max[D^+; D^-],$$

where

$$D^{+} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left[ \frac{i}{n} - F(x_i) \right],$$

and

$$D^{-} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left[ F(x_i) - \frac{i-1}{n} \right],$$

with  $x_i$  is the order statistic. For Rayleigh model  $GR(\alpha, \lambda)$  the  $D_n$  statistic becomes

$$D^{+} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \left[ \frac{i}{n} - (1 - e^{-(\hat{\lambda}x_{i})^{2}})^{\hat{\alpha}} \right],$$
(11)

and

$$D^{-} = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \left[ (1 - e^{-(\hat{\lambda}x_i)^2})^{\hat{\alpha}} - \frac{i-1}{n} \right],$$
(12)

where  $\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\hat{\lambda}$  are the maximum likelihood parameter estimators of the unknown parameters.

### **2.2.** C-VM test statistics $W^2$

The Cramér-von Mises test is an alternative to the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test (1933). C-VM test statistic  $W^2$  may be considered as the sum of the quadratic differences between the empirical distribution function (EDF) and the theoretical cumulative distribution function (CDF). It is defined as

$$W^{2} = \frac{1}{12n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( F(x_{i}) - \frac{2i-1}{2n} \right)^{2}.$$
 (13)

So, for the  $GR(\alpha, \lambda)$  distribution, we obtain

$$W_n^2 = \frac{1}{12n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( (1 - e^{-(\hat{\lambda}x_i)^2})^{\hat{\alpha}} - \frac{2i-1}{2n} \right)^2.$$
(14)

### **2.3.** A-D test statistics $A^2$

The A-D test statistic  $A^2$  was developed by Anderson and Darling (1954) as a limiting distribution of the test of C-VM as in  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The  $A^2$  is given by

$$A^{2} = -n - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (2i - 1) \Big( \ln(F(x_{i})) + \ln(1 - F(x_{i})) \Big).$$
(15)

We obtain the test statistic for  $GR(\alpha, \lambda)$  as follows

$$A_n^2 = -n - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (2i-1) \Big( \ln((1-e^{-(\hat{\lambda}x_i)^2})^{\hat{\alpha}}) + \ln(1-(1-e^{-(\hat{\lambda}x_i)^2})^{\hat{\alpha}}) \Big).$$
(16)

#### 2.4. W test statistics $U^2$

Watson test statistic  $U^2$  was developed for distributions which are cyclic and in 1961 it is based on the empirical distribution function.  $U^2$  is a generalization of the C-VM test statistic. It is defined by

$$U^{2} = W_{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\frac{F(x_{i})}{n} - \frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}.$$
(17)

The explicit form of this statistic for the  $GR(\alpha, \lambda)$  model is

$$U_n^2 = \frac{1}{12n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( (1 - e^{-(\hat{\lambda}x_i)^2})^{\hat{\alpha}} - \frac{2i-1}{2n} \right)^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \frac{(1 - e^{-(\hat{\lambda}x_i)^2})^{\hat{\alpha}}}{n} - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2.$$
(18)

#### **2.5.** LS test statistics $L_n$

The Liao–Shimokawa statistic measures the average of all weighted distances over the entire range of the data. For more details, we refer to Liao and Shimokawa (1999). The test statistic is given by

$$L_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\max\left(\frac{i}{n} - F(x_i), F(x_i) - \frac{i-1}{n}\right)}{\sqrt{F(x_i)[1 - F(x_i)]}}.$$
(19)

For the distribution of  $GR(\alpha, \lambda)$ ,  $L_n$  becomes

$$L_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\max\left(\frac{i}{n} - (1 - e^{-(\hat{\lambda}x_i)^2})^{\hat{\alpha}}, (1 - e^{-(\hat{\lambda}x_i)^2})^{\hat{\alpha}} - \frac{i - 1}{n}\right)}{\sqrt{(1 - e^{-(\hat{\lambda}x_i)^2})^{\hat{\alpha}}[1 - (1 - e^{-(\hat{\lambda}x_i)^2})^{\hat{\alpha}}]}}.$$
 (20)

### 3. Critical values

The purpose of this paper is to provide critical adjustment values of the modified statistics  $D_n$ ,  $A_n^2$ ,  $W_n^2$ ,  $U_n^2$  and  $L_n$  for the generalized Rayleigh distribution when the parameters are unknown and replaced by their maximum likelihood estimates of the non grouped data. For this, we use Monte Carlo simulation method and R software to generate 10,000 samples of different sizes n.

Under the null hypothesis  $H_0$  that a sample  $X = X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  belongs to generalized Rayleigh model, we calculated the values of the various fit testing statistics mentioned above. To this end, the following steps are used to calculate the critical values for each statistic of the fit tests at different levels of significance  $\alpha = 0.01, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15$  and 0.25 and sample sizes n = 5, 10, 15, 20, 30, 50 and 100:

**Step 1.** Generate *n* random variables U(0,1) independent  $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n$ .

**Step 2.** For given values of the parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\lambda$ , we set  $x_i = F^{-1}(U_i)$ . Then  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$  is the required sample size n of the GR distribution.

**Step 3.** Use the generated sample to estimate the unknown parameters using the maximum likelihood estimators given by (9) and (10).

**Step 4.** The unknown parameter estimators were used to determine the hypothetical cumulative distribution function of the GR distribution.

**Step 5.** The statistical tests  $D_n, L_n, W_n^2, U_n^2$  and  $A_n^2$  mentioned above are calculated for each generation random sample of different sizes.

**Step 6.** This procedure was repeated 10,000 times independently. Therefore, we got 10,000 values for each proposed test statistic. These values have been classified at different levels of significance 0.01, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15 and 0.25 are shown in the Tab. 1.

1				<u> </u>	1 1	
Sample	test	0.01	0.05	Significance	level $\alpha$	0.05
size n	statistics	0.01	0.05	0.10	0.15	0.25
5	$D_n$	0.0000	0.0006	0.0020	0.0053	0.0300
	$W_n^2$	0.0006	0.0061	0.0185	0.0312	0.0593
	$A_n^2$	0.0187	0.0700	0.1400	0.1990	0.3590
	$W_n^2$ $A_n^2$ $U_n^2$	0.0005	0.0044	0.00102	0.0199	0.0412
	$L_n$	0.0138	0.0449	0.0655	0.1022	0.1114
10	$D_n$	0.0000	0.0004	0.0017	0.0050	0.0111
	$W_n^2$	0.0004	0.0054	0.0182	0.0309	0.0587
	$ \begin{array}{c} U_n^2 \\ W_n^2 \\ A_n^2 \\ U_n^2 \end{array} $	0.0156	0.0706	0.1359	0.1986	0.3840
	$U_n^2$	0.0004	0.0031	0.0099	0.0185	0.0391
	$L_n$	0.0125	0.0395	0.0592	0.0965	0.1072
15	$D_n$ $W_n^2$ $A_n^2$ $U_n^2$	0.0000	0.0004	0.0016	0.0049	0.0101
	$W_n^2$	0.0003	0.0048	0.0163	0.0305	0.0575
	$A_n^2$	0.0152	0.0762	0.1293	0.1836	0.3570
	$U_n^2$	0.0004	0.0029	0.0079	0.0178	0.0352
	$L_n^n$	0.0120	0.0345	0.0522	0.0960	0.1066
20	$D_{rr}$	0.0000	0.0004	0.0015	0.0047	0.0100
	$ \begin{array}{c} U_n^2 \\ W_n^2 \\ A_n^2 \\ U_n^2 \end{array} $	0.0003	0.0043	0.0140	0.0304	0.0569
	$A_n^2$	0.0147	0.0657	0.1297	0.1788	0.3470
	$U_n^{\ddot{2}}$	0.0004	0.0026	0.0072	0.0174	0.0332
	$L_n^n$	0.0115	0.0338	0.0452	0.0865	0.0987
30	D.,	0.0000	0.0003	0.0011	0.0045	0.0100
	$ \begin{array}{c} U_n^n \\ W_n^2 \\ A_n^2 \\ U_n^2 \end{array} $	0.0003	0.0042	0.0133	0.0289	0.0565
	$A_n^2$	0.0145	0.0700	0.1150	0.1755	0.1986
	$U_n^2$	0.0003	0.0020	0.0063	0.0170	0.0325
	$L_n^n$	0.0111	0.0332	0.0434	0.0799	0.0977
50	$D_{n}$	0.0000	0.0003	0.0009	0.0034	0.0079
	$ \begin{array}{c} -n \\ W_n^2 \\ A_n^2 \\ U_n^2 \end{array} $	0.0002	0.0039	0.0126	0.0286	0.0559
	$A_n^2$	0.0129	0.0561	0.1132	0.1707	0.2590
	$U_n^n$	0.0002	0.0014	0.0039	0.0143	0.0291
	$L_n^n$	0.0101	0.0245	0.0398	0.0592	0.0923
100	D.,	0.0000	0.0001	0.0006	0.0030	0.0067
	$ \begin{array}{c} U_n^n \\ W_n^2 \\ A_n^2 \\ U_n^2 \end{array} $	0.0001	0.0032	0.0120	0.0284	0.0530
	$A_n^{\prime'}$	0.0125	0.0524	0.1087	0.1585	0.2500
	$U_n^n$	0.0001	0.0012	0.0036	0.0137	0.0278
	$L_n^n$	0.0097	0.0231	0.0341	0.0564	0.0878
	10					

Table 1. Critical values for K-S, C-VM, A-D, W and LS tests

From the table, we noticed that:

•For each statistical test, the power increases monotonically as the sample size increases and the level of significance increases.

•The Anderson-Darling  $A_n^2$  statistical test is the most powerful of the proposed fit tests.

•The statistical test of **Komogorov-Smirnov**  $D_n$  is the least powerful among the fit tests proposed.

## 4. Simulation study

In this section, we performed a power comparison between  $D_n, L_n, W_n^2, U_n^2$  and  $A_n^2$  statistics for the GR model with unknown parameters. For this, we simulated 10,000 random samples of different sizes n = 10, 20, 50 and 100, for each test at the significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$  and from each of the alternative distributions:

1. The Exponential distribution  $Exp(\lambda)$ , with probability density function

$$f_X(x,\lambda) = \lambda \exp(-\lambda x),$$

and its cumulative distribution function is

$$F_{Exp}(x,\lambda) = 1 - \exp(-\lambda x).$$
(21)

2. The Weibull distribution  $Wei(\gamma, \alpha)$ , with probability density function

$$f(x;\gamma,\alpha) = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha} \left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{\gamma-1} \exp(-(\frac{x}{\alpha})^{\gamma}),$$

and its cumulative distribution function is

$$F_{Wei}(x;\gamma,\alpha) = 1 - \exp\left(-\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}\right)^{\gamma}\right).$$
(22)

3. The Inverse Weibull distribution  $InWei(\alpha, \gamma)$ , with probability density function

$$f(x;\gamma,\alpha) = \gamma \alpha^{\gamma} x^{-(\gamma+1)} \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\alpha}{x}\right)\right)^{-\gamma}$$

and its cumulative distribution function is

$$F_{InWei}(x;\gamma,\alpha) = \exp\left(-\alpha\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)^{-\gamma}\right).$$
(23)

4. The Exponentiated Weibull distribution  $ExpWei(\alpha, \gamma, \lambda)$ , with probability density function

$$f(x;\gamma,\alpha,\lambda) = \alpha \gamma \lambda^{\gamma} x^{\gamma-1} (1 - \exp(-\lambda x^{\gamma}))^{\alpha},$$

and its cumulative distribution function is

$$F_{ExpWei}(x;\gamma,\alpha,\lambda) = (1 - \exp(-\lambda x^{\gamma}))^{\alpha}.$$
(24)

5. The Exponential distribution  $EE(\alpha, \lambda)$ , with probability density function

$$f_{EE}(x;\alpha,\lambda) = \alpha\lambda(1 - \exp(-\lambda x))^{\alpha-1}\exp(-\lambda x),$$

and its cumulative distribution function is

$$F_{EE}(x;\alpha,\lambda) = (1 - \exp(-\lambda x))^{\alpha}.$$
(25)

The power results of tests statistics  $D_n, L_n, W_n^2, U_n^2$  and  $A_n^2$ , for each alternative distribution at significance level  $\alpha = 0.05$  are presented in Tab. 2.

From the table, we notice that:

•According to the test power values for the different statistics, are indicating that the generalized Rayleigh model is distinct from competing distributions of all sizes of the sample.

•The power of the test statistic increases as the sample size increases.

The modified test statistics  $D_n, L_n, W_n^2, U_n^2$  and  $A_n^2$  provided in this work and their critical values can detect the difference between the GR model and different alternatives with high Power.

Alternatives	test		Sample	size $n$	
	statistics	10	20	50	100
	$D_n$	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	$W_n^2$	0.1016	0.3653	0.9119	0.9997
Exponential	$A_n^2$	0.4158	0.7834	0.9976	1.0000
Exp(1)	$U_n^2$	0.1004	0.3202	0.9164	0.9786
	$L_n$	0.1059	0.3728	0.9993	1.0000
	$D_n$	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	$W_n^2$	0.0995	0.3542	0.9080	0.9998
Weibull	$A_n^2$	0.0644	0.0603	0.0539	0.0495
Wei(1,2)	$U_n^2$	0.0244	0.0282	0.0393	0.0450
	$L_n$	0.0159	0.0228	0.0324	0.0445
	$D_n$	0.9249	0.9992	1.0000	1.0000
	$W_n^2$	0.1059	0.3101	0.9463	0.9459
Inverse Weibull	$ \begin{array}{c} W_n^2 \\ A_n^2 \end{array} $	0.8286	0.9324	0.9981	1.0000
InWei(1,2)	$U_n^2$	0.1083	0.3089	0.9059	0.9228
	$L_n$	0.1055	0.3076	0.9034	0.9210
	$D_n$	0.9999	0.9996	1.0000	0.9999
	$W_n^2$	0.1035	0.3588	0.9997	0.9995
Exponentiated Weibull	$A_n^2$	0.9999	0.9998	0.9992	0.8853
ExpWei(1, 2, 3)	$U_n^2$	0.1030	0.3438	0.9127	0.9960
	$L_n$	0.1011	0.3298	0.9037	0.9860
	$D_n$	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	$\begin{array}{c} W_n^2 \\ A_n^2 \end{array}$	0.1055	0.3676	0.9087	0.9994
Exponentiated Exponential	$A_n^2$	0.0592	0.0726	0.0639	0.0597
EE(1,2)	$U_n^2$	0.0548	0.0526	0.0611	0.0684
	$L_n^n$	0.0539	0.0523	0.0601	0.0672

Table 2. Power of statistics tests for GR distribution where Exp, Wei, InWei, ExpWei and EE are the alternative distributions

## Conclusion

We have provided critical values for the statistics  $D_n, L_n, W_n^2, U_n^2$  and  $A_n^2$  for the generalized Rayleigh model when the parameters are unknown. The 1 and 2 tables given in this manuscript can be used to check whether the sample data fits this pattern which helps practitioners to choose the appropriate pattern for their analysis.

We would like to thank the editorial board and referees for their suggestions useful which improved this manuscript greatly.

# References

- A.M.Abd-Elfattah, H.A.Fergany, A.M.Omim, Goodness-Of- Fit test for the generalized Frechet distribution, Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Science, 4(2010), no. 2, 286–301.
- [2] A.Al-Khedhairi, A.Sarhan, L.Tadj, Estimation of the generalized Rayleigh distribution parameter, *International journal of reliability and applications*, 12(2007), 199–210.

- [3] B.Al-Zahrani, Goodness-of-Fit for the Topp-Leone distribution with unknown parameters, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 6(2012), no. 128, 6355–63.
- [4] T.W.Anderson, D.A.Darling, A test of goodness of fit, Journal of the American Statistical Association, 49(1954), no. 268, 765–9. DOI: 10.1080/01621459.1954.10501232
- [5] D.A.Darling, The Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Cramer-von Mises tests, The Annals of Mathematical Statistics, 28(1957), no. 4, 823–38. DOI: 10.1214/aoms/1177706788
- [6] D.Kundu, R.D.Gupta, A convenient way of generating gamma random variables using generalized exponential distribution, *Comput. Statist. Data Anal.*, **51**(2007), no. 5, 2796–2802.
- [7] D.Tilbi, N.Seddik-Ameur, Chi-squared goodness-of-fit tests for the generalized Rayleigh distribution, Journal of Statistical Theory and Practice, 11(2017), no. 4, 594-603.
- [8] J.W.Evans, R.A.Johnson, D.W.Green, Two- and three-parameter Weibull goodness-of-fit tests, Madison: U.S. Department of agriculture Forest Service, Forest Products Laboratory, 1989.
- [9] A.S.Hassan, Goodness-of-fit for the generalized exponential distribution, Interstat Electronic Journal, 2005, 1–15.
- [10] A.N.Kolmogorov, On the empirical determination of a distribution law (Sulla determinazione empirica di una legge di distribuzione), *Giornale dell'Istituto Italiano degli Attuari*, 4(1933), no. 1, 83–91.
- [11] D.Kundu, M.Z.Raqab, Generalized Rayleigh distribution : different methods of estimation. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis, 49(2005), 187–200.
- B.Y.Lemeshko, S.B.Lemeshko, Models of statistic distributions of nonparametric goodnessof-fit tests in composite hypotheses testing for double exponential law cases, *Communications in Statistics – Theory and Methods*, 40(2011a), no. 16, 2879–92.
   DOI: 10.1080/03610926.2011.562770
- B.Y.Lemeshko, S.B.Lemeshko, Construction of statistic distribution models for nonparametric goodness-of-fit tests in testing composite hypotheses. The computer approach, *Quality Technology Quantitative Management*, 8(2011b), no. 4, 359–73.
   DOI: 10.1080/16843703.2011.11673263
- [14] M.Liao, T.Shimokawa, A new goodness-of-fit test for Type-I extreme-value and 2-parameter Weibull distributions with estimated parameters, *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 64(1999a), no. 1, 23–48. DOI: 10.1080/00949659908811965
- [15] M.Liao, T.Shimokawa, Goodness-of-fit test extreme-value and 2-parameter Weibull distributions, *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 48(1999b), no. I, 79–86. DOI: 10.1109/24.765931
- [16] G.S.Mudholkar, D.K.Srivastava, Exponentiated Weibull family for analyzing bathtub failure-rate data, *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 42(1993), 299–302.
- [17] M.Z.Raqab, M.T.Madi, Generalized Rayleigh Distribution. International Encyclopedia of Statistical Science 2011, 599-603.
- [18] G.S.Mudholkar, D.K.Srivastava, C.T.Lin, Some p-variate adaptations of the Shapiro-Wilk test of normality, *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 24(1995), 953-85.

- [19] P.Fathipour, A.Abolhasani, H.J.Khamnei, Estimating R=P(Y<X) in the Generalized Rayleigh Distribution with Different Scale Parameters, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 7(2013), 87–9.
- [20] G.S.Rao, Estimation of Reliability in Multicom- ponent Stress-Strength Based on Generalized Rayleigh Distribution, *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods*, 13(2014), no. 1, Article 24. Available at : http://digitalcommons.wayne.edu/jmasm/vol13/iss1/24.
- M.A.Stephens, EDF statistics for goodness of fit and some comparisons, Journal of the American Statistica Association, 69(1974), no. 347, 730–7.
   DOI: 10.1080/01621459.1974.10480196
- [22] M.A.Stephens, Goodness-of-fit for the extreme value distribution, *Biometrik*, 64(1977), no. 3, 583–8. DOI: :10.1093/biomet/ 64.3.583.
- [23] M.A.Stephens, EDF tests of fit for the logistic distribution, Technical report No. 275, Department of statistics, Stanford university, California, USA 1979.
- [24] J.G.Surles, W.J.Padgett, Inference for reliability and stress-strength for a scaled Burr type X distribution, *Lifetime Data Analysis*, 7(2001), 187–200.
- [25] G.S.Watson, Goodness-of-fit tests on a circle I, Biometrika, 48(1961a), no. 1-2, 109–14. DOI: 10.2307/2333135
- [26] G.S.Watson, Goodness-of-fit tests on a circle. II, Biometrika, 49(1962b), no. 1-2, 57–63. DOI: 10.1093/biomet/49.1-2.57

### Сравнение мощностей тестов согласия EDF

#### Джахида Тилби

Кафедра математики Лаборатория вероятностей и статистики LaPS Скикда, Алжир

Аннотация. В этой статье сила общей статистики согласия (GoF) основана на эмпирической функции распределения (EDF), где критические значения должны быть определены путем моделирования. Статистическая мощность Колмогорова–Смирнова  $D_n$ , Краме́р-фон Мизеса  $W^2$ , Ватсона  $U^2$ , Ляо и Симокавы  $L_n$ , и статистика Андерсона–Дарлинга  $A^2$  исследовалась по размеру выборки, уровню значимости и альтернативным распределениям для обобщенной модели Рэлея (GR). Экспоненциальное, Вейбулла, обратное Вейбулла, экспоненциальное Вейбулла и экспоненциальное распределения были рассмотрены среди наиболее частых альтернативных распределений.

Ключевые слова: обобщенное распределение Рэлея, критерий Колмогорова–Смирнова, критерий Крамера-фон Мизеса (C-VM), критерий Андерсона–Дарлинга (AD), критерий Ватсона (W), критерий Ляо и Симокавы (LS).